22q13 microduplication syndrome in siblings with mild clinical phenotype– broadening the clinical and behavioral spectrum

Anikó Ujfalusi[1], Orsolya Nagy[1,2], Beáta Bessenyei[1], Györgyi Lente[3], Irén Kántor[4], Ádám János Borbély[5], Katalin Szakszon[6]

1. Division of Clinical Genetics, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Clinical Center, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
2. University of Debrecen, Doctoral School of Clinical Medicine, Debrecen, Hungary
3. Department of Psychology, Rehabilitation Centre for Children, Debrecen, Hungary
4. Department of Pediatrics, Jósa András County- and Teaching Hospital, Nyíregyháza, Hungary
5. Department of Psychiatry, Sántha Kálmán Special Hospital, Nagykálló, Hungary
6. Inst. of Pediatrics, University of Debrecen, Clinical Center, Debrecen, Hungary

Short title: Duplication 22q13 in siblings – a phenotypic and molecular cytogenetic report

Corresponding author:

Katalin Szakszon

Inst. of Pediatrics, University of Debrecen, Clinical Centre

4032 Debrecen, Nagyerdei krt. 98.

e-mail: szakszon.katalin@med.unideb.hu

Tel.: +36-52-411-717 ext. 56595

ORCID: 0000-0002-2659-7488

Keywords: distal trisomy 22q, pericentric inversion, 22qter, 22q13, array CGH

Abstract

Distal duplication 22q (22q13.3 → qter) is a rare condition with only 24 cases described so far. Parental balanced reciprocal translocations and pericentric inversions involving chromosome 22 predispose to the conception of an unbalanced offspring and are more frequently reported than de novo events. The clinical phenotype of patients is highly variable and does not necessarily correlate with the extent of the duplicated segment – short stature, microcephaly, hypertelorism, cleft lip or palate, low-set ears, intellectual deficit seem to be the most consistent features. Familial reoccurrence is extremely rarely reported.

Here we report on two siblings with duplication 22q13.3 → qter characterized by array CGH, whose mother is a carrier of a pericentric inversion of chromosome 22. Their relatively mild phenotype consisting of normal head circumference and borderline IQ, their exact same chromosomal breakpoints and duplication size is unique and is the first presentation of such in the literature so far.

Introduction

Duplication of the distal long arm of chromosome 22 (22q13 → qter) is an infrequently described cytogenetic anomaly, resulting in variable degree of developmental delay and dysmorphism with or without obvious neurophsychiatric symptoms in the affected individuals. To date, only 24 cases have been published, the majority of which were identified by conventional cytogenetics and FISH (Ahn et al., 2014; Bendel et al., 1982; Biesecker et al., 1995; Boyd et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2017; Failla et al., 2007; Feenstra et al., 2006; Fryns et al., 1980; Han et al., 2013; Hou, 2005; Jafri et al., 2011; Johannessen et al., 2019; Magri et al., 2015; Okamoto et al., 2007; Peeters et al., 2008; Petek et al., 2000; Schinzel, 1981; Wieczorek et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2010). Two of the above patients were identified in the frames of large studies designed for unexplained developmental delay and schizophrenia with limited phenotypical description (Ahn et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2010). Han et al. reported the two smallest duplications of 22q13 so far (Han et al., 2013).

The molecular cytogenetic classification of pure distal 22q duplications was proposed by Feenstra et al., distinguishing four groups: large duplication (22q12 →qter), intermediate (22q13.1 →qter), small (22q13.2 →qter) and smallest duplication (22q13.3 →qter). They also provided an overview of patients from the literature and their own (Feenstra et al., 2006). They concluded that patients having a duplication extending from 22q13.1 →qter show most clinical problems and have a lower survival rate, but even patients with assumingly comparable duplications show great variations, and comparison between them is difficult. The most consistent features are pre-and postnatal growth retardation, cleft palate with or without cleft lip, micrognathia, microcephaly, hypertelorism, low-set ears, congenital heart defect, renal and genital anomalies and hypotonia. In some cases, decreased life expectancy is reported (Feenstra et al., 2006).

The majority of cases arise from parental balanced translocations, a smaller proportion of patients are de novo or offspring of a parent carrying a pericentric inversion or insertion of chromosome 22. Jafri et al. demonstrated that during homologuos recombination commonly occurring in the process of meiosis, a parent with an inverted chromosome 22 has a likelihood to produce a gamete with a rearranged form of the inverted chromosome 22. This rearranged form already contains either a deletion or a duplication, resulting in the conception of an unbalanced offspring after fertilization. (Jafri et al., 2011)

In 2015, Magri et al. assessed the clinical and cytogenetic/molecular cytogenetic properties of ten patients with duplications distal from 22q13 - the intermediate, small and smallest terminal duplications, and explained the possible molecular mechanism involving a break-induced replication as part of a non-reciprocal translocation event, leading to de novo unbalanced translocation of the distal part of chromosome 22. They also pointed out

that patients with apparently the same duplicated region show a wide spectrum of phenotypic variations, and that part of these differences may be due to the different genetic background in which the duplications arise, but also to the different resolution of methods used to evaluate the extent of duplications (Magri et al., 2015). The only six patients with a molecular characterization of the breakpoints and analyzed by an array CGH methodology are those reported by Peeters et al., Failla et al., Chen et al., Okamoto et al., and Magri et al., Johannessen et al. (Chen et al., 2017; Failla et al., 2007; Johannessen et al., 2019; Magri et al., 2015; Okamoto et al., 2007; Peeters et al., 2008).

Here we present two siblings with the rare 22q13 →qter duplication and only mild symptoms, characterized by array CGH and FISH, whose mother is a carrier of a pericentric inversion of chromosome 22.

Case reports

Patient 1. was born to term as the 3rd child of healthy, non-consanguineous, Caucasian parents, with normal weight (2750 g; 10 pc) and length (49 cm; 25 pc), occipitofrontal circumference (OFC) was not recorded. The mother and father are both of normal intellect and work for a living. The first child of the parents is a 24-year-old, clinically healthy male, who works as a basic informatics educator, teaching the elderly computer use. The second child of the parents is Patient 2, an affected male. The mother had a brother, who – to her knowledge – was delivered by forceps, was severely mentally disabled, and lived in a special institution until he died in a fire accident at 26 years of age in the 1990s. He was nonverbal, tall statured and had a long chin. No biological material remained from him.

Patient 1., an 18-year-old young woman upon the first examination, was referred to genetic counseling because of obesity, mild developmental delay, secondary amenorrhea and minor morphological anomalies. Menarche was at 16 years, and there was only one menstruation in the following two years. At 16 years, her height was 158 cm (10 pc), weight 109 kg (27 kg > 97 pc) (BMI: 43.7). At 19 years, her OFC was 55cm (50pc). There were strias on the skin of the lower abdomen, and acanthosis nigricans on the neck. Prader-Willi syndrome was specifically asked to be ruled out by the endocrinologist, although the mental status and face did not resemble Prader-Willi syndrome. The patient had insulin resistance with normal fasting glucose, so dietary restrictions were prescribed. Central and peripheral sexual hormones were normal, subclinical hypothyreoidism was detected (sTSH: 8.24 mU/L (Ref.: 0.3-4.2 mU/L) and was corrected with L-thyroxin. Gynecological examination revealed a normal uterus and ovaries. Brain MRI was normal. Bone age was equal to chronological age.

A detailed psychological examination revealed early developmental delay – the patient achieved independent walking at 22 months – and a borderline intellect using the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale with uneven performance levels: verbal comprehension index was 93 (average), perceptual organization 69, processing speed 68 (both below normal), working memory 74, with a full-scale IQ of 72. She showed signs of performance anxiety, but her task awareness was above average. During the test, she replaced the more difficult, not yet automatized mathematical operations such as multiplication and division with simpler adding and subtracting but came to correct results. No behavioral problems were discovered, she had a conventional, open, friendly personality. According to the psychological evaluation, the patient compensated for her learning difficulties with good verbal skills, successful compensational strategies, and sedulity, and was able to earn a high-school degree with satisfactory grades.

Her menstruation cycle returned to normal by 18 years without further medical interference.

Morphologically, the patient had hypertelorism, mildly downslanted palpebral fissures, divergent strabismus, and broad eyebrows. The nasal bridge was high, and the nose was prominent, with posteriorly low-inserted columella and a bulbous tip. She had long philtrum and thin upper lip with downturned corners of the mouth (Fig. 1 a). Her hands and feet were small, the fingers distally tapering, with normal bone structure on X-ray (Fig.1b).

Table 1. shows an overview of the clinical features of the two patients.

G-banding reveled a normal female karyotype. The facial features and the mild developmental delay raised the suspicion of Di-George syndrome, therefore a 22q11.2/22q13.3 FISH was performed (DiGeorge/VCFS TUPLE1/22q13 probe mix, Cytocell, Rainbow Scientific Inc., Windsor, CT). but instead of monosomy 22q11.2, trisomy of the 22q13.3 region was detected. Analysis of metaphase chromosomes revealed that one of the chromosomes 22 contains two 22q13.3 regions, one on the short arm and one on the terminal end of the long arm (Fig. 2A). Using array CGH (Affymetrix CytoScan 750K and Affymetrix Chromosome Analysis Suite (ChAS) v2.0 Software, Affymetrix, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, US) duplication of chromosome 22q13.31-qter was identified, spanning 3327 Kb and 45 genes out of which 28 are OMIM genes. *SHANK3* was encompassed in the trisomy (Fig.2c). The exact gene content of the duplication, identical in the siblings, with MIM numbers is as follows: *FAM19A5 (617499), BRD1 (604589), ZBED4 (612552), ALG12 (607144), CRELD2 (607171), PIM3 (610580), IL17REL (613414), MLC1 (605908), MOV10L1 (605794), PANX2 (608421), SELENOO (607917), TUBGCP6 (610053), HDAC10 (608544), MAPK12 (602399), MAPK11 (602898), PLXNB2 (604293), PPP6R2 (610877), SBF1 (603560), ADM2 (608682), MIOX (606774), NCAPH2*

(611230), SCO2 (604272), TYMP (131222), SYCE3 (615775), CPT1B (601987), CHKB (612395), MAPK8IP2 (607755), ARSA (607574), SHANK3 (606230), ACR (102480).

The patient's molecular karyotype was: arr[hg19] 22q13.31q13.33(47,870,362-51,197,766)x3.

Patient 2. is the male sibling of Patient 1., born as the 2nd child of the parents, to term, with 3100 g weight (10-25pc) and 50 cm length (25 pc), OFC was not recorded, but there was no suspicion of microcephaly. According to the mother's memories, he seemed to be developing normally until 3 years of age, when febrile seizures developed, and reoccurred several times until the age of 5 years. On two occasions, the convulsions were life-threatening and required cardiopulmonary resuscitation. He received lamotrigine, later carbamazepine therapy. He was noted to be a reserved child in kindergarten, not engaging in activities with peers. Challenging behavior developed later in his school years: he often lost interest in his classes and walked out without permission, dropping in to other classrooms to chat with schoolmates. He went to normal primary school but needed extra support and education to achieve his degrees, basic grammatical, mathematical and literature skills have been acquired, dyslexia and dysgraphia manifested in learning difficulties, but he graduated from an evening high-school with satisfactory grades. He now works as a restaurant help-out personnel.

He was diagnosed with bipolar affective disorder and was prescribed agomelatine and aripiprazole - the latter he omitted because of fatigue, which he attributed to his medication. He has mild sleep disturbance, low frustration tolerance and occasional temper tantrums. Apart from this, he still likes to play with toys that are inappropriate to his age and comprehension, such as toy cars and tying knots on strings. His overall IQ at 18 years of age was 79, verbal IQ was 81, performance 79. His head circumference was 59 cm (90 pc).

Cytogenetic analysis of peripheral blood showed a normal male karyotype. FISH study with DiGeorge specific probe mix confirmed the trisomy of the 22q13.3 region with the same signal pattern as in his sister. Array CGH was performed also, the breakpoints and the size of the duplicated 22q13.3 region was identical to his sister's duplication (Fig. 2C). Parental FISH testing clearly revealed a pericentric inversion of chromosome 22 with breakpoints 22p13 and 22q13 in the mother with the following karyotype: 46,XX.ish inv(22)(p13q13.3)(p13)(N85A3+)(q13.3)(N85A3-) (Fig. 2B). Based on the mother's FISH result the final karyotype of the probands were: 46,XX.ish rec(22)dup(22q13.3)inv(22)(p13q13)mat and 46,XY.ish rec(22)dup(22q13.3)inv(22)(p13q13)mat. Array CGH performed on the mother's DNA sample showed a small duplication (685 Kb, breakpoints 47,474,613 and 48,159,741.) at the proximal breakpoint of the duplicated region detected in the probands (Fig. 2C). Presumably this segment was duplicated as part of the process that

generated the inversion. Based on the gene content (no OMIM genes) and the literature data this duplication does not have phenotypic consequences.

The healthy male sibling's FISH testing revealed a normal signal pattern, he was not a carrier of pericentric inversion either.

Discussion

Pure duplications of chromosome 22q, without concomitant aneusomies of other chromosomes are exceedingly rare. Under-reporting of mild cases may create a selective bias, so the true incidence of the condition remains unknown. Array CGH is a powerful tool in identifying causal copy number changes in patients with intellectual disability, it is considered to be useful even in cases of mild mental retardation. (Coutton et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2008).

Most of the reported distal 22q trisomy cases were extensively studied and the clinical features were overviewed in details by Feenstra et al., and Magri et al.(Feenstra et al., 2006; Magri et al., 2015), latter focusing on duplications distal from 22q13. The cases described by Pramparo et al., Rahikkala et al., Samanich et al., and Shimojima et al. should be handled separately, their patients having small interstitial duplications more proximally (22q13.1 to q13.31.), with overall more severe phenotypes. (Pramparo et al., 2008; Rahikkala et al., 2013; Samanich et al., 2012; Shimojima et al., 2009). The frequency of pericentric inversions, excluding the common/polymorphic inversions, is estimated to be 0.12–0.7%. (Gardner et al., 2011). According to Koolen et al., the presence of recurrent proximal breakpoints at 22q13 indicate that these specific regions are prone to recombination that may lead to translocations, inversions, duplications and deletions. (Koolen et al., 2005). Pericentric inversions are balanced rearrangements without phenotypic consequence, however, through recombination between the normal and inverted allele, a parent with an inversion may transmit an unbalanced, rearranged form of the inverted chromosome to the offspring.

In this paper we reported the familial recurrence of terminal 22q duplication with two affected siblings originating from maternal pericentric inversion of 22 (p13q13.3). The breakpoints and the size of the detected duplication were exactly the same in Patient 1. and 2., suggesting the same sequence and course of recombination events between the inverted and the normal maternal homologue of chromosome 22. The small duplication found at the breakpoint of 22q13.3 on the maternal chromosome 22, partially overlapping with the proximal breakpoints of the 22q duplication in her children, is likely the result of recombination within the inversion loop.

Peeters et al. found evidence for a consistent clinical presentation in 22qter duplication: mild to moderate mental retardation, microcephaly, and similar mild dysmorphic features. (Peeters et al., 2008) Indeed, an overview of the cases in the literature except for those published by Schinzel et al., Jafri et al., and Han et al., report on microcephaly. (Han et al., 2013; Jafri et al., 2011; Schinzel, 1981) In our report, both Patient 1. and 2. have mild dysmorphic features, such as hypertelorism, divergent strabismus, high nasal bridge and a bulbous nose tip and they both developed obesity and learning difficulties. Yet, unlike most patients with distal terminal duplications, they both have normal height and head circumference, and borderline intellect, albeit with the help of long-term developmental therapies. The phenotype seems milder in the young woman than in her brother, as she has no behavioral or adaptive problems. In their recent paper, Han et al. point out features that are the consequence of *SHANK3* overexpression: hyperphagia, seizures, reduced social interactions, and manic-like behavior. They reported the two patients with the smallest duplications so far, yet the neurobehavioral and psychiatric symptoms were quite prominent. (Han et al., 2013) In our case, obesity in both patients and the neuropsychiatric symptoms of Patient 2 –maladaptive behavior, epilepsy, repetitive, monotonous playing and bipolar affective disorder –are highly reminiscent of features reported by Han et al. Epilepsy as a clinical consequence of SHANK3 overexpression was also reported by Jin et al. (Jin et al., 2018) We assume that a gene-dose effect originating from the duplication of SHANK3 in our patients is responsible for the overlapping phenotype, yet recent literature points out the modifying effect of post-transcriptional regulation of the SHANK3 expression.(Choi et al., 2015)

In conclusion, our report supports the observation of previous authors that clinical features in the 22qter duplication syndromes show great variability, and the size of the duplicated segment does not always correlate with the phenotypic severity. Our patients are two of the very few published cases of familial recurrence of 22qter duplication syndrome characterized by array CGH, and they represent unusually mild phenotypes without microcephaly yet with detectable neuropsychiatric symptoms in one of them.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by the Ministry of National Economy, Hungary (GINOP-2.3.2-15-2016-00039).

Statement of Ethics

Written informed consent to genetic testing as well as to the presentation of photo material was obtained from all individuals. Although the tests were performed on a diagnostic purpose, ethical approval was also obtained (28676-7/2017/EÜIG)

Disclosure statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare."

Author contribution

Katalin Szakszon and Anikó Ujfalusi prepared the manuscript, Anikó Ujfalusi supervised the molecular cytogenetic studies of the patients. Katalin Szakszon is also the clinical geneticist who performed the clinical assessment, examination and genetic counseling of the family. Orsolya Nagy and Beáta Bessenyei performed the molecular karyotyping and the cytogenetic studies. Ádám Borbély was the psychiatrist of Patient 2, Györgyi Lente was psychologist of Patient 1., they assessed the behavioral and intellectual characteristics. Irén Kántor was the endocrinologist who also raised the suspicion of a possible genetic cause of the symptoms. All authors took part in revising the relevant sections.

References

Ahn, K., Gotay, N., Andersen, T. M., Anvari, A. A., Gochman, P., Lee, Y., Sanders, S., Guha, S., Darvasi, A., Glessner, J. T., Hakonarson, H., Lencz, T., State, M. W., Shugart, Y. Y., & Rapoport, J. L. (2014). High rate of disease-related copy number variations in childhood onset schizophrenia. *Molecular Psychiatry*. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2013.59

Bendel, R. P., Baldinger, S., Millard, C., & Arthur, D. C. (1982). Two successive partial trisomies for opposite halves of chromosome 22 in a mother with a balanced translocation. *Journal of Medical Genetics*.

Biesecker, L. G., Rosenberg, M., Dziadzio, L., Ledbetter, D. H., Ning, Y., Sarneso, C., & Rosenbaum, K. (1995). Detection of a subtle rearrangement of chromosome 22 using molecular techniques. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.1320580426

Boyd, L. J., Livingston, J. S., Brown, M. G., Lawce, H. J., Gilhooly, J. T., Wildin, R. S., Linck, L. M., Magenis, R. E., & Pillers, D. A. M. (2005). Meiotic exchange event within the stalk region of an inverted chromosome 22 results in a recombinant chromosome with duplication of the distal long arm. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.30895

Chen, C. H., Chen, H. I., Liao, H. M., Chen, Y. J., Fang, J. S., Lee, K. F., & Gau, S. S. F. (2017). Clinical and molecular characterization of three genomic rearrangements at chromosome 22q13.3 associated with autism spectrum disorder. *Psychiatric Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1097/YPG.0000000000000151

Choi, S. Y., Pang, K., Kim, J. Y., Ryu, J. R., Kang, H., Liu, Z., Kim, W. K., Sun, W., Kim, H., & Han, K. (2015). Post-transcriptional regulation of SHANK3 expression by microRNAs related to multiple neuropsychiatric disorders. *Molecular Brain*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13041-015-0165-3

Coutton, C., Dieterich, K., Satre, V., Vieville, G., Amblard, F., David, M., Cans, C., Jouk, P. S., & Devillard, F. (2014). Array-CGH in children with mild intellectual disability: A population-based study. *European Journal of Pediatrics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-014-2367-6

Failla, P., Romano, C., Alberti, A., Vasta, A., Buono, S., Castiglia, L., Luciano, D., Di Benedetto, D., Fichera, M., & Galesi, O. (2007). Schizophrenia in a patient with subtelomeric duplication of chromosome 22q [4]. In *Clinical Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0004.2007.00819.x

Feenstra, I., Koolen, D. A., Van der Pas, J., Hamel, B. C. J., Mieloo, H., Smeets, D. F. C. M., & Van Ravenswaaij, C. M. A. (2006). Cryptic duplication of the distal segment of 22q due to a translocation (21;22): three case reports and a review of the literature. *European Journal of Medical Genetics*, *49*(5), 384–395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2006.01.005

Fryns, J. P., de Backer, D., Lemli, L., Pedersen, J. C., & Van den Berghe, H. (1980). Partial duplication of the long arm of chromosome 22 (22q 13) with complete 22 trisomy phenotype. *Acta Paediatrica Belgica*.

Gardner, R. J. ., Sutherland, G. R., & Shaffer, L. G. (2011). *Chromosome Abnormalities and Genetic Counseling*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780195375336.001.0001

Han, K., Holder, J. L., Schaaf, C. P., Lu, H., Chen, H., Kang, H., Tang, J., Wu, Z., Hao, S., Cheung, S. W., Yu, P., Sun, H., Breman, A. M., Patel, A., Lu, H. C., & Zoghbi, H. Y. (2013). SHANK3 overexpression causes manic-like behaviour with unique pharmacogenetic properties. *Nature*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12630

Hou, J. W. (2005). Trisomy chromosome (22)(q13.1-qter) as a result of paternal inversion (22)(p11q13.1) proved using region-specific FISH probes. *Chang Gung Medical Journal*.

Jafri, F., Fink, J., Higgins, R. R., & Tervo, R. (2011). 22q13.32 Deletion and Duplication and Inversion in the Same Family: A Rare Occurrence. *ISRN Pediatrics*, *2011*, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.5402/2011/829825

Jin, C., Zhang, Y., Kim, S., Kim, Y., Lee, Y., & Han, K. (2018). Spontaneous seizure and partial lethality of juvenile Shank3-overexpressing mice in C57BL/6 J background. *Molecular Brain*.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13041-018-0403-6

Johannessen, M., Haugen, I. B., Bakken, T. L., & Braaten, Ø. (2019). A 22q13.33 duplication harbouring the SHANK3 gene: Does it cause neuropsychiatric disorders? *BMJ Case Reports*. https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2018-228258

Koolen, D. A., Reardon, W., Rosser, E. M., Lacombe, D., Hurst, J. A., Law, C. J., Bongers, E. M. H. F., Van Ravenswaaij-Arts, C. M., Leisink, M. A. R., Van Kessel, A. G., Veltman, J. A., & De Vries, B. B. A. (2005). Molecular characterisation of patients with subtelomeric 22q abnormalities using chromosome specific array-based comparative genomic hybridisation. *European Journal of Human Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201456

Liang, J. S., Shimojima, K., & Yamamoto, T. (2008). Application of Array-based Comparative Genome Hybridization in Children with Developmental Delay or Mental Retardation. In *Pediatrics and Neonatology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1875-9572(09)60013-9

Magri, C., Marchina, E., Bertini, V., Traversa, M., Savio, G., Pilotta, A., & Piovani, G. (2015). SNP array and FISH analysis of a proband with a 22q13.2- 22qter duplication shed light on the molecular origin of the rearrangement. *BMC Medical Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12881-015-0193-y

Okamoto, N., Kubota, T., Nakamura, Y., Murakami, R., Nishikubo, T., Tanaka, I., Takahashi, Y., Hayashi, S., Imoto, I., Inazawa, J., Hosokai, N., Kohsaka, S., & Uchino, S. (2007). 22q13 microduplication in two patients with common clinical manifestations: A recognizable syndrome? *American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A*. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.31771

Peeters, H., Vermeesch, J., & Fryns, J. P. (2008). A cryptic duplication 22q13.31 to qter leads to a distinct phenotype with mental retardation, microcephaly and mild facial dysmorphism. *Genetic Counseling*.

Petek, E., Köstl, G., Mutz, I., Wagner, K., & Kroisel, P. M. (2000). Characterization of a de novo partial trisomy 22q13-qter in a patient by microFISH. *Clinical Dysmorphology*. https://doi.org/10.1097/00019605-200009010-00011

Pramparo, T., De Gregori, M., Gimelli, S., Ciccone, R., Frondizi, D., Liehr, T., Pellacani, S., Masi, G., Brovedani, P., Zuffardi, O., & Guerrini, R. (2008). A 7 Mb duplication at 22q13 in a girl with bipolar disorder and hippocampal malformation. *American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A*. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.32326

Rahikkala, E., Forsström, L. M., Kokkonen, H., Knuutila, S., Mustonen, A., & Ignatius, J. (2013). Report of interstitial 22q13.1q13.2 microduplication in two siblings with distinctive dysmorphic features, heart

defect and mental retardation. *European Journal of Medical Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2013.05.004

Samanich, J., Montagna, C., Morrow, B. E., & Babcock, M. (2012). Interstitial duplication of 22q13.2 in a girl with short stature, impaired speech and language, and dysmorphism. *Journal of Pediatric Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.3233/PGE-2012-009

Schinzel, A. (1981). Incomplete trisomy 22 - II. Familial trisomy of the distal segment of chromosome 22q in two brothers from a mother with a translocation, t(6;22)(q27;q13). *Human Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00274676

Shimojima, K., Tanaka, K., & Yamamoto, T. (2009). A de novo intra-chromosomal tandem duplication at 22q13.1q13.31 including the Rubinstein-Taybi region but with no bipolar disorder. In *American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A*. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.32872

Wieczorek, D., Holtvogt, J., Thonig, S., & Gillessen-Kaesbach, G. (1998). A female patient with partial duplication 22 (q13→qter). *Clinical Dysmorphology*. https://doi.org/10.1097/00019605-199810000-00010

Wu, Y., Ji, T., Wang, J., Xiao, J., Wang, H., Li, J., Gao, Z., Yang, Y., Cai, B., Wang, L., Zhou, Z., Tian, L., Wang, X., Zhong, N., Qin, J., Wu, X., & Jiang, Y. (2010). Submicroscopic subtelomeric aberrations in Chinese patients with unexplained developmental delay/mental retardation. *BMC Medical Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2350-11-72

Figure legends

Figure 1.

Fig. 1A: Patient 1. showing hypertelorism, divergent strabismus, broad eyebrows, high nasal bridge, bulbous tip of the nose, posteriorly low-inserted columella, small hands with tapering fingers, and an overall friendly nature. Fig 1B: Patient 2, brother of Patient 1 with similar facial features. In addition, there is deviation of the nasal septum and a more pronounced antimongoloid slant of palpebral fissures.

Figure 2.

A: Result of FISH analysis on metaphase spread of Patient 1. using locus specific probe for 22q11.2 (TUPLE1) (red) and 22q13.3 (N85A3) in green. The latter covers the telomeric end of the SHANK3 gene, allowing for identification of the most distal 22q13.3 rearrangements. The abnormal chromosome 22 contains two 22q13.3 signals.

B. Result of FISH analysis on metaphase spread of the mother using locus specific probe for 22q11.2 (TUPLE1) (red) and 22q13.3 (N85A3) in green. The inv(22) shows the inverted chromosome 22 and relocation of the 22q13.3 region to the short arm.

C: Array CGH results of the probands and the mother using Affymetrix 750K platform. The analysis shows the identical duplication of 3327 Kb involving the 22q13.31-22q13.33 region in Patient 1. and 2., with the breakpoints falling between 47,870,362 and 51,197,766. The middle panel shows the smaller duplication detected in the mother's sample containing no OMIM genes. Dotted lines represent copy number states.

Table 1.

Overview of the clinical features of our patients with distal 22q duplication

Table 1. Oveview of clinical features of our patients with distal 22q duplications

Feature	**Patient 1**	**Patient 2**
Duplicated region	22q13.3 to qter	22q13.3 to qter
Gender	female	male
Age at first referral	18 years	22 years
Neurology		
Developmental delay	yes	yes, noted after 3 years of age
Seizures	no	yes, fever-associated
Intelligence	borderline (overall IQ 76)	borderline (overall IQ 79)
Microcephaly	no	no

Brain structural abnormality	no	no
Face		
Sparse, fine hair	yes	no
Hypertelorism	yes	yes
Palpebral fissures	downslanted	downslanted
Strabism	yes (divergent)	yes (divergent)
Wide/high nasal bridge	yes	yes
Low-set ears	yes	no
Dysplastic ears	no	no
Cleft lip/palate	no	no
Long philtrum	yes	yes
Retrognathia	no	no
Skeletal		
Short neck	yes	yes
Short stature	no	no
Visceral anomaly (renal, cardiac, genital)	no	no
Psychiatric problems	no	yes
Limbs	small hands	normal

354
355