

OPEN ACCESS

Fast simulation of the CMS detector

To cite this article: Douglas Orbaker and the Cms collaboration 2010 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **219** 032053

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- [The Fast Simulation of the CMS Detector at LHC](#)
- [The Fast Simulation of The CMS Experiment](#)
- [The Fast Simulation of the CMS Experiment](#)

Recent citations

- [Ahmad Borzou](#)
- [Search for black holes and other new phenomena in high-multiplicity final states in proton-proton collisions at \$s = 13\$ TeV](#)
A.M. Sirunyan *et al*
- [Search for anomalous production of events with three or more leptons in pp collisions at \$s=8\$ TeV](#)
S. Chatrchyan *et al*



IOP | ebooks™

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection—download the first chapter of every title for free.

Fast Simulation of the CMS Detector

Douglas Orbaker, on behalf of the CMS collaboration

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Bausch & Lomb Hall, 500
Wilson Boulevard, Rochester, NY 14627

E-mail: dorbaker@pas.rochester.edu

Abstract. The CMS collaboration has developed a fast Monte Carlo simulation of the CMS detector with event production rates 100-1000 times faster than the GEANT4-based simulation, with comparable accuracy. This paper discusses the simulation of particle propagation in the CMS detector and of the response of the different parts of the detector: the silicon tracker, the electromagnetic calorimeter, the hadronic calorimeter and the muon system.

1. Introduction

By the end of 2009, the Large Hadron Collider will restart collisions and the CMS detector [1] will begin collecting physics data. In order to make sense of the data and search for new physics phenomena, accurate and precise Monte Carlo simulations of the detector are needed. Two different types of simulation are used by the CMS collaboration: a GEANT4-based [2] simulation, colloquially known as the “Full” Simulation, and a detector model which uses simplified geometry, response evaluation and pattern recognition to decrease the processing time per event, the “Fast” Simulation. This report discusses the latter simulation.

To understand the large volume of data expected to be recorded at full luminosity, CMS scientists will need an equally large or larger amount of simulated data, on the order of a few billion events. With complex events taking minutes to simulate, the Full Simulation cannot keep up with the data rate. At 100-1000 times faster per event (see Table 1), the Fast Simulation is the only way to produce large statistic data sets necessary for studying background processes and systematic uncertainties.

Using intuitive detector parameters as inputs, the Fast Simulation can quickly and easily be tuned to reproduce the data. Not to be underestimated, the ability to tune the Fast Simulation will allow the data to be understood more quickly during the upcoming start up. At the present time, the tuning of the Fast Simulation is done using parameters derived from the Full Simulation. The agreement between the results from the full-sim tuned Fast Simulation and the Full Simulation is very good, as will be shown in some example plots later on.

Because the Fast Simulation is only a simulation of the CMS detector and not of the physics during the collision, simulated particle decays produced by event generators such as PYTHIA are used as inputs. The resulting particles are then propagated through the detector and all physically relevant material effects are included in the Fast Simulation, which is necessary to accurately model the underlying physics.

The output of the Fast Simulation is designed to be completely accessible to CMS users, containing objects with the same format as the standard offline reconstruction. Analysis code

Table 1. Timing for the Fast Simulation

Process	Gen(ms)	Fast Sim (ms)	Fast Sim w/ PU (ms)	Full Sim (ms)
Minimum Bias	6	36	42	25,000
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow llll$	26	185	338	100,000
$t\bar{t}$	30	288	441	170,000
QCD 80-120 GeV/c	26	220	373	110,000
QCD 600-980 GeV/c	26	398	551	

designed to run on data will automatically work on files made with the Fast Simulation; no changes are needed.

One of the main goals of the Fast Simulation is to produce simulated data at a level of quality that will be used in physics analyses. Some of the additional features that add realism to the simulation are in-time pile-up, emulations of the Level 1 and High Level Triggers, and mis-calibration and misalignment of the detector.

2. Materials Effects

To accurately model the propagation of the particles through the layers of the tracker, five different material effects are taken into account: bremsstrahlung, photon conversions, multiple Coulomb scattering, energy loss through ionization and nuclear interactions. All of the effects except for nuclear interactions are calculated analytically, using references such as the PDG [3].

Nuclear interactions are simulated in a different manner, because no analytical description is sufficient to describe the effect. Cross sections for various nuclear interactions, such as a pion colliding with a proton, are taken from different measurements and the probability of the interaction is calculated. The kinematics of the resulting daughter particles are derived from single particle collisions using a particle gun in the Full Simulation, saved in files made beforehand.

To save time simulating the material effects, the tracker uses a simplified geometry of nested cylindrical layers (see Figure 1). The thicknesses of the different layers in the Fast Simulation are tuned to make the probabilities for processes which are dependent on material effects similar to the Full Simulation, such as the number photons produced for electrons passing through the tracker, as seen in Figure 2.




Figure 1. Radiography of tracker by photon pair conversion from electrons in the fast (left) and full (right) simulations.




Figure 2. This plot shows the comparison of the number of photons created from electrons passing through the tracker between the Fast Simulation (triangles) and the Full Simulations (solid lines) over pseudo-rapidity. The contributions of different parts of the tracker are shown: pixels (black), pixels + inner tracker (green), pixels + inner tracker + outer tracker (blue) and pixels + inner tracker + outer tracker + support structure (red).

3. Tracking

Reconstructed hits are the most basic element of the tracking simulation. The local position resolution and efficiencies of the reconstructed hits are currently parameterized with input from the Full Simulation, and in the future they will be parameterized to match data. For the silicon strip tracker, the local positions are smeared according to a gaussian. The parameterization for the pixel detectors is more complicated, and depends on histograms derived from the Full Simulation, which make use of both the angle of incidence and the number of pixels per cluster.

To make tracks, the Fast Simulation emulates the different steps of the standard iterative tracking sequence, using only the hits from the simulated tracks to make track candidates. Therefore, each reconstructed track corresponds to a simulated track. For the seeding emulation, only seeds that pass the standard seeding criteria are included. The standard pattern recognition algorithms are too time consuming for the Fast Simulation. Like the standard pattern recognition tracking, the Fast Simulation removes hits that give large contributions to the track χ^2 from the tracks. The final tracking step uses the same fitting algorithms as the standard reconstruction sequence.

High occupancy events may have fake tracks, which are not produced by the Fast Simulation. Hit sharing between different tracks is also not included in the Fast Simulation. For specialized studies, a translation algorithm allow the reconstructed hits made with the Fast Simulation to be input into the full pattern recognition chain.

The plots in Figure 3 show the accuracy of tracking in the Fast Simulation for a variety of particles, from single muon events to more complicated top pair decays with large track multiplicities.




Figure 3. Clockwise from the top left: Pull in p_T comparison for 10 GeV μ . p_T resolution vs η comparison for 10 GeV Muons. Comparison for hits per track in $t\bar{t}$ events. Comparison of the number of tracks versus p_T for $t\bar{t}$ events. The Fast Simulation is shown red and the Full Simulation in blue.

4. Muons

Muons are propagated in the magnetic field through the material of the tracker and the calorimeters, with average energy loss included, before encountering the muon chambers. To accurately simulate the propagation of muons through the muons system, the Fast Simulation includes $\frac{dE}{dx}$ loss and multiple scattering of muons with the iron yokes of the detector.

Unlike the simulation of the tracking (Section 3) and calorimetry (Section 5), only simulated hits are produced by the Fast Simulation for muons. Muon simulated hits are produced in all three sections of the muons systems: Cathode Strip Chambers, Drift Tubes and Resistive Plate Chambers. The same sequences as the Full Simulation are used to produce digitized electronics channel responses, also called digits, from the simulated hits. The muon digits are reconstructed into tracks using all of the standard muon reconstruction algorithms. Because the interactions with the detector are included throughout the simulated muon flight path, the reconstructed muons are well modeled (see the top two plots in Figure 3).

5. Calorimetry

After leaving the layers of the tracker, the particles interact with the calorimeters. To simulate electron showers in the EM calorimeter, the Fast Simulation uses the Grindhammer parameterization, similar to GFLASH [4]. The showers are first simulated in a homogeneous

medium and then moved to the calorimeter. Detector effects such as energy leakage into the inter-crystal gaps and energy leakage out the back of the EM calorimeter to the hadron calorimeter are then included in the final measured energy. Photons undergo electron pair conversions within the EM calorimeter based on the number of radiation lengths they have traversed. The electron pairs then undergo showering. To simulate the measured energy, zero suppression and electronics noise are included.

Shower simulation in the hadron calorimeter is similar to the simulation in the EM calorimeter. A parameterization of the energy response for different types of particles at a variety of energies and pseudo-rapidities is made from the Full Simulation, with noise and nuclear interactions in the material in front of the calorimeters switched off. These two effects are simulated in the Fast Simulation. When particles reach the calorimeters, the total energy response for particles is calculated from tabulated mean and sigma values. Then the shower simulation algorithm distributes the calculated energy to the calorimeter cells. The accuracy of the calibration of the calorimeters may be changed to simulate different types of detector conditions, such as perfectly calibrated conditions in an ideal detector or mis-calibrated responses for the calorimeters during the first round of data taking. Further information on the simulation of the calorimeters is available in [5].

To illustrate accuracy of energy measurements in the calorimeters, both electron and jet comparisons are shown in Figures 4 and 5. Currently there is a discrepancy between the reconstructed calorimeter energy on the order of a few percent, as seen in the slight differences in 5. In the future, this discrepancy will be fixed when the Fast Simulation is tuned more. The jets are shown with a range of different energies. In addition, Figure 6 shows the agreement between the di-jet mass and missing energy for QCD multijet events with $\hat{p}_T = 80 - 120 \frac{GeV}{c}$, \hat{p}_T being the transverse momentum in the rest frame of the interaction.




Figure 4. Left: Comparison of electron momentum resolution between the Fast Simulation (blue) and the Full Simulation (red) in ideal detector conditions. Right: Comparison of electron reconstruction efficiency versus η between the Fast Simulation (blue) and the Full Simulation (red) in ideal detector conditions.




Figure 5. Comparison of corrected jet energies over simulated jet energies for jets with \hat{p}_T of ~ 30 GeV/c (top) and jets with \hat{p}_T of ~ 100 GeV/c (bottom). The Fast Simulation is in red and the Full Simulation is in black.




Figure 6. Comparison of dijet mass and MET for jets from QCD multijet events with $\hat{p}_T = 80 - 120$ GeV/c. The Fast Simulation is in black and the Full Simulation is in brown.

6. Applications to Physics

The Fast Simulation achieves accurate reconstruction of physics-level objects by following the propagation of the particles through the entire detector and including the materials effects within the detector. Comparisons in kinematics of the reconstructed τ leptons are good benchmarks for the overall agreement between the Fast and the Full Simulation, because all components of the CMS detector are involved in the reconstruction of these type of events (see Figure 7).

One of the main applications of tracking in physics analyses is heavy quark identification. Strict quality criteria are placed on the tracks used in heavy quark identification, making most of the bad tracking effects discussed in Section 3, such as fake rates, negligible. For this reason, the Fast Simulations gives a good description of the physics results. The accuracy of one of the heavy quark identification discriminants is shown in Figure 8.

Various features give the Fast Simulation the ability to produce event characteristics and conditions necessary for physics analysis. To simulate conditions in the high luminosity environment of the LHC, in-time pile-up can be turned on for any type of event. The Fast Simulation models in-time pile-up by including minimum-bias events, generated beforehand,

along with the decay products from the signal event in the group of particles propagated through the detector. Trigger paths are simulated in the Fast Simulation, filtering and saving events on disk based on the trigger decision. Mis-calibration of the calorimeters and misalignment of the tracker can also be included in the Fast Simulation to simulate events in the detector environment during LHC startup. Plots made with electrons before and after mis-alignment/miscalibration agree well with the Full Simulation, as seen in Figure 9.




Figure 7. Comparison of the difference between reconstructed and simulated visible energy, ΔE_T , for τ decays between the Fast Simulation (yellow) and the Full Simulation (black).




Figure 8. Comparison of the impact parameter significance for the second leading p_T track for jets from b quarks (left) and from light quarks (uds) and gluons (right) between the Fast Simulation (red, dotted) and the Full Simulation (black, solid).




Figure 9. In the top row: Comparisons of electron reconstruction efficiency in ϕ with perfect detector conditions (left) and start up detector conditions (right). In the bottom row: Comparisons of electron energy over electron momentum in perfect (left) and startup (right) conditions. Startup conditions include tracker misalignment and calorimeter mis-calibration. The Fast Simulation is shown in blue and the Full Simulation in red.

7. Conclusion

With the inclusion of all relevant material effects, the Fast Simulation of the CMS detector can accurately and quickly produce events in the standard output format. As a detector model based on intuitive parameters, the Fast Simulation can be adjusted to match data soon after the startup of collisions in late 2009. Currently, the Fast Simulation is tuned to the GEANT4-based simulation and agrees well with the Full Simulation for a variety of particle interactions across a wide range of energies. The Fast Simulation has been utilized for the large scale production of a few billion events and is ready for high statistics studies in the future.

8. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the CMS Fast Simulation group, especially Patrizia Azzi and Salavat Abdullin for their help.

References

- [1] The CMS Collaboration, Chatrchyan S et al 2008 *JINST* **3** S08004
- [2] Agostinelli S et al 2003 *Nucl. Instrum. and Meth. A* **506** 250-303
- [3] Amsler C et al 2008 *Phys. Lett. B* **667** 1
- [4] Grindhammer G, Rudowicz M and Peters S 1990 *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **290** 469
- [5] The CMS Collaboration, Bayatian G et al 2006 "CMS physics: Technical design report" *CERN-LHCC-2006-001* 55-64