

EVALUATION OF FOOD ADDITIVES ON THE RHEOLOGIC PROPERTIES OF WINTER WHEAT FLOURS

Péter Sipos , Zoltán Győri

University of Debrecen Centre for Agricultural and Applied Economic Sciences Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences and Environmental Management Institute of Food Science, Quality Assurance and Microbiology, 138 Böszörményi Street, Debrecen, H-4032 Hungary.
e-mail: siposp@agr.unideb.hu

ABSTRACT

The effects of different flour additives on the Farinograph, Alveograph and Extensograph properties of BL-80 flour were evaluated. The purpose of the study was to evaluate the way and degree of their influences to establish a subsequent research on their possible substitution by natural ingredients. We found that the effects of flour additives can be measured by rheologic methods and the extends of changes determined by different methods are similar.

1. INTRODUCTION

The significant changes in the baking industry resulted significant changes in the bread making process. A hotly-debated question became the utilization of different flour additives. It is necessary, because the industry must satisfy the quantity requirements, and a part of consumers requires relatively cheap bread with homogenous quality. Opposers emphasizes that the dose-depending long-term effect of the artificial additives is not known, and the reactions between the different additives, consumed by different foodstuffs, is also not clearly revealed.

The main reasons of using optional additives in bread making are the helping the crumb structure development, improvement of nutritional and sensory quality and making longer shelf-life for the products (Singh et al., 2003). The main groups of ingredients are acids, enzymes, surfactants, sugar, milk or milk solids and improvers (Hoseney, 1994) and they have an important effect on dough and bread properties but the consumers are increasingly abandoning the artificial or simply unknown food ingredients. An Eurobarometer survey found in 2006 that 57% of consumers believed that food additives were potential food risk – it is 4% higher value than the rate of those, who were afraid of BSE and the proportion is almost the same in the case of GMOs, dioxin and microbiologic spoilage (Bánáti, 2008).

Research project was started to evaluate the possibility of substitution of artificial additives by natural food components to decrease the non natural components in our general foodstuff, the bakery products. This project includes the evaluation of commercially available complex flour improvers and the possible natural materials and ingredients. Our examinations includes the study of the effect of the type and dose of these additives on the traditional quality parameters (e.g. their effect on the solubility of protein by determination of wet gluten content and gluten

properties), rheologic parameters (as Farinograph, Alveograph and Extensigraph parameters) and bread properties (examined by test loaf making).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Analyzed flour samples were made from standard commercial flour type BL-80 from ABO MILL LTD, Nyíregyháza. Flour additives were provided by Stamat-Ireks Ltd and ABO-MILL LTD. The following flour additives and concentrations were applied: Rónapán, Tigris, Negropán, Multec Data HP20, Multec tac 20, Enzypán, Enzy Passat, Intenzív Tornádó "S" and Soja Austria PAN were added in 0.5%; Aromapaszta, enzyme active wheat flour and Buláta were added in 1%; Soy isolate, Soja Austria SAN, Lupisan and artichoke flour were added in 5%; Fevepur faba bean flour and Pur Malt were added in 0.3%, Novo2zm2 Bisc, Multazyme plus optimal enzyme, Multazyme plus Ait enzyme were added in 0.005% concentration of the wheat flour. The amounts of additives were specified by recommendations of producers.

Laboratory tests were made in the accredited laboratory of University of Debrecen, Centre for Agricultural and Applied Economic Sciences, Institute of Food Science, Quality Assurance and Microbiology. Analyzed parameters were the Farinograph value, according to MSZ ISO 5530-3:1995 using Brabender Farinograph (Duisburg, Germany), Alveograph parameters, according to AACC Method 54-30.02 using Chopin Alveograph (Villeneuve-la-Garenne, France) and Extensograph parameters, according to AACC Method 54-10.01 using Brabender Extensograph (Duisburg, Germany).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Effect of flour additives on the Farinograph parameters

The examined flour additives significantly affected the Farinograph value (Table 1). The most of additives increased the baking value of BL-80 wheat flour; Tigris, Negropán, Soy isolate, Multec Data HP20, Multec tac, Lupisan, Fevepur faba bean flour and Multazyme plus optimal enzyme also resulted improved baking value. Whereas, addition of Negropán and Buláta resulted significant decrease in Farinograph value and rushed down the quality of base flour to feed (C) quality. The reactions of additives are more balanced in the case of water absorption capacity. It was increased by Soy isolate and Lupisan to a great extent but Artichoke flour resulted high decrease. Other additives slightly decreased or did not influenced the water absorption capacity of flours.

Table 1. Effect of flour additives on Farinograph and Alveograph properties of BL-80 flour

| | Baking value | Water absorption, % | P, mm | L, mm | P/L | G, ml | W, 10 ⁻⁴ J |
|---|--------------|---------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-----------------------|
| Control | 69,0 | 69,4 | 140 | 76 | 1,86 | 19,4 | 374 |
| 0,5% Rónapán | 71,6 | 68,6 | 102 | 93 | 1,09 | 21,5 | 346 |
| 0,5% Tigris | 70,7 | 67,0 | 93 | 96 | 0,97 | 21,8 | 324 |
| 0,5% Negropan | 34,5 | 66,4 | 41 | 175 | 0,24 | 29,5 | 152 |
| 5,0% Soy isolate | 79,0 | 72,0 | 203 | 26 | 7,72 | 11,4 | 239 |
| 0,5% Multec Data HP 20 | 81,0 | 69,0 | 90 | 107 | 0,84 | 23,1 | 299 |
| 0,5 % Multec tac | 81,5 | 69,0 | 97 | 89 | 1,09 | 21,0 | 291 |
| 5,0% Lupisan | 77,1 | 72,2 | 135 | 56 | 2,43 | 16,6 | 284 |
| 0,5% Enzypán | 54,6 | 67,4 | 90 | 99 | 0,9 | 22,2 | 318 |
| 0,5% Enzy Passat | 57,2 | 68,0 | 94 | 101 | 0,93 | 22,4 | 342 |
| 1,0% Buláta | 31,4 | 67,2 | 91 | 96 | 0,95 | 21,8 | 296 |
| 0,5% Intenzív Tornádó "S" | 58,6 | 66,4 | 92 | 80 | 1,15 | 19,9 | 282 |
| 1,0% Aromapaszta | 64,0 | 66,0 | 93 | 92 | 1,01 | 21,4 | 285 |
| 0,3 % Fevepur fava bean flour | 71,6 | 66,6 | 70 | 103 | 0,68 | 22,6 | 241 |
| 0,1% Pur Malt enzyme active wheat flour | 63 | 65,8 | 67 | 93 | 0,72 | 21,6 | 216 |
| 10% Artichoke flour | 67,7 | 58,6 | 106 | 29 | 3,7 | 11,9 | 139 |
| 0,005% Novo2ym2 Bisc | 48,8 | 66 | 41 | 98 | 0,42 | 22 | 87 |
| 0,005% Multazyme plus optimal enzyme | 70,7 | 66,8 | 65 | 121 | 0,53 | 24,5 | 245 |
| 0,005% Multazyme plus AIT enzyme | 66,4 | 66,6 | 68 | 105 | 0,68 | 22,8 | 239 |

3.2. Effect of flour additives on the Alveograph parameters

The P value was increased by more than 25% by the addition of soy isolate. Highest decreases were achieved by addition of Negropan and Novo2ym Bisc; both additives decreased the P value by about 40%. Enzyme products, enzyme active wheat flour and faba bean flour resulted similar effect. L value was significantly increased by Negropan and Multazyme plus optimal enzyme but soy isolate, artichoke flour and Lupisan resulted the highest decrease of extensibility.

These changes of Alveograph curve also resulted differences in P/L values. Emerging increases were observed in the case of addition of soy isolate, artichoke flour and Lupisan, while Negropan and enzyme products resulted the highest decrease in P/L value. Other additives resulted decrease in lower measure. W value was decreased by all the examined additives. Highest decreases were caused by Novo2ym 2 Bisc, artichoke flour and Negropan, but other additives also resulted 15-25% lower W values than original BL-80 flour.

3.3. Effect of flour additives on the Extensograph parameters

The effects and the measure of effects of different additives on Extensograph parameters were different than we have expected by the Alveograph parameters (Table 2). Highest decreases of deformation energy after 45 minutes of dough were resulted by Multec tac, Pan and Lupisan, while Rónapán, Tigris, Enzipan, Enzy passat, Buláta, Intenzív tornádó S, Aromapaszta and faba bean flour resulted increase. The energy of control flour was almost linearly increased by resting time, but only the character of changes remained in the case of additives. Only soy isolate and Multazyme plus optimal enzyme did not affected the value of Extensograph energy of flours.

Resistance to extension of flour was increased by artichoke flour in the highest degree. Other additives also resulted increase, only Negropan resulted decrease in resistance. The resting of dough decreased the improving effect of additives or their resistance values were lower than the values of BL-80 flour. These additives (e.g. soy isolate) stabilized the resistance value of resting dough.

Similarly homogenous are the effects of additives on the extensibility of flours. It was significantly decreased by Negropan and artichoke flour in all examining time, while the effects of other additives are only a small degree increase or decrease. The effect of resting time was not significant on extensibility values.

Maximum resistance to extension was also significantly increased by addition of artichoke flour regarding to control flour, but its effect was observable only after the 45th minute. Lupisan and Multazyme plus optimal enzyme decreased the value of maximal resistance by 25-50%, soy isolate, Multec Data HP20, Multec tac and Multazyme plus AIT had no significant effect, while the other additives unambiguously increased it in the first examination time. These effects were observed in the other examination times or their small increases were found.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We found that all the examined flour additives had significant effect on the rheologic properties of BL-80 wheat flour. The same flour became suitable for pizza and pasta making by the application of adequate additive, regarding the Farinograph parameters. Although the Alveograph and Extensograph measurement results similar values, the application of different flour additives results different effect on dough energy and extensibility. This means it is not enough to examine the results of either, the other test is required to do to determine the real behaviour of dough.

Table 2. Effect of flour additives on Extensograph properties of BL-80 flour

| | Energy, cm ² | | | Resistance to extension, BU | | | Extensibility, mm | | | Max resistance, BU | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------|-----|-----|--------------------|-------|-------|
| | 45 | 90 | 135 | 45 | 90 | 135 | 45 | 90 | 135 | 45 | 90 | 135 |
| Control | 44 | 34 | 19 | 116 | 114 | 83 | 180 | 165 | 147 | 190 | 157 | 98 |
| 0,5% Rónapán | 66 | 84 | 84 | 168 | 235 | 262 | 184 | 188 | 167 | 279 | 344 | 384 |
| 0,5% Tigris | 62 | 91 | 74 | 174 | 309 | 280 | 171 | 164 | 156 | 280 | 437 | 374 |
| 0,5% Negropan | - | 7 | 26 | - | 45 | 88 | - | 103 | 165 | - | 46 | 122 |
| 5,0% Soy isolate | 46 | 40 | 25 | 118 | 138 | 87 | 186 | 157 | 157 | 190 | 187 | 123 |
| 0,5% Multec Data HP 20 | 43 | 34 | 20 | 120 | 106 | 82 | 176 | 170 | 148 | 185 | 152 | 99 |
| 0,5 % Multec tac | 39 | 33 | 25 | 116 | 115 | 104 | 179 | 162 | 141 | 169 | 151 | 135 |
| 5,0% Lupisan | 27 | 24 | 49 | 76 | 88 | 163 | 171 | 148 | 155 | 123 | 124 | 246 |
| 0,5% Enzypán | 87 | 102 | 94 | 194 | 278 | 291 | 197 | 173 | 167 | 343 | 470 | 452 |
| 0,5% Enzy Passat | 69 | 92 | 110 | 168 | 258 | 325 | 188 | 179 | 178 | 285 | 411 | 486 |
| 1,0% Buláta | 60 | 64 | 48 | 136 | 162 | 138 | 195 | 188 | 174 | 242 | 261 | 209 |
| 0,5% Intenzív Tornádó "S" | 70 | 108 | 84 | 173 | 331 | 244 | 185 | 168 | 175 | 302 | 495 | 378 |
| 1,0% Aromapaszta | 86 | 74 | 59 | 204 | 208 | 188 | 186 | 171 | 165 | 356 | 326 | 266 |
| 0,3 % Fevepur fava bean flour | 54 | 70 | 61 | 138 | 197 | 194 | 180 | 176 | 163 | 231 | 300 | 278 |
| 0,1% Pur Malt enzyme active wheat flour | 56 | 65 | 55 | 132 | 166 | 161 | 186 | 186 | 171 | 235 | 271 | 245 |
| 10% Artichoke flour | 97 | 182 | 163 | 414 | 850 | 820 | 135 | 137 | 126 | 262 | 1001* | 1001* |
| 0,005% Novo2ym2 Bisc | cannot valuable | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0,005% Multazyme plus optimal enzyme | 35 | 33 | 13 | 86 | 87 | 60 | 191 | 180 | 138 | 139 | 138 | 66 |
| 0,005% Multazyme plus AIT enzyme | 42 | 49 | 43 | 102 | 124 | 126 | 193 | 194 | 176 | 167 | 193 | 186 |

*Values above 1000 BU are uncertain values

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work is supported by the TÁMOP 4.2.1./B-09/1/KONV-2010-0007 project. The project is implemented through the New Hungary Development Plan, co-financed by the European Social Fund and the European Regional Development Fund.

This work is also supported by OMFB-01041/2009 project.

REFERENCES

1. AACC Method 54-10.01. Physical Dough Tests. Extensigraph Method, General (1999)
2. AACC Method 54-30.02. Physical Dough Tests. Alveograph Method for Soft and Hard Wheat Flour (1999)
3. Bánáti D. (2008): Fear of food in Europe? Fear of foods in Europe through Hungarian experience. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 2008, 19: 8. 441-444. p.

4. Hosoney, R. C. (1994) Principles of cereal science and technology. Second Edition, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN, 1994, 231–234. p.
5. MSZ ISO 5530-3:1995. Wheat flour. Physical characteristics of doughs. Part 3: Determination of water absorption and rheological properties using a valorigraph (1995)
6. Singh N., Bajaja I. K., Singh R. P., Gujrara H. S. (2003): Effect of different additives on mixograph and bread making properties of Indian wheat flour. Journal of Food Engineering, 2003, 56:1 89-95. p.