

# STABILITY OF A SUM FORM FUNCTIONAL EQUATION ON OPEN DOMAIN

I. KOCSIS

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that the sum form functional equation  $\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) = d$ , which holds for all complete n-ary ( $n \geq 3$  is fixed) probability distributions  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  with positive probabilities and for some  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ , is stable.

## 1. INRODUCTION

For fixed natural number  $n \geq 3$  and real number  $c > 0$  define the sets  $\Gamma_n^0$  and  $\Delta_c$  by

$$\Gamma_n^0 = \{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in ]0, 1[^n : \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Delta_c = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x, y, x + y \in ]0, c[\},$$

respectively.

The functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) = d, \quad (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n^0 \quad (1)$$

where  $n \geq 3$  is fixed integer,  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  is fixed and the real-valued unknown function  $\varphi$  is defined on the open unit interval  $]0, 1[$  is solved in Losonczi [3] by proving the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  be fixed integer and  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed. Suppose that  $\varphi : ]0, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies equation (1) for all  $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n^0$ . Then there exists an additive function  $A$  (that is a function  $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the equation  $A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ) such that*

$$\varphi(p) = A(p) - \frac{A(1) - d}{n}, \quad p \in ]0, 1[.$$

In this note we prove the stability of (1) in the following sense: If

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - d \right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n^0 \quad (2)$$

for a function  $\varphi : ]0, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , a natural number  $n \geq 3$  and fixed real numbers  $d$  and  $0 \leq \varepsilon$  then there exists a real number  $K$  such that

$$\left| \varphi(p) - A(p) + \frac{A(1) - d}{n} \right| \leq K\varepsilon, \quad p \in ]0, 1[$$

with some additive function  $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For the general problem of the stability of functional equations in Hyers-Ulam sense we refer to the survey paper of Hyers and Rassias [1].

A similar problem has been solved on closed domain in Maksa [4] (therein  $\overline{\Gamma}_n^0$  and  $]0, 1[$  were considered instead of  $\Gamma_n^0$  and  $]0, 1[$ , respectively) and the result was applied in Kocsis-Maksa [2] to prove that a sum form functional equation arising in a characterization of an information measure is stable. The difficulty of the open domain case lies in the fact that the zero probabilities are excluded.

## 2. THE STABILITY OF THE CAUCHY EQUATION ON OPEN SQUARE

The stability of the Cauchy equation on restricted open domains has been investigated by Skof in [5] and by Jacek and Józef Tabor in [6].

Let  $0 \leq \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval of positive length. We say that a function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\delta$ -additive (see [5] and [6]) if

$$|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq \delta \quad (3)$$

for all  $x, y, x+y \in I$ . It is proved in [6] (see Theorem 1) that in the case  $0 \in \text{cl}I$  for each  $0 \leq \delta$  and for each  $\delta$ -additive function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there exists an additive function  $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f(x) - A(x)| \leq \delta$  for all  $x \in I$ . This is the main tool in proving the following

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $b, c \in ]0, \infty[$  and  $0 \leq \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed. Suppose that the function  $f : ]-2b, 2c[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the inequality (3) for all  $(x, y) \in ]-b, c[^2$ . Then there exists an additive function  $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$|f(x) - A(x)| \leq 5\delta$$

holds for all  $x \in ]-2b, 2c[$ .

*Proof.* First we prove that the conditions of the lemma imply that the function  $f$  is  $5\delta$ -additive on the interval  $] - 2b, 2c[$  and next we apply Tabor's result.

Let  $x, y, x+y \in ]-2b, 2c[$ . Then  $\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2} \in ]-b, c[$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| &\leq |f(x+y) - 2f(\frac{x+y}{2})| + |2f(\frac{x+y}{2}) - f(\frac{x}{2}) - f(\frac{y}{2})| + \\ &\quad + |2f(\frac{x}{2}) - f(x)| + |2f(\frac{y}{2}) - f(y)| \leq 5\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 1 in [6] to the function  $f$  with  $I = ]-2b, 2c[$  and  $G = \mathbb{R}$  we get the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

## 3. THE MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  be a fixed integer and  $0 \leq \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed. Suppose that the inequality (2) holds for all  $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n^0$ . Then there exists a real number  $K$  and an additive function  $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$|\varphi(p) - A(p) + \frac{A(1) - d}{n}| \leq K\varepsilon$$

for all  $p \in ]0, 1[$ .

*Proof.* With the notation  $\psi(p) = \varphi(p) - \frac{d}{n}$ ,  $p \in ]0, 1[$  (2) reduces to

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(p_i) \right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n^0. \quad (4)$$

Define the function  $h$  on  $]0, 1[$  by  $h(x) = \psi(x) - 2\psi(\frac{1}{2n})$ . We show that

$$|h(x+y) - h(x) - h(y)| \leq L\varepsilon, \quad (x, y) \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}[^2, \quad (5)$$

where

$$L = \begin{cases} \frac{17}{8} & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 16 & \text{if } n > 3. \end{cases}$$

The case  $n = 3$ . Let  $(x, y) \in \Delta_1$ . Substituting  $p_1 = x, p_2 = y, p_3 = 1 - x - y$  in (4) we get

$$|\psi(x) + \psi(y) + \psi(1 - x - y)| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

With  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  and with  $y = \frac{1}{2}$  (6) implies

$$|\psi(\frac{1}{2}) + \psi(y) + \psi(\frac{1}{2} - y)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad y \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}[ \quad (7)$$

and

$$|\psi(x) + \psi(\frac{1}{2}) + \psi(\frac{1}{2} - x)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad x \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}[, \quad (8)$$

respectively. Adding the inequalities (6), (7) and (8) up and applying the triangle inequality we have that

$$|\psi(1 - x - y) - \psi(\frac{1}{2} - x) - \psi(\frac{1}{2} - y) - 2\psi(\frac{1}{2})| \leq 3\varepsilon, \quad (x, y) \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}[^2.$$

Replacing here  $x$  and  $y$  by  $\frac{1}{2} - x$  and  $\frac{1}{2} - y$ , respectively we obtain

$$|\psi(x + y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - 2\psi(\frac{1}{2})| \leq 3\varepsilon, \quad (x, y) \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}[^2. \quad (9)$$

With the substitutions  $p_1 = \frac{1}{6}, p_2 = \frac{1}{2}, p_3 = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = \frac{1}{3}$  in (4) we get that  $|\psi(\frac{1}{6}) + \psi(\frac{1}{2}) + \psi(\frac{1}{3})| \leq \varepsilon$  and  $3|\psi(\frac{1}{3})| \leq \varepsilon$ , respectively. Thus, by the triangle inequality,

$$|\psi(\frac{1}{6}) + \psi(\frac{1}{2})| \leq \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon \quad (10)$$

Now (5) follows from (9),(10) and the definition of  $h$  with  $n = 3$ .

The case  $n > 3$ . Let  $c \in ]0, 1[$  and  $(x, y) \in \Delta_c$ . With the substitutions  $p_1 = x, p_2 = y, p_3 = c - x - y, p_4 = \dots = p_n = \frac{1-c}{n-3}$  and  $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = \frac{c}{3}, p_4 = \dots = p_n = \frac{1-c}{n-3}$  in (4) we get that

$$|\psi(x) + \psi(y) + \psi(c - x - y) + (n - 3)\psi(\frac{1-c}{n-3})| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{and}$$

$$|3\psi(\frac{c}{3}) + (n - 3)\psi(\frac{1-c}{n-3})| \leq \varepsilon,$$

respectively. Applying the triangle inequality we have

$$|\psi(x) + \psi(y) + \psi(c - x - y) - 3\psi(\frac{c}{3})| \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad (x, y) \in \Delta_c, \quad (11)$$

while with the substitutions  $p_1 = x + y, p_2 = c - x - y, p_3 = \dots = p_n = \frac{1-c}{n-2}$  and  $p_1 = p_2 = \frac{c}{2}, p_3 = \dots = p_n = \frac{1-c}{n-2}$  we get that

$$|\psi(x + y) + \psi(c - x - y) + (n - 2)\psi(\frac{1-c}{n-2})| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and}$$

$$|2\psi(\frac{c}{2}) + (n - 2)\psi(\frac{1-c}{n-2})| \leq \varepsilon,$$

respectively. Applying the triangle inequality again we have

$$|\psi(x + y) - \psi(c - x - y) - 2\psi(\frac{c}{2})| \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad (x, y) \in \Delta_c. \quad (12)$$

The inequalities (11) and (12) imply that

$$|\psi(x+y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - 2\psi(\frac{c}{2}) + 3\psi(\frac{c}{3})| \leq 4\varepsilon, \quad (x, y) \in \Delta_c. \quad (13)$$

Now we show that the inequality (13) holds for all  $(x, y) \in \Delta_1$  with  $12\varepsilon$  instead of  $4\varepsilon$  on the right hand side.

Let  $d \in ]0, 1[$ . Then, by (13),

$$|\psi(x+y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - 2\psi(\frac{d}{2}) + 3\psi(\frac{d}{3})| \leq 4\varepsilon, \quad (x, y) \in \Delta_d.$$

moreover for a fixed  $(x, y) \in \Delta_{\min\{c,d\}}$  the triangle inequality implies that

$$|2\psi(\frac{c}{2}) - 3\psi(\frac{c}{3}) - 2\psi(\frac{d}{2}) + 3\psi(\frac{d}{3})| \leq 8\varepsilon, \quad c, d \in ]0, 1[. \quad (14)$$

Now let  $(x, y) \in \Delta_1$ . Then there exists  $d \in ]0, 1[$  such that  $(x, y) \in \Delta_d$ . Thus by (13) and (14), we obtain that

$$|\psi(x+y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - 2\psi(\frac{c}{2}) + 3\psi(\frac{c}{3})| \leq |\psi(x+y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - 2\psi(\frac{d}{2}) + 3\psi(\frac{d}{3})| + |2\psi(\frac{d}{2}) - 3\psi(\frac{d}{3}) - 2\psi(\frac{c}{2}) + 3\psi(\frac{c}{3})| \leq 12\varepsilon, \quad (x, y) \in \Delta_1. \quad (15)$$

With  $p_1 = \dots = p_n = \frac{1}{n}$  and with  $p_1 = \frac{1}{2n}, p_2 = \frac{3}{2n}, p_3, \dots, p_n = \frac{1}{n}$  (4) implies that

$$|n\psi(\frac{1}{n})| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi(\frac{1}{2n}) + \psi(\frac{3}{2n}) + (n-2)\psi(\frac{1}{n})| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (16)$$

respectively, that is,

$$|\psi(\frac{1}{2n}) + \psi(\frac{3}{2n})| \leq (1 + \frac{n-2}{n})\varepsilon. \quad (17)$$

The inequality (15) with  $c = \frac{3}{n}$ , (16) and the triangle inequality yield

$$|\psi(x+y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - 2\psi(\frac{3}{2n})| \leq 12\varepsilon + 3|\psi(\frac{1}{n})| \leq (12 + \frac{3}{n})\varepsilon, \quad (x, y) \in \Delta_1. \quad (18)$$

The inequalities (17) and (18) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x+y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) + 2\psi(\frac{1}{n})| &\leq |\psi(x+y) - \psi(x) - \psi(y) - 2\psi(\frac{3}{2n})| + \\ &+ 2|\psi(\frac{3}{2n}) + \psi(\frac{1}{2n})| \leq (12 + \frac{3}{n} + 2 + 2\frac{n-2}{n})\varepsilon \leq 16\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x, y) \in \Delta_1 \supset ]0, \frac{1}{2}[^2$ , that is, (5) holds also for  $n > 3$ .

Define the function  $g$  on  $] -\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}[$  by  $g(t) = \psi(t + \frac{1}{n})$ . We show that

$$|g(\xi + \eta) - g(\xi) - g(\eta)| \leq 3L\varepsilon, \quad (\xi, \eta) \in ] -\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}[^2. \quad (19)$$

It follows from (5) that

$$|h(\xi + \eta + \frac{1}{n}) - h(\xi + \frac{1}{2n}) - h(\eta + \frac{1}{2n})| \leq L\varepsilon, \quad (\xi, \eta) \in ] -\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}[^2. \quad (20)$$

With  $\eta = 0, \xi = 0$  (20) yields

$$|h(\xi + \frac{1}{n}) - h(\xi + \frac{1}{2n}) - h(\frac{1}{2n})| \leq L\varepsilon \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left| h\left(\eta + \frac{1}{n}\right) - h\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) - h\left(\eta + \frac{1}{2n}\right) \right| \leq L\varepsilon,$$

respectively. The last three inequalities and the triangle inequality imply that

$$\left| h\left(\xi + \eta + \frac{1}{n}\right) - h\left(\xi + \frac{1}{n}\right) - h\left(\eta + \frac{1}{n}\right) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) \right| \leq 3L\varepsilon, \quad \text{that is,}$$

$$\left| \psi\left(\xi + \eta + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \psi\left(\xi + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \psi\left(\eta + \frac{1}{n}\right) \right| \leq 3L\varepsilon, \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \left] -\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n} \right[.$$

Thus, by the definition of  $g$ , we obtain (19). Applying our Lemma to the function  $g$  in (19) we get that there exists an additive function  $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\left| g(x) - A(x) \right| \leq 15L\varepsilon, \quad x \in \left] -\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right[. \quad (21)$$

Finally let  $x \in ]0, 1[$ . Then there exists  $(\xi, \eta) \in \left] -\frac{1}{2n}, 1 - \frac{1}{2n} \right[$  such that  $x = \xi + \eta + \frac{1}{n}$ . By the definition of the function  $\psi, h$  and  $g$  and by (21) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \varphi(x) - A(x) + \frac{A(1) - d}{n} \right| &= \left| \psi(x) - A(x) + \frac{A(1)}{n} \right| = \\ &= \left| \psi\left(\xi + \eta + \frac{1}{n}\right) - A\left(\xi + \eta + \frac{1}{n}\right) \right| = \left| g(\xi + \eta) - A(\xi + \eta) \right| \leq 15L\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark* It is clear from the proof that the inequality in Theorem 2 holds if  $K = \frac{255}{8}$  in the case  $n = 3$  and if  $K = 220$  in the case  $n > 3$ . It would be interesting to know the smallest possible value of  $K$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. H. Hyers and T. M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.* 44 (1992), 125-153.
- [2] I. Kocsis, Gy. Maksa, The stability of a sum form functional equation arising in information theory, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 79 (1-2)1998, 39-48.
- [3] L. Losonczi, Functional equations of sum form, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 32 (1985), 57-71.
- [4] Gy. Maksa, On the stability of a sum form equation, *Results in Mathematics*, 26 (1994), 342-347.
- [5] F. Skof, Sull'approssimazione delle applicazioni localmente  $\delta$ - additive, *Atti. Acad. Sc. Torino* 17 (1983), 377-389.
- [6] J. Tabor, J. Tabor, Stability of the Cauchy equation on an interval, *Aequationes Math.*, 55 (1998), 153-176.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, KOSSUTH L. UNIVERSITY, DEBRECEN  
*E-mail address:* [kocsisi@tech.klte.hu](mailto:kocsisi@tech.klte.hu)