



Precision measurement of the Z boson invisible width in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 June 2022

Received in revised form 4 October 2022

Accepted 8 November 2022

Available online 22 May 2023

Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS

Z boson

ABSTRACT

A precise measurement of the invisible width of the Z boson produced in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV is presented using data recorded by the CMS experiment at the LHC, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36.3 fb^{-1} . The result is obtained from a simultaneous fit to kinematic distributions for two data samples of Z boson plus jets: one dominated by Z boson decays to invisible particles and the other by Z boson decays to muon and electron pairs. The invisible width is measured to be $523 \pm 3 (\text{stat}) \pm 16 (\text{syst}) \text{ MeV}$. This result is the first precise measurement of the invisible width of the Z boson at a hadron collider, and is the single most precise direct measurement to date, competitive with the combined result of the direct measurements from the LEP experiments.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

Precision measurements of Z boson properties at the CERN LHC enable important tests of the standard model (SM). Deviations from the SM predictions could reveal signs of new physics beyond the SM. Among the physical observables describing the Z boson, the ‘invisible width’ corresponds to Z boson decays to particles that are not detected, such as neutrino-antineutrino pairs, and can be translated into a constraint on the number of light neutrino species coupling to the Z boson. A precise measurement of this quantity could reveal non-SM contributions from new-physics scenarios [1].

Experiments at the CERN Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP) measured the invisible width of the Z boson using both direct and indirect approaches. The direct method is based on the associated production of an initial-state photon with a Z boson that subsequently decays invisibly. Direct measurements have been made by the OPAL [2], ALEPH [3], and L3 [4] experiments, yielding the LEP combined measurement of $503 \pm 16 \text{ MeV}$ [5]. The indirect method uses the total Z boson width extracted from the Z boson lineshape, and subtracts the measured partial decay widths to all known visible final states. This method is the most precise, with a combined indirect measurement of $499.0 \pm 1.5 \text{ MeV}$ [5] from the LEP experiments. A previous measurement by the UA2 experiment used the W boson and Z boson widths to deduce a limit on the number of light neutrino generations [6].

This Letter presents the first direct measurement of the invisible width of the Z boson at a hadron collider. The direct and indirect measurements could be sensitive to different new-physics sce-

narios [7] motivating the goal to reduce the total uncertainty in the direct measurement. We use data recorded by the CMS experiment from proton-proton (pp) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36.3 fb^{-1} [8]. This measurement exploits the similarity in kinematic characteristics between the decay of the Z boson to neutrinos and its decay to charged leptons (in this case, electrons and muons), and is based on the ratio of branching fractions between these decay modes, as given by the following:

$$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}) = \frac{\sigma(Z+\text{jets})\mathcal{B}(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})}{\sigma(Z+\text{jets})\mathcal{B}(Z \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})} \Gamma(Z \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}) \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma(Z+\text{jets})$ is the cross section to produce a Z boson in association with jets, $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{B}(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$ are the partial width and branching fraction of the Z boson to neutrinos. Similarly, for the charged leptons the partial width and branching fraction are $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})$ and $\mathcal{B}(Z \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})$. The invisible width, Γ_{inv} , is extracted from a simultaneous fit to kinematic distributions for two data samples: one dominated by Z boson decays to invisible particles and the other by Z boson decays to muon and electron pairs. Since the invisible particles cannot be detected, invisible Z boson decays can only be identified when the Z boson has a significant transverse momentum (p_T) leading to large missing transverse momentum (p_T^{miss}). In this analysis, therefore, only Z bosons produced in association with jets are considered. Tabulated results are available in the HepData database [9]. A previous measurement by CMS of the differential production cross section of the invisible decay of the Z boson is presented in [10].

* E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system [11]. A detailed description of the CMS detector and relevant kinematic variables is reported in Ref. [12].

The particle-flow (PF) algorithm [13] reconstructs and identifies individual particles in an event with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector. It identifies these particles as charged or neutral hadrons, photons, and charged leptons. The energy of electrons is determined from a combination of the electron momentum measured by the tracker and the cluster of energy deposition in the ECAL. Muons are identified as tracks in the central tracker consistent with either a track or several hits in the muon system, and associated with energy deposits in the calorimeter compatible with the muon hypothesis. The momentum of muons is obtained from the curvature of the corresponding track. The primary vertex (PV) is taken to be the vertex corresponding to the hardest scattering in the event, evaluated using tracking information alone, as described in Section 9.4.1 of [14].

For each event, hadronic jets are clustered from these reconstructed particles using the infrared- and collinear-safe anti- k_T algorithm [15,16] with a distance parameter of 0.4. Jet energy corrections are derived from simulation studies so that the average measured response of jets match that of particle-level jets. In situ measurements of the momentum balance in dijet, photon+jet, Z +jet, and multijet events are used to determine any residual differences between the jet energy scale (JES) in data and simulation, and appropriate corrections are made [17]. The jet energy resolution (JER) typically amounts to 15% at 10 GeV, 8% at 100 GeV, and 4% at 1 TeV.

Hadronic τ decays (τ_h) are reconstructed using the hadrons-plus-strips algorithm [18], which combines 1 or 3 tracks with energy deposits in the calorimeters, to identify the tau decay modes. To distinguish genuine τ_h decays from jets originating from the hadronization of quarks or gluons, and from electrons, a discriminant based on a multivariate analysis is used [18].

The vector $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is computed as the negative vector sum of the p_T of all particle candidates from the PF algorithm in an event, and its magnitude is denoted as p_T^{miss} [19]. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ vector is modified to account for corrections to the energy scale of the reconstructed jets in the event. For the leptonic data sets selected in this analysis, $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is replaced by the recoil vector $\vec{U}$, defined as the vector sum of $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ and $\vec{p}_T$ of the charged leptons passing the object selection in each event. This parameter acts as a proxy for the boson p_T . Henceforth $U = |\vec{U}|$ is used to denote p_T^{miss} for events with no leptons and U for events containing leptons.

The signal process of a Z boson decaying to neutrinos produced in association with jets is simulated at next-to-leading order (NLO) using the Monte Carlo (MC) event generator MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO 2.3.2 [20] interfaced with PYTHIA (v8.212) [21] for parton shower (PS) and hadronization. The matrix element (ME) calculation includes up to three final-state partons generated from quantum chromodynamics (QCD) interactions and the ME-PS matching is performed following the FxFx [22] prescription. The Drell-Yan production of Z/γ^* in association with jets, where the Z/γ^* decays to charged leptons, and the production of a W boson in association with jets are simulated in the same way. Corrections are ap-

plied to the cross sections for these processes as a function of the boson p_T to account for higher-order effects from next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in QCD and NLO in electroweak (EW) [23] interactions. The impact of the NLO EW corrections is particularly significant since they become large and negative at high p_T , leading to a 20–30% correction for Z +jets and W +jets production cross sections at 1 TeV in boson p_T [23].

Top quark pair events are generated with MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO and normalized to the inclusive cross section calculated at NNLO matched to next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy [24,25]. Single top quark processes are generated at leading order (LO) with POWHEG [26–28] and normalized to the NLO cross sections for tW and t -channel production [29], whereas the s -channel production is generated at NLO with MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO. The production of diboson processes is simulated using a combination of MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO and POWHEG at NLO accuracy. The photon+jets process is generated using the MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO generator at NLO with up to one parton in the final state and the ME-PS matching is performed following the FxFx prescription. QCD multijet production is generated with PYTHIA at LO.

The NNPDF3.0 LO and NLO [30] parton distribution functions (PDFs) are used, respectively, with the LO and NLO generators described above. The PYTHIA program with the CUETP8M1 underlying event tune [31] is used to describe parton showering and hadronization for all simulated samples. The full detector response is simulated using the GEANT4 [32] package for all background and signal samples.

Additional pp interactions within the same or nearby bunch crossings (pileup) are included in the simulated samples, with the multiplicity weighted to match the pileup distribution measured in data.

The events used in this analysis can be categorized into three main regions: the p_T^{miss} plus jets region that is composed predominantly of $Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$ events; a dilepton plus jets region with $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$ events; and a single-lepton plus jets region, ℓ +jets, where $\ell = e, \mu, \tau_h$ (hadronically decaying τ lepton), that is enriched in W +jets events.

Events are selected using an unprescaled trigger that requires $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 90$ GeV and $H_T^{\text{miss}} > 90$ GeV, where H_T^{miss} is the magnitude of the vectorial p_T sum of all jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 5.0$. Muons are not included in the calculation of the p_T^{miss} and H_T^{miss} variables at the trigger level. This enables the same trigger to be used to select events for the p_T^{miss} plus jets region and the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and μ +jets regions. This trigger is also used to select the τ_h +jets region. Events for the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$ and e +jets regions are selected using a trigger that requires at least one reconstructed electron passing a tight identification selection [33] and with $p_T > 27$ GeV.

Muon candidates are required to be reconstructed in the fiducial region of pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2.4$ of the muon system. Electron candidates are required to be reconstructed within $|\eta| < 2.4$, excluding the barrel-to-endcap $1.444 < |\eta| < 1.566$ transition regions of the ECAL. The leptons are required to be isolated, where the isolation is calculated from PF candidates and corrected for pileup on an event-by-event basis. The scalar p_T sum over all particle candidates reconstructed in an isolation cone with radius $R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} = 0.4$ (0.3), where ϕ is the azimuthal angle, around the momentum vector of the muon (electron) must be $< 15\%$ (6%) of the lepton p_T . Jets are required to have $p_T > 40$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$.

A set of ‘baseline’ selection criteria are applied to all regions. It requires U to be > 200 GeV, which ensures that the trigger efficiency is high ($> 98\%$), and the leading jet in the event to have $p_T > 200$ GeV. An event is vetoed if there are jets with $p_T > 40$ GeV and $|\eta| > 2.4$ to reduce the contribution from vector boson scat-

tering processes. Misidentified high- p_T muons can produce p_T^{miss} , leading to a mismodeling that is particularly apparent at large values of the distribution of $\Delta = |p_{T,\text{Calo}}^{\text{miss}} - \mathcal{U}|/\mathcal{U}$. This quantity tests the difference, relative to $\mathcal{U}$, between the particle-based $\mathcal{U}$ and $p_{T,\text{Calo}}^{\text{miss}}$, which is p_T^{miss} measured only by the calorimeter systems and corrected for the presence of muons. To remove these events, Δ is required to be less than 0.5. Events are also vetoed if they contain an isolated photon passing loose identification criteria [33] and with $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. This requirement suppresses events from EW processes with an initial-state photon and from multijet production. Backgrounds from top-quark processes are reduced by vetoing any event containing b-tagged jets, defined as jets originating from the hadronization of b quarks using a combined secondary vertex discriminator with the medium working point [34]. Further reduction of the QCD multijet background is achieved by requiring the azimuthal separation between each of the four leading jets and $\vec{\mathcal{U}}$ ($\Delta\phi_{\text{min}}$) to be greater than 0.5. Additional selection requirements for each region on top of this baseline selection are described in the following.

For the p_T^{miss} plus jets region, backgrounds from W and Z bosons decaying via charged leptons are suppressed by vetoing events containing one or more isolated muon or electron passing a loose identification selection [33,35] with $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ or a τ_h with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.3$.

The dilepton region is selected by requiring exactly one pair of isolated muons or electrons having opposite electric charges, such that the invariant mass ($m_{\ell\ell}$) of the pair is compatible with the Z boson mass ($71 < m_{\ell\ell} < 111$ GeV). The muons and electrons are required to have $p_T > 25$ and > 30 GeV respectively, and pass a medium identification selection [33,35]. For $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ ($Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$) events, electron (muon) and τ_h vetoes are applied.

The μ +jets region is selected by requiring exactly one well identified and isolated muon with $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$. The corresponding p_T requirement for electrons in the e+jets region is 30 GeV. The τ_h +jets region is selected by requiring one τ_h lepton passing a tight identification selection [18] with $p_T > 40$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.3$. The transverse mass, defined as $m_T = \sqrt{2p_T^{\text{miss}}p_T^\ell(1 - \cos\Delta\phi)}$, where $\Delta\phi$ is the azimuthal angle between the lepton and p_T^{miss} , is required to be in the range $30 < m_T < 125$ GeV. In addition, for the e+jets region, p_T^{miss} is required to be > 100 GeV to reduce the contribution from QCD multijet events.

The largest background contribution to the p_T^{miss} plus jets region comes from W+jets events, accounting for 37% of the events in this region. This includes events where the W boson decays via electrons (6.6%), muons (9.6%), hadronically decaying taus (13.1%), and leptonically decaying taus (7.3%). This background is estimated using the control regions of μ +jets and e+jets events that are independent of the signal region, but have similar kinematic requirements. These control regions select events where there is a single muon or electron in the final state, from both a W boson decaying directly to an electron or muon or indirectly via a leptonically decaying tau lepton.

The estimation of this background proceeds by defining a transfer factor (t_W) as the ratio of the number of W+jets events in the signal region to that in the control region, as predicted by simulation. This t_W is determined as a function of $\mathcal{U}$ and used to extrapolate from the event yields in data in the μ +jets and e+jets control regions to obtain the number of expected W+jets events in the signal region. Systematic uncertainties in t_W associated with the muon and electron reconstruction, identification, and isolation requirements are included, and contribute at the 2% level for muons and electrons. Uncertainties in t_W associated with the JES and JER, the p_T^{miss} trigger and electron trigger efficiencies, pileup, and b-tagged jet veto are also included. The jet energy scale uncertainty does not completely cancel in the transfer factor and

Table 1

Relative uncertainties (in %) on the final measurement from different sources.

Source of systematic uncertainty	Uncertainty (%)
Muon identification efficiency (syst.)	2.1
Jet energy scale	1.8–1.9
Electron identification efficiency (syst.)	1.6
Electron identification efficiency (stat.)	1.0
Pileup	0.9–1.0
Electron trigger efficiency	0.7
τ_h veto efficiency	0.6–0.7
p_T^{miss} trigger efficiency (jets plus p_T^{miss} region)	0.7
p_T^{miss} trigger efficiency ($Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ region)	0.6
Boson p_T dependence of QCD corrections	0.5
Jet energy resolution	0.3–0.5
p_T^{miss} trigger efficiency (μ +jets region)	0.4
Muon identification efficiency (stat.)	0.3
Electron reconstruction efficiency (syst.)	0.3
Boson p_T dependence of EW corrections	0.3
PDFs	0.2
Renormalization/factorization scale	0.2
Electron reconstruction efficiency (stat.)	0.2
Overall	3.2

has a residual effect of a few percent at low $\mathcal{U}$. Theoretical uncertainties in the corrections applied to account for NNLO QCD and NLO EW contributions, and from PDFs are also included; however, these mostly cancel in the ratio of W+jets events in the signal and control regions. The contributions from all these sources of uncertainties on t_W are included in Table 1

Studies are performed to validate the transfer factor method and to further test the extrapolation involved in predicting W+jets events in the signal region from the combined μ +jets and e+jets regions. A simultaneous likelihood fit is performed to assess the consistency between the μ +jets and e+jets channels by using the transfer factor method to predict the event yields in e+jets region from the μ +jets region. The fit has two unconstrained parameters that are used to extract differences in the overall normalization and shape of the $\mathcal{U}$ distribution with all systematic uncertainties included as nuisance parameters. The post-fit values for these parameters are 1.000 ± 0.035 and -0.019 ± 0.020 for the normalization and shape parameters, respectively. The shape parameter is constructed as a dimensionless parameter that varies the shape of the $\mathcal{U}$ distribution in one region linearly with respect to the other, effectively giving the value of the slope for the ratio of the $\mathcal{U}$ distribution in the two regions. Values of 1.0 for the normalization parameter, and 0.0 for the shape parameter signify perfect consistency between the two regions. Hence, the post-fit values are in agreement within uncertainties with the expectation for consistent electron and muon channels. Fig. 1 shows the distributions of $\mathcal{U}$ in the μ +jets and e+jets regions before and after the likelihood fit. The dominant uncertainties are from the electron trigger efficiency, followed by the muon and electron identification efficiencies.

A similar likelihood fit is performed to verify the consistency between the combined μ +jets and e+jets region with the τ_h +jets region with two unconstrained parameters to extract differences in the normalization and shape of the $\mathcal{U}$ distribution. Again, the post-fit values of these parameters show consistency within the uncertainties among all three regions, with values of 1.009 ± 0.052 and 0.001 ± 0.044 for the normalization and shape parameters, respectively. Fig. 2 shows the distributions of $\mathcal{U}$ in the μ +jets, e+jets and τ_h +jets regions before and after the likelihood fit. All systematic uncertainties are included as nuisance parameters in the fit, with the largest uncertainty coming from the τ_h selection efficiency and subdominant sources of uncertainty from the muon identification and electron trigger efficiencies.

The contribution to the signal region from QCD multijet events is estimated from a control region that is selected by applying the




Fig. 1. Distributions of U in the regions of μ +jets (left) and e +jets (right). Ratios are taken of the data with respect to both the SM post-fit and SM pre-fit results from a simultaneous likelihood fit performed to assess the consistency between the μ +jets and e +jets channels. Pull is the difference between the data and the SM post-fit results, normalized by their uncertainties summed in quadrature. The ‘Minor’ background includes the sum of all the contributions from processes such as diboson events, top pair production, and single top production.

same criteria as to the signal region but inverting the requirement on $\Delta\phi_{\min}$. A jet from a QCD multijet event that is severely mismeasured results in significant p_T^{miss} and will have a direction closely aligned with $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$. If there are one or more jets with $\Delta\phi_{\min} < 0.5$, and if at least one of those jets has $p_T > 40$ GeV, then the event falls into the QCD control region. Most events in the QCD control region have just one jet with $\Delta\phi_{\min} < 0.5$ and $p_T > 40$ GeV. A fit to the p_T distribution of this p_T^{miss} -aligned jet is used to extrapolate the event yields for QCD multijet events from the control region to the signal one. An overall disagreement of 17% is seen in the normalization in this QCD control region between data and simulation, attributed to the mismodelling of the QCD process in simulation. A likelihood fit is performed where this disagreement is parameterised as a linear fit to the p_T distribution of this p_T^{miss} -aligned jet in each U bin and extrapolated to the signal region for $p_T < 40$ GeV. This linear fit parameterisation significantly improves the agreement between data and simulation and is used to extract a normalization scale factor for the QCD multijet process in the signal region. This scale factor ranges from 1.11 ± 0.08 in the lowest U bin to 2.44 ± 0.99 for the last U bin. The total uncertainty in this normalization scale factor includes contributions from the jet energy scale and resolution, pileup, and lepton-related uncertainties. An additional systematic uncertainty is also assigned from the difference between a linear fit and a quadratic fit to extrapolate from the multijet-enriched control region to the signal region. The overall contribution of the uncertainty on the QCD multijet background on the final result is negligible.

Other backgrounds from top quark pair, single top quark, diboson, and photon+jets production are taken from simulation. Since most of these processes are generated at NLO, the simulation is considered to be a reliable estimation of their contributions to the various signal and control regions.

The contributions of virtual photon exchange must be corrected to extract a value for the invisible width. This requires estimates of the contributions from $\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ and its interference with $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$. These are determined from MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO where the pure $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ process and $\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ is generated and the ratio of the cross sections of these processes with respect to $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$, within the invariant mass region $71 < m_{\ell\ell} < 111$ GeV, is used to determine the contribution from $\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ and the interference term. Systematic uncertainties from PDFs, renormalization and factorization scales, and limited size of MC samples are included. The contribution from $\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ is 1.5% and is subtracted

Table 2

SM post-fit predictions for the p_T^{miss} +jets, $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$, and $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$ regions and the total number of events measured in data.

	p_T^{miss} +jets	$Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$	$Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$
$Z(\nu\nu)$ +jets	310000	—	—
$Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$	2680	25900	17300
$W(\ell\nu)$ +jets	195000	—	—
QCD multijet	3360	—	—
Minor	25600	1720	1090
Total SM	537000	27600	18400
Data	537326	27631	18326

as a background to the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ process, whereas the interference is 0.6% and is used to scale the cross section for $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ to account for the interference term appearing in the $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ amplitude.

The main systematic uncertainties affecting the measurement of the Z invisible width are described in the following. Systematic uncertainties from the muon and electron identification efficiencies, obtained from Ref. [36], are among the dominant uncertainties, contributing 2.1 and 1.6%, respectively. The uncertainty in the jet energy scale is the second-largest uncertainty, at 1.9%. The statistical uncertainty in the electron identification efficiency and the uncertainty from pileup both contribute at the 1% level, whereas the uncertainty from the τ_h veto efficiency is 0.7%. Experimental uncertainties from the p_T^{miss} and electron trigger efficiencies are at the 0.7% level, whereas other experimental uncertainties from the jet energy resolution, QCD multijet background, and the b-tagged jet veto efficiency are negligible. Theoretical uncertainties from higher-order QCD and EW corrections applied to the Z+jets and W+jets processes are estimated using the prescription of Ref. [23] and implemented as five parameters. The final impact on the result is 0.5%. Uncertainties in PDFs mostly cancel, with a residual contribution of 0.2%. The combined statistical and systematic uncertainty in the measurement is 3.2%, with the statistical uncertainty contributing 0.6%. The main sources of systematic uncertainty and their relative contribution (in %) are shown in Table 1.

The invisible width of the Z boson is extracted from a simultaneous likelihood fit to the U distributions in the p_T^{miss} +jets, $Z/\gamma^*(\mu\mu)$ +jets, $Z/\gamma^*(ee)$ +jets, μ +jets, and e +jets regions. The U distribution is divided into 20 bins to exploit the signal-to-background ratios and the dependence of systematic uncertainties on U . The bin widths are chosen to be roughly equivalent to the U resolution and with a maximum statistical uncertainty of 30% for the $Z/\gamma^*(\mu\mu)$ +jets and $Z/\gamma^*(ee)$ +jets regions. The transfer factor estimating the W+jets background is implemented as a global unconstrained parameter scaling the W+jets process in the p_T^{miss} +jets and ℓ +jets regions and an additional free parameter is used to scale the normalisation of both the $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ and $Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$ processes. Systematic uncertainties are treated as nuisance parameters, modeled with Gaussian constraints. The post-fit distributions from the simultaneous fit are shown in Fig. 3. The total number of events observed in data and from the post-fit simulation results for the p_T^{miss} +jets, $Z/\gamma^*(\mu\mu)$ +jets, and $Z/\gamma^*(ee)$ +jets regions are shown in Table 2.

The parameter of interest, r_{inv} , is defined as $\Gamma_{\text{inv}}/\Gamma^{\text{MC}}(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$, using the ratio of Eq. (1) with its expectation from simulation and assuming that the value of $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \ell\ell)$ in data is the same as simulation. The value of r_{inv} is measured to be $1.049 \pm 0.006(\text{stat}) \pm 0.032(\text{syst})$. This is translated into a measurement of the width using the $Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$ partial width from simulation ($\Gamma^{\text{MC}}(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$), which is calculated from the branching fraction of $Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$ in MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO [20] for an invariant mass range of 71–111 GeV and the total Z boson width of 2.4988 GeV. The value of




Fig. 2. Distributions of $\mathcal{U}$ in the regions of μ +jets (left), e +jets (center), and τ_h +jets (right). Ratios are taken of the data with respect to both the SM post-fit and SM pre-fit results from a likelihood fit performed to verify the consistency between the combined μ +jets and e +jets region with the τ_h +jets region. The pulls are the difference between the data and the SM post-fit results, normalized by their uncertainties summed in quadrature. The ‘Minor’ background includes the sum of all the contributions from processes such as diboson events, top pair production, and single top production.




Fig. 3. Distribution of $\mathcal{U}$ for the p_T^{miss} +jets (left), $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ (center), and $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$ (right) regions. Ratios are taken of the data with respect to both the SM post-fit and SM pre-fit results. The pulls are the difference between the data and the SM post-fit results, normalized by their uncertainties summed in quadrature. The ‘Minor’ background includes the sum of all the contributions from processes such as diboson events, top pair production, single top production that are determined from simulation.

$\Gamma^{\text{MC}}(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$ is 498.7 MeV and the $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ partial width to one charged lepton flavor is 84.2 MeV.

The measured Z boson invisible width value (Eq. (1)) is obtained by multiplying r_{inv} by $\Gamma^{\text{MC}}(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$:

$$\Gamma_{\text{inv}} = 523 \pm 3(\text{stat}) \pm 16(\text{syst}) \text{ MeV}. \quad (2)$$

A comparison with the direct measurements from the LEP experiments ALEPH, OPAL, and L3, as well as with the LEP combined measurement is shown in Fig. 4. Also shown is the standard model prediction for $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$ of $501.44 \pm 0.04 \text{ MeV}$ [5,37]. With an uncertainty of 16 MeV, this measurement of the Z boson invisible width at CMS is comparable in precision to the LEP combined result and is compatible with the value expected in the SM.

In summary, this Letter presents the first precise measurement of the invisible width (Γ_{inv}) of the Z boson at a hadron collider. The measurement is performed using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ and data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36.3 fb^{-1} recorded with the CMS detector, yielding a result of $\Gamma_{\text{inv}} = 523 \pm 3(\text{stat}) \pm 16(\text{syst}) \text{ MeV}$. It represents the single most precise direct measurement of Γ_{inv} to date and is competitive with the combined direct measurement from LEP and compatible with the value expected in the standard model.




Fig. 4. Direct measurements of the Z invisible width by the LEP experiments and the result from the CMS experiment presented here. Also shown is the prediction from the SM. The inner (outer) error bars show the statistical (total) uncertainty.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Release and preservation of data used by the CMS Collaboration as the basis for publications is guided by the CMS policy as stated in “[CMS data preservation, re-use and open access policy](#)”.

References

- [1] CMS Collaboration, Search for new particles in events with energetic jets and large missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2021) 153, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2021\)153](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2021)153), arXiv:2107.13021.
- [2] R. Akers, et al., OPAL, Measurement of single photon production in e^+e^- collisions near the Z^0 resonance, *Z. Phys. C* 65 (1995) 47, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01571303>.
- [3] D. Buskulic, et al., ALEPH, A direct measurement of the invisible width of the Z from single photon counting, *Phys. Lett. B* 313 (1993) 520, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(93\)90027-F](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)90027-F).
- [4] M. Acciarri, et al., L3, Determination of the number of light neutrino species from single photon production at LEP, *Phys. Lett. B* 431 (1998) 199, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693\(98\)00519-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00519-X).
- [5] Particle Data Group, P.A. Zyla, et al., Review of particle physics, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2020 (2020) 083C01, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104>.
- [6] R. Ansari, et al., UA2, Measurement of the standard model parameters from a study of W and Z bosons, *Phys. Lett. B* 186 (1987) 440, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(87\)90324-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(87)90324-8).
- [7] M. Carena, A. de Gouvea, A. Freitas, M. Schmitt, Invisible Z boson decays at e^+e^- colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* 68 (2003) 113007, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.113007>, arXiv:hep-ph/0308053.
- [8] CMS Collaboration, Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 81 (2021) 800, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09538-2>, arXiv:2104.01927.
- [9] HEPData record for this analysis, <https://doi.org/10.17182/hepdata.130965.2022>.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the Z boson differential production cross section using its invisible decay mode ($Z \nu\bar{\nu}$) in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2021) 205, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2021\)205](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2021)205), arXiv:2012.09254.
- [11] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P01020, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020>, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [12] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* 3 (2008) S08004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [13] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P10003, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003>, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [14] D. Contardo, M. Klute, J. Mans, L. Silvestris, J. Butler, Technical proposal for the Phase-II upgrade of the CMS detector, Technical Report, 2015, <https://doi.org/10.17181/CERN.VU8I.D59J>.
- [15] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2008) 063, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063>, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [16] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 1896, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2>, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P02014, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014>, arXiv:1607.03663.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, Performance of reconstruction and identification of τ leptons decaying to hadrons and ν_τ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 13 (2018) P10005, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/10/P10005>, arXiv:1809.02816.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* 14 (2019) P07004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004>, arXiv:1903.06078.
- [20] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2014) 079, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)079](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079), arXiv:1405.0301.
- [21] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J.R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C.O. Rasmussen, P.Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 191 (2015) 159, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024>, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [22] R. Frederix, S. Frixione, Merging meets matching in MC@NLO, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2012) 061, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2012\)061](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)061), arXiv:1209.6215.
- [23] J.M. Lindert, et al., Precise predictions for V+jets dark matter backgrounds, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77 (2017) 829, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5389-1>, arXiv:1705.04664.
- [24] N. Kidonakis, Differential and total cross sections for top pair and single top production, in: *Proceedings, 20th International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects (DIS 2012)*, Bonn, Germany, 2012, p. 831, arXiv:1205.3453.
- [25] M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, A. Mitov, Total top-quark pair-production cross section at hadron colliders through $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110 (2013) 252004, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252004>, arXiv:1303.6254.
- [26] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2004) 040, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040>, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [27] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2007) 070, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070>, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [28] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2010) 043, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2010\)043](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043), arXiv:1002.2581.
- [29] E. Re, Single-top Wt-channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1547, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1547-z>, arXiv:1009.2450.
- [30] R.D. Ball, et al., NNPDF, Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2015) 040, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2015\)040](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)040), arXiv:1410.8849.
- [31] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 155, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3988-x>, arXiv:1512.00815.
- [32] S. Agostinelli, et al., Geant4, GEANT4 — a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 506 (2003) 250, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [33] CMS Collaboration, Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* 16 (2021) P05014, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/16/05/P05014>, arXiv:2012.06888.
- [34] CMS Collaboration, Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV, *J. Instrum.* 13 (2018) P05011, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/05/P05011>, arXiv:1712.07158.
- [35] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the reconstruction and identification of high-momentum muons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 15 (2020) P02027, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/15/02/P02027>, arXiv:1912.03516.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of differential Z boson production cross sections in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2019) 061, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2019\)061](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)061), arXiv:1909.04133.
- [37] S. Schael, et al., ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, and SLD Collaborations, LEP Electroweak Working Group, SLD Electroweak Group, and SLD Heavy Flavour Group, Precision electroweak measurements on the Z resonance, *Phys. Rep.* 427 (2006) 257, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2005.12.006>, arXiv:hep-ex/0509008.

The CMS collaboration

A. Tumasyan¹

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam, J.W. Andrejkovic, T. Bergauer, S. Chatterjee, K. Damanakis, M. Dragicevic, A. Escalante Del Valle, R. Frühwirth², M. Jeitler², N. Krammer, L. Lechner, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, P. Paulitsch, F.M. Pitters, J. Schieck², R. Schöfbeck, D. Schwarz, S. Templ, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz²,

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

M.R. Darwish³, E.A. De Wolf, T. Janssen, T. Kello⁴, A. Lelek, H. Rejeb Sfar, P. Van Mechelen, S. Van Putte, N. Van Remortel,

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

F. Blekman, E.S. Bols, J. D'Hondt, M. Delcourt, H. El Faham, S. Lowette, S. Moortgat, A. Morton, D. Müller, A.R. Sahasransu, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck,

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

D. Beghin, B. Bilin, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk, A.K. Kalsi, K. Lee, M. Mahdavihorrani, I. Makarenko, L. Moureaux, L. Pétré, A. Popov, N. Postiau, E. Starling, L. Thomas, M. Vanden Bemden, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer,

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, J. Knolle, L. Lambrecht, G. Mestdach, M. Niedziela, C. Roskas, A. Samalan, K. Skovpen, M. Tytgat, B. Vermassen, L. Wezenbeek,

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

A. Benecke, A. Bethani, G. Bruno, F. Bury, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, I.S. Donertas, A. Giammanco, K. Jaffel, Sa. Jain, V. Lemaître, K. Mondal, J. Prisciandaro, A. Taliencio, M. Teklishyn, T.T. Tran, P. Vischia, S. Wertz,

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

G.A. Alves, C. Hensel, A. Moraes,

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, M. Alves Gallo Pereira, M. Barroso Ferreira Filho, H. Brandao Malbouisson, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁵, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira⁶, D. De Jesus Damiao, S. Fonseca De Souza, C. Mora Herrera, K. Mota Amarilo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, P. Rebello Teles, A. Santoro, S.M. Silva Do Amaral, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo⁷, A. Vilela Pereira,

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes⁶, L. Calligaris, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei, E.M. Gregores, D.S. Lemos, P.G. Mercadante, S.F. Novaes, Sandra S. Padula,

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

A. Aleksandrov, G. Antchev, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov,

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, T. Ivanov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov, A. Petrov,

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

T. Cheng, T. Javaid⁸, M. Mittal, L. Yuan,

Beihang University, Beijing, China

M. Ahmad, G. Bauer, C. Dozen, Z. Hu, J. Martins⁹, Y. Wang, K. Yi^{10,11},

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

E. Chapon, G.M. Chen⁸, H.S. Chen⁸, M. Chen, F. Iemmi, A. Kapoor, D. Leggat, H. Liao, Z.-A. Liu¹², V. Milosevic, F. Monti, R. Sharma, J. Tao, J. Thomas-Wilsker, J. Wang, H. Zhang, J. Zhao,

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos, Y. An, Y. Ban, C. Chen, A. Levin, Q. Li, X. Lyu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Q. Wang, J. Xiao,

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

M. Lu, Z. You,

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

X. Gao⁴, H. Okawa, Y. Zhang,

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Z. Lin, M. Xiao,

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, C. Florez, J. Fraga,

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

J. Mejia Guisao, F. Ramirez, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, C.A. Salazar González,

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

D. Giljanovic, N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak,

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac, T. Sculac,

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, D. Majumder, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov¹³, T. Susa,

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

A. Attikis, K. Christoforou, E. Erodotou, A. Ioannou, G. Kole, M. Kolosova, S. Konstantinou, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka,

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M. Finger¹³, M. Finger Jr.¹³, A. Kveton,

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

E. Ayala,

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin,

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

H. Abdalla¹⁴, S. Elgammal¹⁵,

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

M.A. Mahmoud, Y. Mohammed,

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

S. Bhowmik, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, S. Nandan, C. Nielsen, J. Pata, M. Raidal, L. Tani, C. Veelken,

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

P. Eerola, L. Forthomme, H. Kirschenmann, K. Osterberg, M. Voutilainen,

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

S. Bharthuar, E. Brücken, F. Garcia, J. Havukainen, M.S. Kim, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, M. Lotti, L. Martikainen, M. Myllymäki, J. Ott, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi,

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

P. Luukka, H. Petrow, T. Tuuva,

Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

C. Amendola, M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, B. Lenzi, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro¹⁶, M. Titov, G.B. Yu,

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

S. Ahuja, F. Beaudette, M. Bonanomi, A. Buchot Perraguin, P. Busson, A. Cappati, C. Charlot, O. Davignon, B. Diab, G. Falmagne, S. Ghosh, R. Granier de Cassagnac, A. Hakimi, I. Kucher, J. Motta, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, J. Rembser, R. Salerno, U. Sarkar, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Tarabini, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche,

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁷, J. Andrea, D. Apparú, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, D. Darej, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁷, U. Goerlach, C. Grimault, A.-C. Le Bihan, E. Nibigira, P. Van Hove,

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

E. Asilar, S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, C. Camen, A. Carle, N. Chanon, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, I.B. Laktineh, H. Lattaüd, A. Lesauvage, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, K. Shchablo, V. Sordini, L. Torterotot, G. Touquet, M. Vander Donckt, S. Viret,

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France

A. Khvedelidze¹³, I. Lomidze, Z. Tsamalaidze¹³,

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

V. Botta, L. Feld, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, N. Röwert, J. Schulz, M. Teroerde,

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

A. Dodonova, D. Eliseev, M. Erdmann, P. Fackeldey, B. Fischer, S. Ghosh, T. Hebbeker, K. Hoepfner, F. Ivone, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, G. Mocellin, S. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, D. Noll, A. Novak, T. Pook, A. Pozdnyakov, Y. Rath, H. Reithler, J. Roemer, A. Schmidt, S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma, L. Vigilante, S. Wiedenbeck, S. Zaleski,

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok, G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad¹⁸, O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, D. Roy, H. Sert, A. Stahl¹⁹, T. Ziemons, A. Zötz,

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen, M. Aldaya Martin, P. Asmuss, S. Baxter, M. Bayatmakou, O. Behnke, A. Bermúdez Martínez, S. Bhattacharya, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borras²⁰, D. Brunner, A. Campbell, A. Cardini, C. Cheng, F. Colombina, S. Consuegra Rodríguez, G. Correia Silva, V. Danilov, M. De Silva, L. Didukh, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, L.I. Estevez Banos, O. Filatov, E. Gallo²¹, A. Geiser, A. Giralddi, A. Grohsjean, M. Guthoff, A. Jafari²², N.Z. Jomhari, A. Kasem²⁰, M. Kasemann, H. Kaveh, C. Kleinwort, D. Krücker, W. Lange, J. Lidrych, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann²³, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, M. Mendizabal Morentin,

J. Metwally, A.B. Meyer, M. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, Y. Otari, D. Pérez Adán, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, B. Ribeiro Lopes, J. Rübenach, A. Saggio, A. Saibel, M. Savitskyi, M. Scham²⁴, V. Scheurer, S. Schnake, P. Schütze, C. Schwanenberger²¹, M. Shchedrolosiev, R.E. Sosa Ricardo, D. Stafford, N. Tonon, M. Van De Klundert, R. Walsh, D. Walter, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, L. Wiens, C. Wissing, S. Wuchterl,

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

R. Aggleton, S. Albrecht, S. Bein, L. Benato, P. Connor, K. De Leo, M. Eich, F. Feindt, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers, E. Garutti, P. Gunnellini, M. Hajheidari, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann, G. Kasieczka, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, T. Kramer, V. Kutzner, J. Lange, T. Lange, A. Lobanov, A. Malara, A. Nigamova, K.J. Pena Rodriguez, O. Rieger, P. Schleper, M. Schröder, J. Schwandt, J. Sonneveld, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, A. Tews, I. Zoi,

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

J. Bechtel, S. Brommer, M. Burkart, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer[†], A. Dierlamm, A. Droll, K. El Morabit, N. Faltermann, M. Giffels, J.O. Gosewisch, A. Gottmann, F. Hartmann¹⁹, C. Heidecker, U. Husemann, P. Keicher, R. Koppenhöfer, S. Maier, M. Metzler, S. Mitra, Th. Müller, M. Neukum, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, J. Rauser, D. Savoie, M. Schnepf, D. Seith, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, J. Van Der Linden, R.F. Von Cube, M. Wassmer, M. Weber, S. Wieland, R. Wolf, S. Wozniowski, S. Wunsch,

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, A. Kyriakis, A. Stakia,

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

M. Diamantopoulou, D. Karasavvas, G. Karathanasis, P. Kontaxakis, C.K. Koraka, A. Manousakis-Katsikakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, K. Theofilatos, E. Tziaferi, K. Vellidis, E. Vourliotis,

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsipolitis, A. Zacharopoulou,

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

K. Adamidis, I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Gianneios, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, J. Strologas,

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

M. Csanád, K. Farkas, M.M.A. Gadallah²⁵, S. Lökös²⁶, P. Major, K. Mandal, A. Mehta, G. Pásztor, A.J. Rádl, O. Surányi, G.I. Veres,

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Bartók²⁷, G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath²⁸, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi,

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²⁷, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi, D. Teyssier,

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi²⁹, B. Ujvari,

Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

T. Csorgo³⁰, F. Nemes³⁰, T. Novak,

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

S. Choudhury, J.R. Komaragiri, D. Kumar, L. Panwar, P.C. Tiwari,

Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, G. Chaudhary, S. Chauhan, N. Dhingra³¹, R. Gupta, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, S. Kaur, P. Kumari, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, J.B. Singh, A.K. Viridi,

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

A. Ahmed, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, M. Gola, S. Keshri, A. Kumar, M. Naimuddin, P. Priyanka, K. Ranjan, A. Shah,

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

M. Bharti³², R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta, S. Dutta, B. Gomber³³, M. Maity³⁴, P. Palit, P.K. Rout, G. Saha, B. Sahu, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, B. Singh³², S. Thakur³²,

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

P.K. Behera, S.C. Behera, P. Kalbhor, A. Muhammad, R. Pradhan, P.R. Pujahari, A. Sharma, A.K. Sikdar,

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, D.K. Mishra, K. Naskar³⁵, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla,

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, S. Dugad, M. Kumar, G.B. Mohanty,

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, R. Chudasama, M. Guchait, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, S. Mukherjee,

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Bahinipati³⁶, C. Kar, P. Mal, T. Mishra, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu³⁷, A. Nayak³⁷, P. Saha, N. Sur, S.K. Swain, D. Vats³⁷,

National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

K. Alpana, S. Dube, B. Kansal, A. Laha, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma,

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

H. Bakhshiansohi³⁸, E. Khazaie, M. Zeinali³⁹,

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

S. Chenarani⁴⁰, S.M. Etesami, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Grunewald,

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, R. Aly^{a,c,41}, C. Aruta^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, A. Di Florio^{a,b}, A. Di Pilato^{a,b}, W. Elmetenawee^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, A. Gelmi^{a,b}, M. Gul^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, M. Ince^{a,b}, S. Lezki^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, I. Margjeka^{a,b}, V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b}, S. My^{a,b}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pellecchia^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, D. Ramos^a, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, F.M. Simone^{a,b}, R. Venditti^a, P. Verwilligen^a,

^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana^{a,b}, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, L. Borghonovi^a, L. Brigliadori^a, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, T. Diotallevi^{a,b}, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, L. Giommi^{a,b}, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Lo Meo^{a,42}, L. Lunerti^{a,b},

S. Marcellini ^a, G. Masetti ^a, F.L. Navarria ^{a,b}, A. Perrotta ^a, F. Primavera ^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi ^{a,b}, T. Rovelli ^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli ^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

S. Albergo ^{a,b,43}, S. Costa ^{a,b,43}, A. Di Mattia ^a, R. Potenza ^{a,b}, A. Tricomi ^{a,b,43}, C. Tuve ^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

G. Barbagli ^a, A. Cassese ^a, R. Ceccarelli ^{a,b}, V. Ciulli ^{a,b}, C. Civinini ^a, R. D'Alessandro ^{a,b}, E. Focardi ^{a,b}, G. Latino ^{a,b}, P. Lenzi ^{a,b}, M. Lizzo ^{a,b}, M. Meschini ^a, S. Paoletti ^a, R. Seidita ^{a,b}, G. Sguazzoni ^a, L. Viliani ^a,

^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, D. Piccolo,

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

M. Bozzo ^{a,b}, F. Ferro ^a, R. Mulargia ^{a,b}, E. Robutti ^a, S. Tosi ^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

A. Benaglia ^a, G. Boldrini ^a, F. Brivio ^{a,b}, F. Cetorelli ^{a,b}, F. De Guio ^{a,b}, M.E. Dinardo ^{a,b}, P. Dini ^a, S. Gennai ^a, A. Ghezzi ^{a,b}, P. Govoni ^{a,b}, L. Guzzi ^{a,b}, M.T. Lucchini ^{a,b}, M. Malberti ^a, S. Malvezzi ^a, A. Massironi ^a, D. Menasce ^a, L. Moroni ^a, M. Paganoni ^{a,b}, D. Pedrini ^a, B.S. Pinolini ^a, S. Ragazzi ^{a,b}, N. Redaelli ^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis ^{a,b}, D. Valsecchi ^{a,b,19}, D. Zuolo ^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

S. Buontempo ^a, F. Carnevali ^{a,b}, N. Cavallo ^{a,c}, A. De Iorio ^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi ^{a,c}, A.O.M. Iorio ^{a,b}, L. Lista ^{a,b}, S. Meola ^{a,d,19}, P. Paolucci ^{a,19}, B. Rossi ^a, C. Sciacca ^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^b Università di Napoli "Federico II", Napoli, Italy

^c Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

^d Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy

P. Azzi ^a, N. Bacchetta ^a, D. Bisello ^{a,b}, P. Bortignon ^a, A. Bragagnolo ^{a,b}, R. Carlin ^{a,b}, P. Checchia ^a, T. Dorigo ^a, U. Dosselli ^a, F. Gasparini ^{a,b}, U. Gasparini ^{a,b}, G. Grosso ^a, S.Y. Hoh ^{a,b}, L. Layer ^{a,44}, E. Lusiani ^a, M. Margoni ^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo ^{a,b}, J. Pazzini ^{a,b}, P. Ronchese ^{a,b}, R. Rossin ^{a,b}, F. Simonetto ^{a,b}, G. Strong ^a, M. Tosi ^{a,b}, H. Yarar ^{a,b}, M. Zanetti ^{a,b}, P. Zotto ^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta ^{a,b}, G. Zumerle ^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^c Università di Trento, Trento, Italy

C. Aime ^{a,b}, A. Braghieri ^a, S. Calzaferri ^{a,b}, D. Fiorina ^{a,b}, P. Montagna ^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti ^{a,b}, V. Re ^a, C. Riccardi ^{a,b}, P. Salvini ^a, I. Vai ^a, P. Vitulo ^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

P. Asenov ^{a,45}, G.M. Bilei ^a, D. Ciangottini ^{a,b}, L. Fanò ^{a,b}, P. Lariccia ^{a,b}, M. Magherini ^{a,b}, G. Mantovani ^{a,b}, V. Mariani ^{a,b}, M. Menichelli ^a, F. Moscatelli ^{a,45}, A. Piccinelli ^{a,b}, M. Presilla ^{a,b}, A. Rossi ^{a,b}, A. Santocchia ^{a,b}, D. Spiga ^a, T. Tedeschi ^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

P. Azzurri ^a, G. Bagliesi ^a, V. Bertacchi ^{a,c}, L. Bianchini ^a, T. Boccali ^a, E. Bossini ^{a,b}, R. Castaldi ^a, M.A. Ciocci ^{a,b}, V. D'Amante ^{a,d}, R. Dell'Orso ^a, M.R. Di Domenico ^{a,d}, S. Donato ^a, A. Giassi ^a, F. Ligabue ^{a,c},

E. Manca^{a,c}, G. Mandorli^{a,c}, D. Matos Figueiredo^a, A. Messineo^{a,b}, F. Palla^a, S. Parolia^{a,b},
G. Ramirez-Sanchez^{a,c}, A. Rizzi^{a,b}, G. Rolandi^{a,c}, S. Roy Chowdhury^{a,c}, A. Scribano^a, N. Shafiei^{a,b},
P. Spagnolo^a, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b}, N. Turini^{a,d}, A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verdini^a,

^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^d Università di Siena, Siena, Italy

P. Barria^a, M. Campana^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, D. Del Re^{a,b}, E. Di Marco^a, M. Diemoz^a, E. Longo^{a,b},
P. Meridiani^a, G. Organtini^{a,b}, F. Pandolfi^a, R. Paramatti^{a,b}, C. Quaranta^{a,b}, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a,
F. Santanastasio^{a,b}, L. Soffi^a, R. Tramontano^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy

^b Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, N. Bartosik^a, R. Bellan^{a,b}, A. Bellora^{a,b},
J. Berenguer Antequera^{a,b}, C. Biino^a, N. Cartiglia^a, S. Cometti^a, M. Costa^{a,b}, R. Covarelli^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a,
B. Kiani^{a,b}, F. Legger^a, C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, E. Monteil^{a,b}, M. Monteno^a,
M.M. Obertino^{a,b}, G. Ortona^a, L. Pacher^{a,b}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni^{a,b},
M. Ruspa^{a,c}, K. Shchelina^a, F. Siviero^{a,b}, V. Sola^a, A. Solano^{a,b}, D. Soldi^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, M. Tornago^{a,b},
D. Trocino^a, A. Vagnerini^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy

^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

^c Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy

S. Belforte^a, V. Candelise^{a,b}, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, A. Da Rold^{a,b}, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, G. Sorrentino^{a,b},
F. Vazzoler^{a,b},

^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

S. Dogra, C. Huh, B. Kim, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak,
B.C. Radburn-Smith, S. Sekmen, Y.C. Yang,

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

H. Kim, D.H. Moon,

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

B. Francois, T.J. Kim, J. Park,

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, B. Hong, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, J. Lim, J. Park, S.K. Park, J. Yoo,

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh, A. Gurtu,

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

H.S. Kim, Y. Kim,

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi, S. Jeon, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, S. Ko, H. Kwon, H. Lee, S. Lee, B.H. Oh, M. Oh,
S.B. Oh, H. Seo, U.K. Yang, I. Yoon,

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang, D.Y. Kang, Y. Kang, S. Kim, B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee, Y. Lee, J.A. Merlin, I.C. Park, Y. Roh, M.S. Ryu,
D. Song, I.J. Watson, S. Yang,

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha, H.D. Yoo,

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi, H. Lee, Y. Lee, I. Yu,

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

T. Beyrouthy, Y. Maghrbi,

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait

K. Dreimanis, V. Veckalns,

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

M. Ambrozias, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, A. Juodagalvis, A. Rinkevicius, G. Tamulaitis,

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

N. Bin Norjoharuddeen, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli,

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, M. León Coello, J.A. Murillo Quijada, A. Sehrawat,
L. Valencia Palomo,

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

G. Ayala, H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz⁴⁶, R. Lopez-Fernandez,
C.A. Mondragon Herrera, D.A. Perez Navarro, A. Sánchez Hernández,

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, F. Vazquez Valencia,

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada,

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

J. Mijuskovic⁴⁷, N. Raicevic,

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

D. Krofcheck,

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

P.H. Butler,

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

A. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, A. Awais, M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas,

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

V. Avati, L. Grzanka, M. Malawski,

AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski,

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

K. Bunkowski, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski,

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

M. Araujo, P. Bargassa, D. Bastos, A. Boletti, P. Faccioli, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, T. Niknejad, M. Pisano, J. Seixas, O. Toldaiev, J. Varela,

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

P. Adzic⁴⁸, M. Dordevic, P. Milenovic, J. Milosevic,

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Álvarez Fernández, I. Bachiller, M. Barrio Luna, Cristina F. Bedoya, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, J. León Holgado, D. Moran, Á. Navarro Tobar, C. Perez Dengra, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, L. Urda Gómez, C. Willmott,

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz, R. Reyes-Almanza,

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez, J. Cuevas, C. Erice, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, J.R. González Fernández, E. Palencia Cortezon, C. Ramón Álvarez, V. Rodríguez Bouza, A. Soto Rodríguez, A. Trapote, N. Trevisani, C. Vico Villalba,

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, C. Fernandez Madrazo, P.J. Fernández Manteca, A. García Alonso, G. Gomez, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, P. Matorras Cuevas, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, J.M. Vizán García,

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

M.K. Jayananda, B. Kailasapathy⁴⁹, D.U.J. Sonnadara, D.D.C. Wickramarathna,

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna, K. Liyanage, N. Perera, N. Wickramage,

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

T.K. Aarrestad, D. Abbaneo, J. Alimena, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, J. Baechler, P. Baillon[†], D. Barney, J. Bendavid, M. Bianco, A. Bocci, T. Camporesi, M. Capeans Garrido, G. Cerminara, N. Chernyavskaya, S.S. Chhibra, M. Cipriani, L. Cristella, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, A. De Roeck, M.M. Defranchis, M. Deile, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, N. Emriskova, F. Fallavollita⁵⁰, D. Fasanella, A. Florent, G. Franzoni, W. Funk, S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill, F. Glege, L. Gouskos, M. Haranko, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, T. James, P. Janot, J. Kaspar, J. Kieseler, M. Komm, N. Kratochwil, C. Lange, S. Laurila, P. Lecoq, A. Lintuluoto, K. Long, C. Lourenço, B. Maier, L. Malgeri, S. Mallios, M. Mannelli, A.C. Marini, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, D. Piparo, M. Pitt, H. Qu, T. Quast, D. Rabad, A. Racz, G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Rieger, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, S. Scarfi, C. Schäfer, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, W. Snoeys, P. Sphicas⁵¹, S. Summers, K. Tatar, V.R. Tavolaro, D. Treille, P. Tropea, A. Tsiros, G.P. Van Onsem, J. Wanczyk⁵², K.A. Wozniak, W.D. Zeuner,

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

L. Caminada⁵³, A. Ebrahimi, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, M. Missiroli⁵³, L. Noehte⁵³, T. Rohe,

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

K. Androsov⁵², M. Backhaus, P. Berger, A. Calandri, A. De Cosa, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Dorfer, F. Eble, K. Gedia, F. Glessgen, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Lustermann, A.-M. Lyon, R.A. Manzoni, L. Marchese, C. Martin Perez, M.T. Meinhard, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, J. Niedziela, F. Pauss, V. Perovic, S. Pigazzini, M.G. Ratti, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, B. Ristic, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, V. Stampf, J. Steggemann⁵², R. Wallny, D.H. Zhu,

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁵⁴, P. Bäertschi, C. Botta, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, K. Cormier, A. De Wit, R. Del Burgo, J.K. Heikkilä, M. Huwiler, W. Jin, A. Jofrehei, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, S.P. Liechi, A. Macchiolo, P. Meiring, V.M. Mikuni, U. Molinatti, I. Neutelings, A. Reimers, P. Robmann, S. Sanchez Cruz, K. Schweiger, M. Senger, Y. Takahashi,

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Adloff⁵⁵, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, A. Roy, T. Sarkar³⁴, S.S. Yu,

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

L. Ceard, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y.y. Li, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, A. Steen, H.y. Wu, E. Yazgan, P.r. Yu,

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

B. Asavapibhop, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, N. Srimanobhas,

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

F. Boran, S. Damarsecin⁵⁶, Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, I. Dumanoglu⁵⁷, E. Eskut, Y. Guler⁵⁸, E. Gurpinar Guler⁵⁸, C. Isik, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir⁵⁹, A. Polatoz, A.E. Simsek, B. Tali⁶⁰, U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir,

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

B. Isildak⁶¹, G. Karapinar, K. Ocalan⁶², M. Yalvac⁶³,

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

B. Akgun, I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁶⁴, O. Kaya⁶⁵, Ö. Özçelik, S. Tekten⁶⁶, E.A. Yetkin⁶⁷,

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir, K. Cankocak⁵⁷, Y. Komurcu, S. Sen⁶⁸,

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

S. Cerci⁶⁰, I. Hos⁶⁹, B. Kaynak, S. Ozkorucuklu, D. Sunar Cerci⁶⁰, C. Zorbilmez,

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Grynyov,

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk,

National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

D. Anthony, E. Bhal, S. Bologna, J.J. Brooke, A. Bundock, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, B. Krikler, S. Paramesvaran, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith, N. Stylianou⁷⁰, K. Walkingshaw Pass, R. White,

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁷¹, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, C. Cooke, K.V. Ellis, K. Harder, S. Harper, M.-L. Holmberg, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams,

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, S. Bonomally, J. Borg, S. Breeze, O. Buchmuller, V. Cepaitis, G.S. Chahal⁷², D. Colling, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, S. Fayer, G. Fedi, G. Hall, M.H. Hassanshahi, G. Iles, J. Langford, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, D.G. Monk, J. Nash⁷³, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, A. Shtipliyski, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, T. Virdee¹⁹, M. Vojinovic, N. Wardle, S.N. Webb, D. Winterbottom,

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

K. Coldham, J.E. Cole, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu, S. Zahid,

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

S. Abdullin, A. Brinkerhoff, B. Caraway, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A.R. Kanuganti, B. McMaster, N. Pastika, M. Saunders, S. Sawant, C. Sutantawibul, J. Wilson,

Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA

R. Bartek, A. Dominguez, R. Uniyal, A.M. Vargas Hernandez,

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

A. Buccilli, S.I. Cooper, D. Di Croce, S.V. Gleyzer, C. Henderson, C.U. Perez, P. Rumerio⁷⁴, C. West,

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA

A. Akpinar, A. Albert, D. Arcaro, C. Cosby, Z. Demiragli, E. Fontanesi, D. Gastler, S. May, J. Rohlf, K. Salyer, D. Sperka, D. Spitzbart, I. Suarez, A. Tsatsos, S. Yuan, D. Zou,

Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

G. Benelli, B. Burkle, X. Coubez²⁰, D. Cutts, M. Hadley, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan⁷⁵, T. Kwon, G. Landsberg, K.T. Lau, D. Li, M. Lukasik, J. Luo, M. Narain, N. Pervan, S. Sagir⁷⁶, F. Simpson, E. Usai, W.Y. Wong, X. Yan, D. Yu, W. Zhang,

Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

J. Bonilla, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, G. Haza, F. Jensen, O. Kukral, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, B. Regnery, D. Taylor, Y. Yao, F. Zhang,

University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA

M. Bachtis, R. Cousins, A. Datta, D. Hamilton, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, M.A. Iqbal, T. Lam, W.A. Nash, S. Regnard, D. Saltzberg, B. Stone, V. Valuev,

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

K. Burt, Y. Chen, R. Clare, J.W. Gary, M. Gordon, G. Hanson, G. Karapostoli, O.R. Long, N. Manganeli, M. Olmedo Negrete, W. Si, S. Wimpenny, Y. Zhang,

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

J.G. Branson, P. Chang, S. Cittolin, S. Cooperstein, N. Deelen, D. Diaz, J. Duarte, R. Gerosa, L. Giannini, J. Guiang, R. Kansal, V. Krutelyov, R. Lee, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, F. Mokhtar, M. Pieri, B.V. Sathia Narayanan, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, A. Vartak, F. Würthwein, Y. Xiang, A. Yagil,

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

N. Amin, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, A. Dorsett, V. Dutta, J. Incandela, M. Kilpatrick, J. Kim, B. Marsh, H. Mei, M. Oshiro, M. Quinnan, J. Richman, U. Sarica, F. Setti, J. Sheplock, D. Stuart, S. Wang,

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

A. Bornheim, O. Cerri, I. Dutta, J.M. Lawhorn, N. Lu, J. Mao, H.B. Newman, T.Q. Nguyen, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, C. Wang, S. Xie, Z. Zhang, R.Y. Zhu,

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

J. Alison, S. An, M.B. Andrews, P. Bryant, T. Ferguson, A. Harilal, C. Liu, T. Mudholkar, M. Paulini, A. Sanchez, W. Terrill,

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, A. Hassani, E. MacDonald, R. Patel, A. Perloff, C. Savard, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner,

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

J. Alexander, S. Bright-Thonney, X. Chen, Y. Cheng, D.J. Cranshaw, S. Hogan, J. Monroy, J.R. Patterson, D. Quach, J. Reichert, M. Reid, A. Ryd, W. Sun, J. Thom, P. Wittich, R. Zou,

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, A. Apyan, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, D. Berry, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, K.F. Di Petrillo, V.D. Elvira, Y. Feng, J. Freeman, Z. Gecse, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, R.M. Harris, R. Heller, T.C. Herwig, J. Hirschauer, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, T. Klijnsma, B. Klima, K.H.M. Kwok, S. Lammel, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, C. Madrid, K. Maeshima, C. Mantilla, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, J. Ngadiuba, V. O'Dell, V. Papadimitriou, K. Pedro, C. Pena⁷⁷, O. Prokofyev, F. Ravera, A. Reinsvold Hall, L. Ristori, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, J. Strait, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, H.A. Weber,

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, L. Cadamuro, V. Cherepanov, F. Errico, R.D. Field, D. Guerrero, B.M. Joshi, M. Kim, E. Koenig, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K.H. Lo, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, A. Muthirakalayil Madhu, N. Rawal, D. Rosenzweig, S. Rosenzweig, J. Rotter, K. Shi, J. Sturdy, J. Wang, E. Yigitbasi, X. Zuo,

University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, R. Habibullah, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, R. Khurana, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, H. Prosper, C. Schiber, O. Viazlo, R. Yohay, J. Zhang,

Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA

M.M. Baarmand, S. Butalla, T. Elkafrawy⁷⁸, M. Hohlmann, R. Kumar Verma, D. Noonan, M. Rahmani, F. Yumiceva,

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL, USA

M.R. Adams, H. Becerril Gonzalez, R. Cavanaugh, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.A. Hangal, D.J. Hofman, A.H. Merrit, C. Mills, G. Oh, T. Roy, S. Rudrabhatla, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, J. Viinikainen, X. Wang, Z. Wu, Z. Ye,

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, IL, USA

M. Alhusseini, K. Dilsiz⁷⁹, R.P. Gandrajula, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili⁸⁰, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul⁸¹, Y. Onel, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras⁸²,

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

O. Amram, B. Blumenfeld, L. Corcodilos, J. Davis, M. Eminizer, A.V. Gritsan, S. Kyriacou, P. Maksimovic, J. Roskes, M. Swartz, T.Á. Vámi,

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

A. Abreu, J. Anguiano, C. Baldenegro Barrera, P. Baringer, A. Bean, A. Bylinkin, Z. Flowers, T. Isidori, S. Khalil, J. King, G. Krintiras, A. Kropivnitskaya, M. Lazarovits, C. Le Mahieu, C. Lindsey, J. Marquez, N. Minafra, M. Murray, M. Nickel, C. Rogan, C. Royon, R. Salvatico, S. Sanders, E. Schmitz, C. Smith, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang, Z. Warner, J. Williams, G. Wilson,

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA

S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, K. Nam,

Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright,

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA

E. Adams, A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, S.C. Eno, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, R.G. Kellogg, T. Koeth, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, C. Palmer, M. Seidel, A. Skuja, L. Wang, K. Wong,

University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

D. Abercrombie, G. Andreassi, R. Bi, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, Y. Chen, M. D'Alfonso, J. Eysermans, C. Freer, G. Gomez-Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, M. Hu, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, J. Krupa, Y.-J. Lee, C. Mironov, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephens, J. Wang, Z. Wang, B. Wyslouch,

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, J. Hiltbrand, Sh. Jain, M. Krohn, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, M. Revering, R. Rusack, R. Saradhy, N. Schroeder, N. Strobbe, M.A. Wadud,

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

K. Bloom, M. Bryson, S. Chauhan, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, L. Finco, F. Golf, C. Joo, I. Kravchenko, M. Musich, I. Reed, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow[†], W. Tabb, F. Yan, A.G. Zecchinelli,

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA

G. Agarwal, H. Bandyopadhyay, L. Hay, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. McLean, D. Nguyen, J. Pekkanen, S. Rappoccio, A. Williams,

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, Y. Haddad, A. Hortiangtham, J. Li, G. Madigan, B. Marzocchi, D.M. Morse, V. Nguyen, T. Orimoto, A. Parker, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood,

Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, Z. Chen, A. Gilbert, T. Gunter, K.A. Hahn, Y. Liu, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, M. Velasco,

Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

R. Band, R. Bucci, M. Cremonesi, A. Das, N. Dev, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, K. Lannon, J. Lawrence, N. Loukas, L. Lutton, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley, C. Mcgrady, K. Mohrman, C. Moore, Y. Musienko¹³, R. Ruchti, P. Siddireddy, A. Townsend, M. Wayne, A. Wightman, M. Zarucki, L. Zygala,

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA

B. Bylsma, B. Cardwell, L.S. Durkin, B. Francis, C. Hill, M. Nunez Ornelas, K. Wei, B.L. Winer, B.R. Yates,

The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

F.M. Addesa, B. Bonham, P. Das, G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, A. Frankenthal, B. Greenberg, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham, A. Kalogeropoulos, G. Kopp, S. Kwan, D. Lange, D. Marlow, K. Mei, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, D. Stickland, C. Tully,

Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

S. Malik, S. Norberg,

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR, USA

A.S. Bakshi, V.E. Barnes, R. Chawla, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, S. Karmarkar, D. Kondratyev, M. Liu, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, G. Paspalaki, S. Piperov, A. Purohit, J.F. Schulte, M. Stojanovic, J. Thieman, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie,

Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

J. Dolen, N. Parashar,

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, IN, USA

A. Baty, T. Carnahan, M. Decaro, S. Dildick, K.M. Ecklund, S. Freed, P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts, A. Kumar, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, W. Shi, A.G. Stahl Leiton, S. Yang, L. Zhang⁸³, Y. Zhang,

Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, E. Ranken, R. Taus,

University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, A. Gandrakota, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, O. Karacheban²³, I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S.A. Thayil, S. Thomas, H. Wang,

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ, USA

H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, S. Fiorendi, S. Spanier,

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

O. Bouhali⁸⁴, M. Dalchenko, A. Delgado, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon⁸⁵, H. Kim, S. Luo, S. Malhotra, R. Mueller, D. Overton, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov,

Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, V. Hegde, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang, A. Whitbeck,

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA

E. Appelt, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, A. Melo, H. Ni, K. Padeken, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska,

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

M.W. Arenton, B. Cox, G. Cummings, J. Hakala, R. Hirosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, A. Li, C. Neu, C.E. Perez Lara, B. Tannenwald, S. White, E. Wolfe,

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

N. Poudyal,

Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA

K. Black, T. Bose, C. Caillol, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, P. Everaerts, F. Fienga, C. Galloni, H. He, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, U. Hussain, A. Lanaro, A. Loeliger, R. Loveless, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala, A. Mallampalli, A. Mohammadi, D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang, V. Sharma, W.H. Smith, D. Teague, S. Trembath-Reichert, W. Vetens,

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA

S. Afanasiev, V. Andreev, Yu. Andreev, T. Aushev, M. Azarkin, A. Babaev, A. Belyaev, V. Blinov⁸⁶, E. Boos, V. Borshch, D. Budkouski, O. Bychkova, V. Chekhovsky, R. Chistov⁸⁶, M. Danilov⁸⁶, A. Dermenev, T. Dimova⁸⁶, I. Dremin, M. Dubinin⁷⁷, L. Dudko, V. Epshteyn, A. Ershov, G. Gavrilo, V. Gavrilo, S. Gninenko, V. Golovtsov, N. Golubev, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Gribushin, V. Ivanchenko, Y. Ivanov, V. Kachanov, L. Kardapoltsev⁸⁶, V. Karjavine, A. Karneyeu, V. Kim⁸⁶, M. Kirakosyan, D. Kirpichnikov, M. Kirsanov, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova⁸⁷, D. Konstantinov, V. Korenkov, A. Kozyrev⁸⁶, N. Krasnikov, E. Kuznetsova⁸⁸, A. Lanev, A. Litomin, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Makarenko, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev⁸⁶, V. Murzin, A. Nikitenko⁸⁹, S. Obraztsov, V. Okhotnikov, V. Oreshkin, A. Oskin, I. Ovtin⁸⁶, V. Palichik, P. Parygin, A. Pashenkov, V. Perelygin, S. Petrushanko, G. Pivovarov, S. Polikarpov⁸⁶, V. Popov, O. Radchenko⁸⁶, M. Savina, V. Savrin, D. Seitova, V. Shalaev, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, Y. Skovpen⁸⁶, S. Slabospitskii, I. Smirnov, V. Smirnov, A. Snigirev, D. Sosnov, A. Stepenov, V. Sulimov, E. Tcherniaev, A. Terkulov, O. Teryaev, M. Toms, A. Toropin, L. Uvarov, A. Uzunian, E. Vlasov, S. Volkov, A. Vorobyev, N. Voytishin, B.S. Yuldashev⁹⁰, A. Zarubin, I. Zhizhin, A. Zhokin,

Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

[†] Deceased.

¹ Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia.

² Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria.

³ Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt.

⁴ Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.

⁵ Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

⁶ Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

⁷ Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil.

⁸ Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

⁹ Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil.

¹⁰ Also at Nanjing Normal University Department of Physics, Nanjing, China.

¹¹ Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA.

¹² Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

¹³ Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.

¹⁴ Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁵ Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁶ Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.

¹⁷ Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

¹⁸ Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey.

¹⁹ Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

²⁰ Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.

²¹ Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

²² Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

²³ Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

²⁴ Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany.

²⁵ Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.

²⁶ Also at Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary.

- ²⁷ Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ²⁸ Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ²⁹ Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
- ³⁰ Also at Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
- ³¹ Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.
- ³² Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India.
- ³³ Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.
- ³⁴ Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ³⁵ Also at Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Mumbai, India.
- ³⁶ Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ³⁷ Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ³⁸ Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany.
- ³⁹ Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.
- ⁴⁰ Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran.
- ⁴¹ Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ⁴² Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy.
- ⁴³ Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy.
- ⁴⁴ Also at Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy.
- ⁴⁵ Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy.
- ⁴⁶ Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico.
- ⁴⁷ Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
- ⁴⁸ Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ⁴⁹ Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka.
- ⁵⁰ Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.
- ⁵¹ Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ⁵² Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- ⁵³ Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ⁵⁴ Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.
- ⁵⁵ Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France.
- ⁵⁶ Also at Şirnak University, Sirnak, Turkey.
- ⁵⁷ Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey.
- ⁵⁸ Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey.
- ⁵⁹ Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey.
- ⁶⁰ Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ⁶¹ Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶² Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey.
- ⁶³ Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey.
- ⁶⁴ Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁵ Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁶ Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ⁶⁷ Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁸ Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ⁶⁹ Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷⁰ Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium.
- ⁷¹ Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁷² Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.
- ⁷³ Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ⁷⁴ Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy.
- ⁷⁵ Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- ⁷⁶ Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- ⁷⁷ Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.
- ⁷⁸ Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ⁷⁹ Also at Bingöl University, Bingöl, Turkey.
- ⁸⁰ Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ⁸¹ Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- ⁸² Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey.
- ⁸³ Also at Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China.
- ⁸⁴ Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ⁸⁵ Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.
- ⁸⁶ Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ⁸⁷ Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia.
- ⁸⁸ Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.
- ⁸⁹ Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.
- ⁹⁰ Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.