DISSERTATION FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (PHD)

Determinants and Potential Influence of Oral Health on Cardiometabolic Conditions

By Cornelia Melinda Adi Santoso

UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF HEALTH SCIENCES

DEBRECEN, 2022

DISSERTATION FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (PHD)

Determinants and Potential Influence of Oral Health on Cardiometabolic Conditions

By Cornelia Melinda Adi Santoso

Supervisor: Dr Attila Nagy



UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN

DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF HEALTH SCIENCES

DEBRECEN, 2022

Table of Contents

Li	ist of Abbreviations	5
1.	Introduction	7
2.	Literature Review	8
	Common types of oral diseases	8
	Dental caries	8
	Periodontal diseases	9
	Tooth loss	10
	Determinants of oral health	10
	Oral hygiene status and care	11
	Metabolic syndrome	12
	Interrelationship between oral health and metabolic syndrome	12
	Global overview of oral health status and practice among adolescents	13
	Indonesian context	14
	Research rationale	15
3.	Aims and objectives	17
4.	Materials and Methods	18
	4.1 Prevalence and determinants of oral hygiene practice among Inde	onesian
	adolescents	18
	4.2 Prevalence and determinants of periodontitis among Indonesian adults	20
	4.3 Global association between oral hygiene and metabolic syndrome	23
5.	Results	26
	5.1. Prevalence and determinants of oral hygiene practice among Inde	onesian
	adolescents	26
	5.2 Prevalence and determinants of periodontitis among Indonesian adults	30
	5.3 Global association between oral hygiene and metabolic syndrome	36
6.	Discussion	47

6.1	Main discussion	.47
6.2	Strength, limitations, and consideration for future research	.54
Conclus	ions, study implications, and recommendations	.57
New fin	dings	.59
Summa	ry	.61
Keywor	ds	.62
Referen	ces	.62
Author'	s declaration	.91
Acknow	ledgments	.91
Funding	ç	.91
Append	ix	.93
Publicat	ion List	.98

List of Abbreviations

AGE	: advanced glycation end-product
aOR	: adjusted odds ratio
BMI	: body mass index
BOP	: bleeding on probing
CAL	: clinical attachment loss
CDC	: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
СЕЈ	: cementoenamel junction
CHC	: community health centre
CI	: confidence interval
COVID-19	: coronavirus disease 2019
CVD	: cardiovascular disease
DALY	: disability adjusted life years
DBP	: diastolic blood pressure
DM	: diabetes mellitus
DMFT / dmft	: Decayed, Missing and Filled Teeth
FPG	: fasting plasma glucose
GSHS	: Global School-based Health Survey
HbA1c	: glycated haemoglobin
HDL-C	: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
HIC	: high-income country
IDF	: International Diabetes Federation
IL	: interleukin
JIS	: Joint Interim Statement
LIC	: low-income country
LMIC	: low- and middle- income country
MetS	: metabolic syndrome
MMP	: matrix-metalloproteinases
NCD	: non-communicable disease
NCEP ATP III	: National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III
NHI	: National Health Insurance
NIHRD	: National Institute of Health Research and Development
NOS	: Newcastle–Ottawa Scale

OHI	: oral hygiene index
OHI-S	: simplified oral hygiene index
OPG	: osteoprotegerin
OR	: odds ratio
PD	: pocket depth
PI	: plaque index
PMN	: polymorphonuclear leukocyte
PRISMA	: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
PSc	: plaque score
RAGE	: receptor of advanced glycation end-product
RANKL	: receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B ligand
RevMan	: Review Manager
RR	: rates ratio
Riskesdas	: Riset Kesehatan Dasar (Indonesian Basic National Health Research)
ROS	: reactive oxygen species
SBP	: systolic blood pressure
SBDP	: school-based dental program
SD	: standard deviation
SES	: socioeconomic status
T2DM	: type 2 diabetes mellitus
TG	: triglycerides
TNF	: tumor necrosis factor
WC	: waist circumference
WHO	: World Health Organisation

1. Introduction

Oral diseases represent a global health issue, affecting roughly 3.5 billion people globally in 2017 (1,2). Despite being preventable, the total global prevalence of dental caries, periodontal diseases, and tooth loss has remained constant for the past three decades at 45%, higher than other chronic diseases (3). The number of people with untreated dental caries in permanent and deciduous teeth was 2.3 billion and 532 million, respectively. Around 796 million suffered from severe periodontitis, and 267 million had complete tooth loss (edentulism) (2). The global disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) that could be attributed to oral diseases rose by 64% from 1990 to 2015 (4).

Oral diseases enormously impact general health and well-being and impose social and economic burdens (5). Oral diseases negatively affect people's quality of life, disrupting their oral functions and social relationships. The impacts can be in the form of pain, discomfort, reduced self-esteem, chewing impairment, reduced appetite, sleep disturbances, loss of productivity or reduced performance at school or the workplace (6-9), loneliness (10), and depression (11). Furthermore, despite lacking causal evidence (12,13), current epidemiological findings have indicated that oral diseases are linked to a wide variety of chronic diseases (e.g., diabetes mellitus (DM) (14), cardiovascular diseases (15), neurocognitive disorders (16), rheumatic diseases (17), respiratory diseases (18)) and metabolic syndrome (MetS) (19-21). The global cost attributable to oral diseases was estimated to reach \$544 billion in 2015, consisting of nearly \$357 billion direct cost and \$188 billion indirect cost (22).

The burden of oral diseases is disproportionately borne by disadvantaged and deprived communities, especially occurring in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where there are scarce resources and a high level of social inequalities (5,23). Oral diseases are the fourth most costly disease to treat in developed nations. In low-income countries (LICs), treatment is often unavailable, and the cost of dental caries treatment alone in children exceeds the entire healthcare budget designated for children (24,25). The prevalence of oral diseases is especially rising in developing countries due to shifts in broader social, economic, and commercial factors, potentially influencing lifestyle (5).

Although there has been an estimation of the global distribution and disease burden of oral diseases (2,4), established and standardised surveillance data on oral diseases is lacking in LMICs (1,26). This information is vital to understanding the disease burden in the population,

tracking progress, and informing decision-making (26). It is especially recommended to have evidence based on the local context to influence policy (27). Identifying factors that influence oral diseases in the population is necessary for establishing interventions. Furthermore, ascertaining the current evidence of the potential influence of oral health on cardiometabolic conditions could be useful, as it may provide more substance for developing public health policies and programs.

2. Literature Review

Common types of oral diseases

Dental caries

Dental caries is a multifactorial and dynamic disease mediated by biofilm and driven by sugar, leading to interchange periods between demineralization and remineralization of dental hard tissues (28). Following the consumption of free sugars, the pH of the biofilm can become lower than a critical threshold, leading to the dissolution of the hard tissues. Sufficient loss of minerals in the tooth may initially present as a white spot on the surface of the tooth. If they progress to cavitation, it can cause pain and discomfort. The progression to the dental pulp may further result in infection, sepsis, and tooth loss (5).

Balancing the pathological and protective factors that can cause caries is paramount to preventing the occurrence of the disease. Pathological factors leading to demineralisation and caries lesion progression are poor oral hygiene, insufficient fluoride exposure, frequent sugar intake, and salivary dysfunction. Meanwhile, protective factors that lead to remineralisation and lesion arrest include a healthy diet, twice-a-day tooth brushing with fluoridated toothpaste, application of professional topical fluoride and sealants, and normal salivary function (28).

The dental caries index generally used to estimate cumulative experience of caries is the Decayed, Missing and Filled Teeth (DMFT / dmft) index, which is defined as the combined number of decayed, missing, and filled teeth attributable to dental caries. If the index is written in capital letters (DMFT), it refers to permanent dentition. If it is written in lowercase letters (dmft), it refers to primary dentition. Untreated caries can be reflected by the number of decayed teeth, while treated caries can be reflected by the number of filled or missing teeth that are extracted due to caries (5).

Periodontal diseases

Periodontal diseases consist of inflammatory conditions affecting periodontium (gingiva, alveolar bone, and periodontal ligament). The condition often begins with gingivitis, characterized by bleeding and swollen gingiva. If left unaddressed, they progress to periodontitis, characterized by the irreversible loss of bone and ligament, creating periodontal pockets, potentially leading to tooth loss (29).

Periodontitis is among the commonest diseases globally, affecting 20-50% of the world population (15). In 2010, the prevalence of severe periodontitis alone reached almost 11% worldwide, affecting roughly 743 million individuals and being listed as the 6th most common disease (30). There was a 25.8% rise in the global burden of periodontal diseases from 2006 to 2016 (31). Periodontal diseases have significant impacts on oral and overall health and life quality. The diseases are the main cause of tooth loss and are associated with chronic diseases through infection, inflammation, and shared risk factors (32). Furthermore, the diseases were estimated to cause an economic loss of more than \$150 billion in the United States or Europe in 2018 (33).

Clinical variables that are often used to measure an individual's periodontal status, evaluate treatment outcome, and monitor population periodontal health includes bleeding on probing (BOP), pocket depth (PD), and clinical attachment loss (CAL) (34). BOP and PD indicate periodontal inflammation, while CAL estimates cumulative periodontal damage (35-37). A periodontal probe is used to measure these indices. BOP indicates the presence of a bleeding response after inserting the probe between the tooth and gingiva (38). PD indicates the distance from the free gingival margin to the base of the pocket (39), with the normal value of <4mm (40). CAL indicates the distance from the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) of the tooth to the bottom of the pocket (39), with the range of normal value <3-4 mm (41,42).

The aetiology of periodontitis is multifactorial. Pathogenic bacteria are known as the primary aetiological agents. Nevertheless, the destruction of periodontium is mostly due to the host immune response to microbial infection, often determined by genetic and environmental factors. Activated leucocytes in the periodontal tissues generate a range of inflammatory mediators, such as cytokines-chemokines and matrix-metalloproteinases (MMPs), causing tissue destruction (15). That said, several risk factors of periodontitis that have been identified include age, gender, ethnicity, genetics, socioeconomic status (SES), oral hygiene, smoking, stress, systemic conditions, and medication (43,44).

Treatment of periodontal diseases begins with initial non-surgical therapy, encompassing home care review, scaling, and root planning. During the periodontal re-evaluation phase, sites with the remaining active periodontitis may be treated with surgical therapy. Regular periodontal maintenance therapies are paramount for treatment success and long-term teeth retention (45). Moreover, interventions to change behaviours, such as individually customised oral-hygiene instructions, smoking cessation programs, and dietary modification, should also be part of the intervention (46).

Tooth loss

Tooth loss represents the endpoint of oral diseases, most commonly caries and periodontitis, and the history or paucity of dental treatments (5). The biggest burden of total tooth loss was borne in developed nations (2). Proximal risk factors for tooth loss include oral diseases (e.g., dental caries and periodontal diseases), dental trauma, and dental treatments (47). Intermediate risk factors include age, DM, oral and general health behaviours (e.g., smoking, diet, tooth brushing, dental attendance), while distal risk factors include SES (e.g., income, education) (47,48), community water fluoridation, social relationships, among others (47).

Determinants of oral health

Addressing oral health is complex, as multiple factors influence them (49,50). Oral diseases share common risk factors with NCDs. They are influenced by proximal determinants (i.e., individual's biological and behavioural factors), which are sequentially shaped by intermediate and structural determinants (i.e., broader social, political, cultural, and environmental factors that the individual does not directly control) (5,51).

Turning to the proximal determinants, biological factors include inflammation, infection, and immune response, while behavioural factors include oral hygiene, dietary practices, substance use, physical activity, and sedentariness (5,51,52). Intermediate determinants represent individuals' social position and circumstances, such as income, education, occupation, social relationships, and psychosocial factors (5,51). Income reflects material circumstances and social position influencing people's ability to access health resources. Occupation relates to working conditions (control, autonomy, stress), represents people's relationship to work, and may affect support networks. Education indicates a lifetime measure of SES and captures knowledge that influences their ability to make health-conscious decisions (53,54). Meanwhile, structural determinants refer to socioeconomic, political, and environmental contexts, such as macroeconomic, social and welfare policies and globalisation (5,51). All of

these determinants, however, can also be influenced by commercial determinants (5,55), referring to any corporate activities that can influence health, including marketing campaigns to promote harmful products (e.g., unhealthy diet, tobacco, and alcohol) (55,56).

Besides the broader social environment context, the timing of exposure to risk factors, particularly in early life, is crucial in determining health in later life (57). Incorporating a time element, Fisher-Owens et al. (2007) developed a conceptual framework of children's oral health determinants, which can also be distinguished according to their level of influence. They are child-level influence (e.g., genetics, biology, health behaviours), family-level influence (e.g., SES, parental health status, family functioning, social support, family health behaviours), and community-level influence (e.g., characteristics of the health care system, physical environment and safety) (58). Social support can indirectly influence children's health through their parents, especially mothers. Parents with a high level of social support in their community might be more able to have more friends, relatives, and access to professionals and community resources (59).

Oral hygiene status and care

Oral hygiene is among the most proximal determinants of oral health (5,51). Good oral hygiene is primarily achieved by adopting proper tooth brushing and flossing habits, complemented with regular professional dental cleaning (60-62). Regular preventive dental visits are critical as they enable dental professionals to assess the risk of oral diseases, deliver preventive and restorative treatments, and screen for systemic diseases (63). In a clinical setting, several indices have been developed to measure oral hygiene status, including plaque index (PI), plaque score (PSc), oral hygiene index (OHI), and simplified oral hygiene index (OHI-S), with higher scores indicate worse oral hygiene (64,65).

While the more accurate indicators to assess oral health are through clinical assessment, they might not be feasible to be used in wide-scale population-based studies (66). Given that the main indicator of oral hygiene is the frequency of tooth brushing (67), this measure can also be used to represent the person's oral hygiene (68). Moreover, a previous study has indicated the correlation between self-report oral hygiene measures and clinically confirmed periodontal diseases (66,69).

Metabolic syndrome

MetS, also called insulin resistance syndrome or syndrome X, was first named by Gerald Reaven (70). It represents a clustering of factors (i.e., abdominal obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension, and dyslipidemia) that raise type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk occurrence (71,72). MetS is currently becoming a worldwide epidemic (73,74). Despite the MetS prevalence varying according to the use of diagnostic criteria, age group, and ethnicity (74,75), approximately 25% of the global population has the condition (73,75).

MetS imposes a burden on the individual and society. For example, studies showed that MetS was associated with unfavourable quality of life (76), worse hospitalisation (77), severe complications (78), and mortality rates due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (77,78). Another study demonstrated that individuals with MetS had 1.6 times higher mean annual total healthcare costs than those without MetS, with a mean cost rise per additional risk factor of 24% (79).

Several risk factors associated with MetS that have been demonstrated include SES (80), diet (81), smoking (82), physical activity (83), and oral diseases, including periodontal diseases and dental caries (19-21).

Interrelationship between oral health and metabolic syndrome

MetS or its components have been suggested to have an interrelationship with oral diseases (84-87). First, MetS or its components may influence the development of periodontitis through a common pathway of oxidative stress (88,89). They can create a pro-oxidant state that impairs the antioxidant capacity of the periodontal tissues and their responses to bacterial challenges (89). A study by Katz et al. (2005) has shown high expression of receptors of advanced glycation end-product (RAGE) in the periodontal tissues (89,90), indicating the tissues' sensitivity to oxidative damage products. Moreover, since advanced glycation end-products (AGEs) might stimulate apoptosis in osteoblasts (89,91) and fibroblasts (89,92), they might also affect the homeostasis of alveolar bone and the advancement of periodontitis. Both MetS and periodontitis enhance serum levels of oxidative damage products, generating a pro-inflammatory condition (89). Second, MetS or its drug treatments may influence oral health through their effects on salivation (93,94). A meta-analysis found that MetS was associated with tooth loss, which is the end phase of dental diseases, including periodontal diseases and caries (93). Oxidative stress may lead to hyposalivation, resulting in an

increased caries risk (93,95). Several MetS components, including hyperglycemia and hypertension, were also associated with decreased salivary flow rate (93,96).

In addition, the association between oral and systemic diseases could be attributed to the role of oral pathogen and periodontium in the inflammation processes and common risk factors (97). Poor oral hygiene, the main cause of oral diseases, may result in the accumulation of dental plaque, including subgingival biofilm harbouring gram-negative bacteria. The resulting chronic periodontitis could eventually lead to bacteraemia and systemic inflammation (97-101), especially considering periodontium as a cytokine reservoir (97). Invading bacteria originating from severe dental caries or endodontic infections has also been hypothesised to promote similar mechanisms (20,102-104). Finally, the presence of chronic low-grade inflammation corroborates the occurrence of metabolic impairment (104-107).

Poor oral hygiene has been shown to be linked to low-grade inflammation (66), indicating its potential relationship with MetS (108). A study has also shown a relationship between systemic exposure to periodontal bacteria and MetS risk (99). Moreover, the relationships between poor oral hygiene and increased risks of the MetS components, such as obesity (109), DM (110,111), hypertension (111,112), and dyslipidaemia (111,113), have also been demonstrated.

Global overview of oral health status and practice among adolescents

Adolescence is defined as a life period between the age of 10 and 19 years (114). It is a transitional stage from childhood to adulthood, characterised by physical, psychological, and social shifts. During this period, social interaction becomes increasingly crucial, with adolescents spending more time with their peers (115-117). Transformations occurred in this phase may thus affect not only adolescents' oral health status but also behaviours (58,118).

Physical changes during adolescence include physical growth spurt and fat and muscle distribution changes, among others. In terms of dentition, this period usually starts with late transitional dentition and concludes with full permanent dentition. Jaw development follows the course of overall body growth. Malocclusion may become more apparent at this time (119). Furthermore, hormonal changes during puberty could influence the response of periodontal tissues to local factors. Exaggerated response to plaque may occur, resulting in gingival hyperplasia, known as puberty gingivitis (120,121).

Meanwhile, psychologically, peer influence becomes stronger during adolescence as they try to adjust to their peers' behaviours (122,123). Adolescents may also view oral health as important as it relates to appearance and their interactions with others (124,125). A study found that interventions to improve oral health among adolescents should also involve peers and the wider community (126). Finally, given that habits formed during adolescence tend to last until adulthood, this period offers a crucial opportunity for interventions (8,127).

Turning to current oral health status among global adolescents, a review found that the prevalence of cavitated dentine carious lesions among those aged 12 years was above 41%. The mean DMFT scores varied depending on the developmental status of the countries, ranging from roughly 0.9 to 2.1. Of this, the proportion of the decayed component was high, with the lowest prevalence observed in high-income countries (HICs) (128). Furthermore, about 79% of adolescents worldwide had periodontal disease, 19% had BOP, and 50% had calculus (129).

Regarding oral health behaviours, over 5% of school adolescents in 23 LMICs had never or less than once-daily tooth brushing (130). Another study found that the prevalence of twice-daily tooth brushing among school adolescents in Europe and North America ranged from 50% to 81% in 2010 (131). Finally, the prevalence of unmet dental needs among adolescents worldwide was 34%, with the highest prevalence in Southeast Asia and Africa and the lowest in Europe (132).

Indonesian context

Indonesia is an LMIC (133) with over 240 million people, listed as the fourth biggest populous nation in the world. The health system in Indonesia is a combination of public and private providers and financing, with a decentralization policy in the public sector. The Ministry of Health manages several tertiary and specialist hospitals and provides a strategic direction for the health system. Provincial governments direct hospitals at the provincial level, oversee district health services, and manage cross-district health problems within the province, while district/municipal governments direct hospitals at the district/city-level, community health centres (CHCs, or Puskesmas), and other subdistrict facilities. CHCs are responsible for delivering primary care and public health promotion at the community level (134).

One of the responsibilities of CHCs is to organise school-based dental programs (SBDP). It is also the standard to have a dental clinic run by a dentist to provide dental services in the

CHCs (135-138). Nevertheless, in 2011, only 4.8% of CHCs in Indonesia ran comprehensive oral health programs (delivering dental services and organising both SBDP and village community dental health efforts) (139). Limited resources, including shortage and uneven distribution of oral health practitioners, weakness in the management process, and policy orientation of oral health towards curative rather than preventive care, were suggested to undermine the provision of SBDP (135,137,140).

In 2014, Indonesia established the National Health Insurance (NHI, or Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional). The NHI covers dental services in primary care as part of the benefit packages, including counselling, dental scaling, curative care (i.e., dental restoration and minor surgery), and dental emergency care (137,141,142).

Despite the expansion of Indonesian healthcare and improvement in overall health status in recent years (134,135), oral diseases remain a public health challenge (134). The prevalence of self-report oral health problems was 23.4% in 2007 (all ages) (143), 25.9% in 2013 (all ages) (144), and 57.6% in 2018 (aged \geq 3 years) (145). The prevalence of active dental caries increased from 43.4% (aged \geq 12 years) in 2007 to 53.2% (aged \geq 12 years) in 2013, corresponding to over 93 million people with active dental caries in 2013 (143,144,146). In 2018, around 89% had experienced caries and 74% suffered from periodontal diseases. The average DMF-T index was high (7.1), with D-T and M-T serving as the biggest components (145). Such a high prevalence of oral diseases may indicate poor oral hygiene in society (134) and low public awareness of the importance of oral health (147). Only roughly 3% of the population brushed their teeth correctly in 2018, and approximately 5% had a dental visit in the year preceding the survey (145).

Research rationale

This thesis identified several research gaps in the literature. First, regarding global oral health, established and standardised surveillance data on oral diseases at a national level is lacking in LMICs (1,26), such as in Indonesia (133). Most existing oral health studies in Indonesia were based on small sample sizes or limited geographical areas (148-152). Second, most studies investigating the determinants of oral health status and practice have been from developed countries. Since Indonesia is a developing nation with disparities in healthcare resource distributions (153), rapid economic change and modernisation leading to social transition, oral health status and practice among Indonesians, as well as their risk factors,

might differ from those in developed nations. Third, the magnitude of the chronic-oral disease association, such as MetS and periodontal diseases, has been suggested to differ according to the study population or ethnicity (19). There is a dearth of studies on Southeast Asian populations. Asians may have metabolic traits that are distinct from Caucasians who have the same body mass index (BMI), such as elevated percentages of body fat, increased abdominal and visceral fat deposition, and decreased muscle mass and connective tissue (154).

Against this backdrop, investigation of the distribution of oral health status and practice in Indonesian populations, and their risk factors, is of utmost importance for formulating oral health programs and policies in the nation. Given that the main oral health behaviour is oral hygiene practice, and among the most prevalent oral diseases are periodontal diseases (155,156), studying these two can bring valuable contributions to the literature.

Finally, while oral hygiene has been suggested to be associated with cardiometabolic conditions, such as MetS or its components (108-113), epidemiological findings are rather mixed, with some studies found no association (157,158). Ascertaining the current global evidence of the potential influence of oral hygiene on MetS could be useful, as it may aid healthcare practitioners in delivering more holistic care. It may also provide more substance for developing public health policies and programs, notably chronic disease prevention and management strategies.

3. Aims and objectives

The overall aim of this thesis is to map the oral health status and practice and their determinants in the Indonesian population and to examine the global association between oral hygiene and MetS.

The specific objectives are to:

- 1. Investigate the prevalence and factors associated with oral hygiene practice among Indonesian adolescents.
- 2. Investigate the prevalence and factors associated with periodontitis among Indonesian adults.
- 3. Quantitatively synthesize the current body of evidence of the associations between oral hygiene status and care and MetS.

Study hypothesis:

- 1. We hypothesised that better lifestyle and psychosocial factors were associated with better oral hygiene practice among Indonesian adolescents.
- 2. We hypothesised that better statuses of an individual's biological, behavioural, and social factors were associated with reduced periodontitis risk among Indonesian adults.
- 3. We hypothesised that improved oral hygiene status and care were associated with reduced MetS risk.

4. Materials and Methods

This thesis employed two general approaches to attain the research aims. The first approach was empirical-analytical research methodology, employing data from two Indonesian national health surveys. The second approach was a systematic review and meta-analytic methodology.

4.1 Prevalence and determinants of oral hygiene practice among Indonesian adolescents

Data source

The Global School-based Health Survey is a survey project fielded in schools. It was established by the World Health Organisation (WHO) and the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to examine health behaviour risk and protective factors among in-school adolescents, primarily aged 13-17 years (159,160). The 2015 Indonesia GSHS collected samples using a two-stage cluster sampling design. After selecting schools by applying a probability-proportional-to-size sampling method, the classrooms were systematically sampled. The entire students in the appointed schools and classrooms were asked to complete unidentified, self-administered questionnaires within a regular class period. There was a total of 75 schools chosen, spreading across three regions (Sumatra, Java-Bali, and outside of Sumatra, Java-Bali) in 26 provinces and 68 districts or cities (159,161). The survey had 100% and 94% response rates for schools and students, respectively, with a total number of 11,142 students aged 11-18 years (160). The survey received ethical approval from the Indonesian national government administration and institutional review board or ethics committee (No. LB.02.01/5.2/KE.158/2015). The survey obtained informed consent from students and their parents (161). The dataset can be publicly downloaded from the WHO website (162).

Measurements

Outcome variable

The outcome variable was oral hygiene practice, which was evaluated by asking the frequency of tooth brushing per day. The response options were dichotomised into less than twice a day and a minimum of twice a day. This cut-off point was selected based on the recommendations to maintain optimal oral health (131).

Independent variables

This study included four broad categories of independent variables, including sociodemographic, lifestyle (health status and behaviours), psychological, and social support variables. The sociodemographic variables included age, gender (female/male), and hunger status, which was considered a proxy for measuring SES (163).

The lifestyle variables included dietary practice, physical activity, sedentariness, nutritional status, cigarette smoking, consumption of alcohol, and drug use. We developed the variable of dietary practice from items related to diet available in the survey. We gave one point for each of the following responses: at least twice a day fruit intake, at least three times a day vegetable intake, less than once a day soft drink intake, and less than three times a week fast food intake. We followed the cut-off values employed in a previous study to categorise these responses (164). We summed the points and dichotomised the total score based on the midpoint value into; unhealthy (score 0-2) and healthy (score 3-4) dietary practices. Following the WHO recommendation, physical activity was defined as being physically active for a minimum of one hour a day (165). We defined sedentary behaviour as more than three hours a day spent "sitting and watching television, playing computer games, talking with friends, or doing other sitting activities, such as playing PlayStation" (162,164). The students' body weight and height were assessed to compute BMI, representing their nutritional status. The BMI was then classified into underweight, normal weight, and overweight/obese (159). Cigarette smoking was determined as having smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days, and alcohol consumption as having a minimum of one alcoholic drink in the last 30 days. We defined drug use as having ever used marijuana in life.

The psychological variable included psychological distress. It was assessed using five indicators. We gave one point for each of the following responses: having zero close friends, mostly/always feeling lonely, mostly/always feeling anxious, having suicidal ideations, and having attempted suicide (166). We summed the points and dichotomised the total score according to the midpoint value into; no (score 0) and yes (score 1-5).

The social support variables included peer and parental support. We considered having peer support if students in the school are mostly/always kind and helpful. We constructed the variable of parental support from three questions related to parents or guardians. We gave one point for each of the following responses: mostly/always parental/guardian checking homework, mostly/always parental/guardian understanding children's problems and worries, and mostly/always parental/guardian knowing children's activities in their spare time (164).

We summed the points and dichotomised the total score according to the midpoint value into; no (score 0) and yes (score 1-3).

The variables and their categorisations are shown in detail in Appendix Table 1.

Statistical analysis

The characteristics of the sample were summarised by conducting descriptive statistics. The adjusted F (a variant of the second-order Rao-Scott adjusted chi-square statistic) and its degrees of freedom were used to statistically test the differences in the frequency of tooth brushing between the selected variables. We used multiple logistic regression to examine the relationship between independent variables and tooth-brushing frequency and reported the resulting odds ratios (ORs) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Following the GSHS guidance, weighting was applied to make the findings representative of the target population, taking into account the sampling design, non-response, and distribution of the population by gender and grade (159,167). Data analysis was conducted using the complex samples module of the SPSS 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). We set the statistical significance at a *p*-value <0.05.

4.2 Prevalence and determinants of periodontitis among Indonesian adults

Data source

The Indonesian Basic National Health Research (Riset Kesehatan Dasar / Riskesdas) was a quinquennial, cross-sectional, nationally representative survey aiming to evaluate the health indicators of the population living in Indonesia (145). The 2018 Riskesdas applied a two-stage sampling design, targeting 25,000 households for biomedical and oral health examinations. The first stage was to systematically choose 2,500 census blocks across 26 provinces by taking into account the distribution of samples in each province and stratum at the district/city level. The following stage was to choose ten households in every census block. Entire individuals in the appointed households were included as samples. The survey methodology and sampling procedure have been detailed in previous studies. The 2018 Riskesdas received ethical approval from the Health Research Ethics Commission (Komisi Etik Penelitian Kesehatan / KEPK) of the National Institute of Health Research and Development (NIHRD), Ministry of Health, Indonesia (No. LB.02.01/2/KE.267/2017). The survey obtained informed consent from the participants (145,168).

This study obtained permission from the Data Management Laboratory of NIHRD to use a subset of data of 18,370 non-pregnant adults aged 35 years or older undergoing physical, biomedical, and dental examinations. In this study, we excluded participants who had lost all their teeth (edentulous) (n = 298), had all teeth excluded or not recorded from the periodontal examination (n = 247), had inadequate diagnostic information on hypertension and DM (n = 4322), or had missing information on confounders (n = 144). Of the 13,359, there were three missing values for BOP and 86 for PD. Therefore, the final samples for the outcome of the number of teeth with BOP and PD were 13,356 and 13,273, respectively Almost 359 individuals had all six sextants excluded or not recorded from the CAL examination, and thus the final sample for the outcome of the number of sextants with CAL 13,000.

Measurement

Outcome variables

The outcome variables related to periodontitis in this study were the number of teeth with BOP, the number of teeth with PD, and the number of sextants with CAL. Following the WHO Oral Health Surveys guidelines, trained and calibrated dentists conducted clinical oral examinations at the study site (145). All teeth present, including third molars, were examined for BOP and PD. For every tooth, the BOP status was noted as 0 (no bleeding), 1 (bleeding), 9 (tooth excluded) or X (tooth not present), and the PD status was noted as 0 (no pocket), 1 (pocket 4–5 mm), 2 (pocket 6 mm or greater), 9 (tooth excluded) or X (tooth not present). A tooth was categorised as having PD if the score was 1 or 2. The CAL measurement was only performed on the index teeth (17/16, 11, 26/27, 36/37, 31, 47/46) and was noted as 0 (0–3 mm), 1 (4–5 mm), 2 (6–8 mm), 3 (9–11 mm), 4 (12 mm or greater), X (excluded sextant) or 9 (not recorded) (38,145). A sextant was classified as having CAL if the score was 1, 2, 3, or 4.

Independent variables

This study included four broad categories of independent variables, including sociodemographic, health behaviours, access to health care, and biological variables.

Sociodemographic variables included age, gender (female/male), residential location (urban/rural), education, and occupation. The highest educational attainment level was categorised into primary school or lower, junior and senior high school, and higher education. The occupation type was categorised into non-manual occupation (private or government

employees, entrepreneurs), manual occupation (farmers, fishermen, labourers, drivers, domestic helpers), and 'others' (unemployed individuals, students, others).

Health behaviour variables included cigarette smoking, tobacco chewing, tooth-brushing habits, and dental attendance. Cigarette smoking status was assessed by inquiring whether respondents ever had cigarette smoking, followed by whether they had smoked in the one month preceding the survey. Similarly, respondents were also asked about their tobacco chewing status. According to the responses, we classified cigarette smoking and tobacco chewing statuses as never, past, and current. The measurement of tooth-brushing behaviours was performed by asking respondents whether they had daily tooth brushing. Individuals responding 'yes' were then inquired about their timing of tooth brushing: prior to breakfast, following breakfast, following lunch, during morning shower, during evening shower, and prior to bedtime. We considered those answering 'yes' to the timing of 'following breakfast' and 'prior to bedtime' as having correct brushing time (169). Based on the answers, toothbrushing behaviours were classified as 'non-daily tooth brushing, 'daily tooth brushing, incorrect timing', and 'daily tooth brushing, correct timing'. The measurement of dental attendance was performed by asking respondents about the frequency of their visits to a dental professional in the year preceding the survey. The answers were classified as less than one time and at least one time.

The variable of overall access to healthcare facilities was created based on index access to three types of healthcare facilities, including hospitals, CHCs, and private practices (145). It was dichotomised into easy (at least one of the three healthcare facility types was considered easy to access) and difficult (none of them was considered easy to access).

The biological factors were MetS or their components. The definition of MetS followed the Joint Interim Statement (JIS), employing the waist circumference (WC) cut-off points for Asian ethnicity (71). MetS was defined if the respondents had three of the following five components: WC \geq 90 cm in males and \geq 80 cm in females; high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) <40 mg/dL in males and <50 mg/dL in females; triglycerides (TG) \geq 150 mg/dL; systolic blood pressure (SBP) \geq 130 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) \geq 85 mmHg or prior hypertension diagnosis or consuming antihypertensive drugs; fasting plasma glucose (FPG) \geq 100 mg/dL or DM. Respondents were classified as having DM if they had any of the following: prior DM diagnosis; post-load 2-hour plasma glucose \geq 200 mg/dL; or random plasma glucose \geq 200 mg/dL with classic hyperglycaemia symptoms (polyuria,

polyphagia, polydipsia, and weight loss) (170). The criteria for diagnosing increased TG and decreased HDL-C depended only on biochemical assessments since the survey did not obtain information on drugs consumed for treating these conditions.

Statistical analysis

The characteristics of the sample were summarised by conducting descriptive statistics. Since all the outcome variables (the number of teeth with BOP, the number of teeth with PD, and the number of sextants with CAL) were count data with skewed distributions, the models that could be employed to evaluate the relationship between the independent and outcome variables were Poisson or negative binomial regression. The latter was then chosen due to the over-dispersion of the data. Moreover, considering the variations of the number of teeth and sextants assessed between individuals, the negative binomial models were computed by applying an offset variable for the natural logarithm of the number of examined teeth (for the outcomes of BOP and PD) and the number of examined sextants (for the outcome of CAL). This facilitated the number of possible adverse outcomes to be compared fairly between individuals. We reported rate ratios (RRs) and the corresponding 95% CIs. Weighting was used to make the findings representative of the target population.

For sensitivity analyses of the associations between MetS or its components with periodontitis, we calculated E-values to evaluate the robustness of the findings to potential unobserved confounders. The E-values represent the least risk ratio magnitude of the relationship that an unobserved confounder would require to have with both the exposure and the outcome to negate the observed effect, given the observed covariates. The E-values for both the relationship estimate and the CI bound nearest to the null were computed (171).

Data analyses were performed by applying the "svyset" command in STATA (version 13.0, Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). We set the statistical significance at a *p*-value <0.05.

4.3 Global association between oral hygiene and metabolic syndrome

We performed the study following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (172). We registered the study protocol on the PROSPERO, with record No. CRD42021243292 (173). This study had the following research question: "Is better oral hygiene status or care associated with a reduced MetS risk?"

Eligibility Criteria

We applied the following inclusion criteria:

- 1. The study design was cross-sectional, case-control, or cohort.
- 2. The exposure was oral hygiene status (e.g., plaque index (PI), plaque score (PSc), oral hygiene index (OHI)) or care (i.e., tooth brushing, interdental cleaning, and dental attendance).
- The outcome was MetS, which was determined using clear diagnostic criteria (e.g., National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III), International Diabetes Federation (IDF), Joint Interim Statement (JIS))
- 4. The study evaluated the relationship between exposures and outcomes in multiple analyses.

No restrictions on the study population characteristics were applied. Animal studies, clinical trials, case series, case reports, commentaries, editorial letters, and reviews were excluded.

Search Strategy

We searched PubMed and Web of Science databases using the following keywords: oral hygiene, dental deposit, OHI, PI, PSc, tooth brushing, interdental cleaning, dental visit, and MetS. Our search was limited to research published in English and did not impose any restrictions on the date of publication. We conducted our last search on the 17th of March 2021. Our search strategy is shown in detail in Appendix Table 3. We also examined reference lists of eligible studies and relevant systematic reviews to capture additional pertinent studies.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

Two authors screened all titles and abstracts separately to determine eligibility. Relevant studies were further assessed for full-text review. In the presence of discrepancies, they were resolved through consensus discussion. This study used JabRef 5.2 for the review process.

Following the study selection, the two authors separately extracted the data from the studies. We used a data extraction form to collate the following data: first author, publication year, study country, study design, sample size, age, gender, type of oral hygiene measurement, diagnostic criteria employed for defining MetS, number of MetS cases, OR or risk ratio with their 95% CIs from the most adjusted model, and factors adjusted in the analysis. In case of discrepancies, they were resolved through consensus discussion.

Quality Assessment

The two authors separately assessed the included studies' quality by employing the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) tools for cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort studies. The scale assessed three primary aspects: the selection of respondents, comparability of the study groups, and the evaluation of the exposure/outcome of interest. For studies with case-control or cohort design, the total score was 9 points, whereas for studies with cross-sectional design was 8 points (174,175). The quality of studies was further categorised into low (0-3 points), moderate (4-6 points), or high (7-9 points). In the presence of discrepancies, they were resolved through consensus discussion.

Statistical Analyses

Separate meta-analyses were performed for distinct types of exposure (i.e., oral hygiene status, tooth brushing, and interdental cleaning). We used OR as the common measure for the relationship between oral hygiene and MetS. We considered the reported RR approximately as OR (176). In the meta-analysis, the data utilised were the estimates and the 95% CIs from the most adjusted model in the included studies.

The categorisation of exposure differed across studies. The reference group was poor oral hygiene status or care, corresponding to the greatest score of OHI, PI, and PSc, or the least category of tooth-brushing frequency, interdental cleaning, and dental visit in every study. In the case of a study categorising the exposure into three or more groups, a fixed-effects (FE) model was employed to combine the findings of the categories, generating an effect estimate (177). We employed a random-effects (RE) model for the primary analysis to compute an overall pooled OR (DerSimonian and Laird) (178).

The I^2 statistic was computed to evaluate heterogeneity. A value of 50% or greater indicates substantial heterogeneity (176,178). Pre-specified subgroup analyses by study design and country were performed to evaluate sources of heterogeneity. Publication bias assessment employing funnel plot and Egger's test was only suggested when there was a sufficient number of studies (>10) (179,180).

Meta-analysis was performed employing the generic inverse variance method in Review Manager (RevMan) 5.4 software (The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020) (178,181).

5. Results

5.1. Prevalence and determinants of oral hygiene practice among Indonesian adolescents

Table 1 displays the sociodemographic, lifestyle, and psychosocial attributes of the samples. The weighted average (\pm SD) age of the students was 14.0 (\pm 1.6) years. Males and those with lower SES (mostly/always being hungry) comprised 48.9% and 4.1% of the samples, respectively. The prevalence of students with tooth-brushing frequency less than twice a day was 10.8%.

Turning to bivariate analysis, compared to students who brushed their teeth frequently, infrequent tooth brushers tended to be male, have lower SES, and have poor dietary practice and longer duration of sedentariness. They also tended to smoke cigarettes, drink alcohol, use drugs, experience psychological distress, receive less peer support, and have no parental support. We found neither significant difference in the percentage of tooth-brushing frequency within the age groups, physical activity levels or nutritional status groups.

Table 1. Distribution of sociodemographic, lifestyle, and psychosocial characteristics of the study population by tooth-brushing frequency

	Total Unweighted N (weighted %)	<2 times/day Unweighted N (weighted %)	≥2 times/day Unweighted N (weighted %)	P-value ^a
Tooth-brushing frequency	(weighted 70)	(weighted 70)	(weighten /0)	
Less than twice daily	1191 (10.8)			
At least twice daily	9873 (89.2)			
Sociodemographic	, e.e. (e, . <u>_</u>)			
Age				
≤ 11 year old	251 (2.2)	35 (11.4)	216 (88.6)	
12 year old	1796 (17.3)	203 (11.6)	1578 (88.4)	0.714
13 year old	2502 (24.2)	279 (10.6)	2204 (89.4)	
14 year old	2565 (24.1)	280 (11.0)	2263 (89.0)	
15 year old	1943 (14.7)	203 (11.4)	1727 (88.6)	
16 year old	1113 (8.5)	99 (10.1)	1008 (89.9)	
17 year old	776 (7.5)	67 (8.3)	708 (91.7)	
≥ 18 year old	178 (1.6)	22 (11.7)	155 (88.3)	
Gender				
Male	5090 (48.9)	807 (15.7)	4241 (84.3)	< 0.001
Female	6020 (51.1)	381 (6.1)	5604 (93.9)	
Hunger status (proxy for SES)				
Never/rarely/sometimes	10609 (95.9)	1095 (10.4)	9444 (89.6)	< 0.001
Mostly/always	482 (4.1)	87 (18.3)	389 (81.7)	
Lifestyle		· · · ·		
Dietary practice				
Unhealthy (score 0-2)	7476 (66.9)	892 (12.2)	6532 (87.8)	< 0.001
Healthy (score 3-4)	3453 (33.1)	269 (7.8)	3164 (92.2)	
Physical activity				
0-6 day/week	9594 (87.8)	1039 (11.0)	8490 (89.0)	0.603
7 davs/week	1316 (12.2)	135 (10.4)	1175 (89.6)	
Sedentary behaviour				
$\leq 1-2$ hour/day	8016 (72.7)	792 (9.8)	7164 (90.2)	0.001
\geq 3-4 hour/day	2906 (27.3)	373 (13.3)	2519 (86.7)	
Nutritional status	· · · · ·			
Normal weight	8139 (76.3)	842 (10.5)	7242 (89.5)	0.312
Underweight	863 (7.9)	102 (12.0)	755 (88.0)	
Overweight/obese	1552 (15.8)	142 (9.4)	1400 (90.6)	
Current smoking	· · · · ·			
0 day	9813 (88.4)	963 (9.9)	8797 (90.1)	< 0.001
$\geq 1 \text{ day}$	1172 (11.6)	195 (16.1)	958 (83.9)	
Current alcohol use	· · · · ·	· · · ·		
0 day	10448 (95.6)	1066 (10.4)	9315 (89.6)	< 0.001
≥1 day	473 (4.4)	85 (17.0)	379 (83.0)	
Drug use		· · · ·		
0 time	10771 (98.3)	1109 (10.4)	9596 (89.6)	< 0.001
≥1 time	172 (1.7)	47 (28.5)	120 (71.5)	
Psychological factor		· · · ·		
Psychological distress				
No (score 0)	9117 (84.5)	893 (9.9)	8171 (90.1)	0.001
Yes (score 1-5)	1705 (15.5)	234 (13.8)	1460 (86.2)	
Social support	()	- (- · · ·)		
Peer support				
Never/rarely/sometimes	6695 (60.9)	818 (12.3)	5830 (87.7)	< 0.001
Mostly/always	4282 (39.1)	353 (8.4)	3904 (91.6)	-
Parental support	<·/		(- · · ·)	
No (score 0)	3907 (35.6)	540 (14.0)	3343 (86.0)	< 0.001
Yes (score 1-3)	6900 (64.4)	616 (9.0)	6242 (91.0)	

^aDifferences in the distribution of variables across the frequency of tooth brushing were statistically tested by the adjusted F (a variant of the second-order Rao-Scott adjusted chi-square statistic) and its degrees of freedom.

Table 2 displays the findings of the multiple logistic regression of the relationships between sociodemographic, lifestyle, and psychosocial factors and tooth-brushing frequency. Male, lower SES, sedentary behaviour, drug use, and experiencing psychological distress were associated with brushing teeth infrequently. Healthy dietary practice and peer and parental support were associated with frequent tooth-brushing. The relationships between age, physical activity, nutritional status, cigarette smoking, consumption of alcohol and tooth-brushing frequency were not shown.

Table 2. Associations of sociodemographic, lifestyle, and psychosocial factors with toothbrushing frequency

Variables	OR (95% CI)
Sociodemographic	· · · · · ·
Age	1.06 (0.97-1.15)
Gender	
Female	Ref.
Male	0.36 (0.30-0.43)**
Hunger status (proxy for SES)	
Never/rarely/sometimes	Ref.
Mostly/always	0.60 (0.46-0.79)*
Lifestyle	
Dietary practice	
Unhealthy (score 0-2)	Ref.
Healthy (score 3-4)	1.65 (1.39-1.96)**
Physical activity	
0-6 day/week	Ref.
7 days/week	1.01 (0.78-1.29)
Sedentary behaviour	
\leq 1-2 hour/day	Ref.
\geq 3-4 hour/day	0.64 (0.52-0.79)**
Nutritional status	
Normal weight	Ref.
Underweight	0.97 (0.68-1.38)
Overweight/obese	1.15 (0.91-1.47)
Current smoking	
0 day	Ref
≥1 day	1.07 (0.78-1.47)
Current alcohol use	
0 day	Ref
≥1 day	0.99 (0.63-1.56)
Drug use	
0 time	Ref.
≥ 1 time	0.52 (0.27-0.99)*
Psychological factor	
Psychological distress	
No (score 0)	Ref.
Yes (score 1-5)	0.71 (0.58-0.88)*
Social support	
Peer support	
Never/rarely/sometimes	Ref.
Mostly/always	1.23 (1.03-1.47)*
Parental support	
No (score 0)	Ref.
Yes (score 1-3)	1.33 (1.07-1.66)*

OR (odds ratios) and 95% CI (confidence intervals) were derived from a multiple logistic regression analysis, with tooth-brushing frequency <2 times/day as a reference group. Age was treated as a continuous variable. The model was adjusted for all variables simultaneously. * P-value <0.05 ** P-value <0.001

5.2 Prevalence and determinants of periodontitis among Indonesian adults

Table 3 displays the characteristics of the samples. The weighted average (\pm SD) age of the samples was 50.3 (\pm 10.4) years. Most samples were female, resided in urban regions, had their highest educational attainment level of primary school or lower, and worked in an occupation categorised as 'others'. The majority were never smokers, were never tobacco chewers, had daily but incorrect timing of tooth brushing, had not visited a dental professional in the past year, and had convenient access to medical facilities. In addition, most of them suffered from hypertension, but not abdominal obesity, hyperglycaemia, or MetS, and had normal HDL-C and TG levels. The respective prevalence of respondents with a minimum of one tooth with BOP, one tooth with PD \geq 4 mm, or one sextant with CAL \geq 4 mm was 74.9%, 40.7%, and 40.6%.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		TT • 14 1NT			
(weighted γ_0)percentage of percentage of term percentage of sextantsterm with BOP with PD >4 mmTotal subjects13359 (100)30.3 (29.2.31.5)11.1 (10.3.11.9)20.3 (19.4.21.2)Age35-64 years11963 (89.8)29.8 (28.6.30.9)10.7 (9.9-11.5)18.7 (17.8.19.6)Gonder20.8 (28.6.30.9)10.7 (9.9-11.5)18.7 (17.8.19.6)Gonder22.9 (28.8.31.1)10.1 (9.4-10.9)18.1 (17.2.19.1)Residential location22.9 (28.8.31.1)10.1 (9.4-10.9)18.1 (17.2.19.1)Residential location642.9 (28.8.31.1)10.1 (9.4-10.9)18.1 (17.2.19.1)Residential location642.9 (28.8.31.1)10.1 (9.4-10.9)18.1 (17.2.19.1)Residential location642.9 (28.6.32.9.8)10.7 (9.6-11.8)18.8 (17.6-19.9)Educational attainmentPercentage of zero (28.9.4)Primary School or lower78.8 (46.0.3)22.8 (28.6.2.9.8)10.7 (0.5.8.18.2)Matural dovelars37.7 (24.7)2.6 (25.1-28.1)9.7 (8.6-10.7)17.0 (15.8-18.2)Matural dovelars37.7 (26.26		Unweighted N	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		(Weighted %)	percentage of	percentage of teeth	percentage of sextants
Total subjects 13359 (100) 30.3 (29.2-31.5) 11.1 (10.3-11.9) 20.3 (19.4-21.2) 35.4 (gars 11963 (89.8) 29.8 (28.6-30.9) 10.7 (9.9-11.5) 18.7 (17.8-19.6) 65 - years 1396 (102) 35.4 (33.0-37.8) 15.0 (13.3-16.8) 35.3 (32.7-37.9) Gender Male 5137 (37.9) 31.0 (29.6-32.4) 12.8 (11.7-13.8) 23.8 (22.6-25.0) Female 8222 (62.1) 29.9 (28.8-31.1) 10.1 (9.4-10.9) 18.1 (17.2-19.1) Reidential location 8722 (62.1) 29.9 (28.8-31.4) 10.1 (9.4-10.8) 12.1 (12.2-19.1) Reidential attainment 9717 (32.5 (26.9-28.7) 99 (8.9-10.9) 16.9 (15.8-18.1) High school 4602 (33.7) 27.3 (25.9-28.7) 99 (8.9-10.9) 16.9 (15.8-18.1) High school 4602 (33.7) 27.3 (25.9-28.7) 99 (8.9-10.9) 16.9 (15.8-18.1) High school 4602 (33.7) 27.3 (25.9-28.7) 99 (8.9-10.9) 16.9 (15.8-18.1) High school 467 (6.1) 29.9 (28.9-10.9) 15.9 (17.8-14.0) 17.0 (1818.2) Manual worekers 4716 (43.4) <			teeth with BOP	with $PD \ge 4 \text{ mm}$	with CAL <u>>4 mm</u>
Age G5-64 years 11963 (89.8) 29.8 (28.6-30.9) 10.7 (9.9-11.5) 18.7 (17.8-19.6) 65- years 1396 (10.2) 35.4 (33.0-37.8) 15.0 (13.3-16.8) 35.3 (32.7-37.9) Male 5137 (37.9) 31.0 (29.6-32.4) 12.8 (11.7-13.8) 23.8 (22.6-25.0) Female 8222 (62.1) 29.9 (28.8-31.1) 10.1 (9.4-10.9) 18.1 (17.2-19.1) Ravial 6452 (46.4) 32.7 (31.13.4.3) 11.6 (10.4-12.8) 22.1 (20.7-32.5) Urban 6907 (53.7) 28.3 (26.8-29.8) 10.7 (9.6-11.8) 18.8 (17.6-19.9) Educational attainment 6907 (53.7) 27.3 (25.9 28.7) 9.9 (8.9-10.9) 16.9 (15.8-18.1) Highs eclouation 876 (61.1) 22.9 (20.5-25.2) 7.8 (6.4-9.2) 15.4 (13.4-17.4) Occupation 7.8 (26.49.2) 15.4 (13.4-17.4) 10.5 (9.7-11.4) 12.5 (18.2-30.5) Manual workers 3377 (24.7) 26.6 (25.1-28.1) 9.7 (8.6-10.7) 17.0 (15.8-18.2) Maruul workers 377 (24.7) 26.6 (25.1-28.1) 9.7 (8.6-10.7) 17.0 (15.8-18.2) Maruul workers 3277 (24.7) 26.6	Total subjects	13359 (100)	30.3 (29.2-31.5)	11.1 (10.3-11.9)	20.3 (19.4-21.2)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Age				
65+ years 1396 (10.2) 35.4 (33.0-37.8) 15.0 (15.3-16.8) 35.3 (32.7-37.9) Male 5137 (37.9) 31.0 (29.6-32.4) 12.8 (11.7-13.8) 23.8 (22.6-25.0) Renale 8222 (62.1) 29.9 (28.8-31.1) 10.1 (9.4-10.9) 18.1 (17.2-19.1) Reval 6907 (53.7) 22.3 (26.8-29.8) 10.7 (9.6-11.8) 18.8 (17.6-19.9) Educational attainment 91103 (20.20.5-22.7) 7.8 (64-9.2) 15.4 (13.4-17.4) Primary school or lower 7881 (60.3) 32.8 (31.4-34.1) 12.1 (11.2-13.1) 22.7 (21.6-23.8) High school 4602 (33.7) 22.3 (20.5-22.7) 7.8 (64-9.2) 15.4 (13.4-17.4) Occupation 73.3 (25.9-28.7) 9.9 (8.8-10.0) 17.0 (15.8-18.1) Manual workers 4377 (24.7) 26.6 (25.1-28.1) 9.7 (8.6-10.7) 17.0 (15.8-18.2) Manual workers 4371 (634.4) 34.0 (32.3-35.6) 12.9 (17.1-4.0) 23.7 (22.3-25.1) Others 5266 (40.9) 29.5 (28.2-30.8) 10.5 (9.7-11.4) 19.5 (18.4-20.6) Cigarette smoking 72.7 (22.4) 33.1 (22.4-32.7) 13.4 (17.2-1.4)	35-64 years	11963 (89.8)	29.8 (28.6-30.9)	10.7 (9.9-11.5)	18.7 (17.8-19.6)
GenderMale5137 (37, 9) $31.0 (29.6-32.4)$ $12.8 (11.7-13.8)$ $23.8 (22.6-25.0)$ Female8222 (62.1) $29.9 (28.8-31.1)$ $10.1 (9.4-10.9)$ $18.1 (17.2-19.1)$ Reidential location6007 (53.7) $28.3 (26.8-29.8)$ $10.7 (9.6-11.8)$ $18.8 (17.6-19.9)$ Educational attainment9007 (53.7) $28.3 (26.8-29.8)$ $10.7 (9.6-11.8)$ $18.8 (17.6-19.9)$ Primary school or lower7881 (60.3) $32.8 (31.4-34.1)$ $12.1 (11.2-13.1)$ $22.7 (21.6-23.8)$ High school4002 (33.7) $27.3 (25.9-28.7)$ $99 (8.9-10.9)$ $16.9 (158.18.1)$ High school4002 (33.7) $27.3 (25.9-28.7)$ $99 (8.9-10.9)$ $16.9 (158.18.1)$ Occupation876 (6.1) $22.9 (20.5-25.2)$ $7.8 (6.4-9.2)$ $15.4 (13.4-17.4)$ OccupationNor-manual workers $377 (24.7)$ $26.6 (25.1-28.1)$ $97.(8.6-10.7)$ $17.0 (15.8+18.2)$ Manual workers $4716 (34.4)$ $34.0 (32.3-35.6)$ $12.9 (11.7-14.0)$ $23.7 (22.3-25.1)$ OthersS26e (40.9) $29.5 (28.2-30.3)$ $10.5 (9.7-11.4)$ $19.5 (18.4-20.6)$ Cigartite smokingNever $9127 (68.2)$ $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $10.3 (9.6-11.1)$ $18.6 (17.7-19.5)$ Past940 (7.0) $27.8 (25.4-30.2)$ $10.6 (9.0-12.2)$ $19.7 (17.5-22.0)$ Current $3292 (24.8)$ $31.0 (29.4-31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Past170 (1.2) $25.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.5)$ Current 28	65+ years	1396 (10.2)	35.4 (33.0-37.8)	15.0 (13.3-16.8)	35.3 (32.7-37.9)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Gender				
Female822 (62.1) $29.9 (28.8-31.1)$ $10.1 (9.4-10.9)$ $18.1 (17.2-19.1)$ Residential locationRural6452 (46.4) $32.7 (31.1-34.3)$ $11.6 (10.4-12.8)$ $22.1 (20.7-23.5)$ Urban6907 (53.7) $28.3 (26.8-29.8)$ $10.7 (9.6-11.8)$ $18.8 (17.6-19.9)$ Educational attainment $22.7 (21.6-23.8)$ $11.5 (10.4-12.8)$ $22.7 (21.6-23.8)$ Primary school or lower7881 (60.3) $32.8 (31.4-34.1)$ $12.1 (11.2-13.1)$ $22.7 (21.6-23.8)$ High school $4602 (33.7)$ $27.3 (25.92.87)$ $99.(89-10.9)$ $16.9 (15.8-18.1)$ Occupation $77.3 (25.72.87)$ $99.(89-10.9)$ $15.4 (13.4.17.4)$ Occupation $77.6 (6-10.7)$ $17.0 (15.8-18.2)$ Manual workers $377 (24.7)$ $26.6 (25.1-28.1)$ $97. (8.6-10.7)$ $17.0 (15.8-18.2)$ Others $5266 (40.9)$ $29.5 (28.2-30.8)$ $10.5 (9.7-11.4)$ $19.5 (18.4-20.6)$ Cigaretic smoking $10.0 (29.4-32.7)$ $13.4 (12.1-14.6)$ $25.2 (23.8-26.7)$ Past $940 (7.0)$ $27.8 (25.4-30.2)$ $10.6 (9.0-12.2)$ $19.7 (17.5-22.0)$ Current $2292 (24.8)$ $31.0 (29.4-32.7)$ $13.4 (12.1-14.6)$ $25.2 (23.8-26.7)$ Past $170 (1.2)$ $23.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.6)$ Current $2290 (29.6.9)$ $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Past $170 (1.2)$ $23.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.5)$ Current $2202 (96.9)$ $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$	Male	5137 (37.9)	31.0 (29.6-32.4)	12.8 (11.7-13.8)	23.8 (22.6-25.0)
Residential locationRural $6452 (46.4)$ $32.7 (31.1.34.3)$ $11.6 (10.4.12.8)$ $22.1 (20.7.23.5)$ Urban $6907 (53.7)$ $28.3 (26.8-29.8)$ $10.7 (9.6-11.8)$ $18.8 (17.6-19.9)$ Educational attainment $22.3 (26.8-29.8)$ $10.7 (9.6-11.8)$ $18.8 (17.6-19.9)$ High school $4602 (33.7)$ $27.3 (25.9-28.7)$ $9.9 (8.9-10.9)$ $16.9 (15.8-18.1)$ Higher colucation $876 (6.1)$ $22.9 (20.5-22.7)$ $7.8 (6.4-0.2)$ $15.4 (13.4-17.4)$ OccupationNon-manual workers $3377 (24.7)$ $26.6 (25.1-28.1)$ $9.7 (8.6-10.7)$ $17.0 (15.8-18.2)$ Manual workers $4716 (34.4)$ $34.0 (32.3-35.6)$ $12.9 (11.7-14.0)$ $23.7 (22.3-25.1)$ Others $5266 (40.9)$ $29.5 (28.2-30.8)$ $10.5 (9.7-11.4)$ $19.5 (18.4-20.6)$ Cigarette smoking $10.2 (9.6-2)$ $10.3 (9.6-11.1)$ $18.6 (17.7-19.5)$ Past $9107 (0.7)$ $27.8 (25.4-30.2)$ $10.6 (0.0-12.2)$ $19.7 (17.5-22.0)$ Current $3292 (24.8)$ $31.0 (29.4-32.7)$ $13.4 (12.1-14.6)$ $25.2 (23.8-26.7)$ Tobacco chewing $170 (1.2)$ $25.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.5)$ Current $287 (2.0)$ $33.8 (28.8-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Tobacco chewing $11.9 (10.2) (2.0) (3.1 (9.2-21.0)$ $23.4 (2.6) (2.7) (3.2, 7-3.0)$ $29.5 (6.5-11.9)$ $14.9 (11.9-2.10.0)$ Past $170 (1.2) (2.2) (2.6) (2.2) (2.6) (2.2) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2$	Female	8222 (62.1)	29.9 (28.8-31.1)	10.1 (9.4-10.9)	18.1 (17.2-19.1)
Rural $6452 (46.4)$ $32.7 (31.1-34.3)$ $11.6 (10.4-12.8)$ $22.1 (20.7-23.5)$ Urban6907 (53.7) $28.3 (26.8-29.8)$ $10.7 (9.6-11.8)$ $11.8 8 (17.6-19.9)$ Educational attainment7881 (60.3) $32.8 (31.4-34.1)$ $12.1 (11.2-13.1)$ $22.7 (21.6-23.8)$ High school4602 (33.7) $27.3 (25.9-28.7)$ $9.9 (89-10.9)$ $16.9 (15.8-18.1)$ High erducation $876 (6.1)$ $22.9 (20.5-25.2)$ $7.8 (6.4-9.2)$ $15.4 (13.4-17.4)$ Occupation $70.6 (25.1-28.1)$ $9.7 (8.6-10.7)$ $17.0 (15.8-18.2)$ Manual workers $377 (24.7)$ $26.6 (25.1-28.1)$ $9.7 (8.6-10.7)$ $17.9 (15.8-18.2)$ Manual workers $176 (34.4)$ $34.0 (32.3-35.6)$ $10.5 (9.7-11.4)$ $19.5 (18.4-20.6)$ Cigarctic smoking $10.7 (29.2-31.5)$ $10.3 (9.6-11.1)$ $18.6 (17.7-19.5)$ Past920 (24.8) $31.0 (29.4-32.7)$ $13.4 (12.1-14.6)$ $25.2 (23.8-26.7)$ Tobacco chewing $10.2 (29.69)$ $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Past1700 (12.2) $25.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $9.5 (62-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.5)$ Current287 (2.0) $33.8 (28.3-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Toob-mishing behaviour $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, correct time $346 (2.6)$ $27.3 (25.7-20.0)$ $10.2 (9.2-11.5)$ $14.9 (11.8-18.0)$ Dental Visit $-11.137 (83.4)$ $30.9 (29.8-32.1)$ $11.3 (10.4-12.1)$ $20.6 (19$	Residential location				
Urban6907 (53.7)28.3 (26.8-29.8)10.7 (9.6-11.8)18.8 (17.6-19.9)Educational attainmentPrimary school or lower7881 (60.3)32.8 (31.4-34.1)12.1 (11.2-13.1)22.7 (21.6-23.8)High school4602 (33.7)27.3 (25.9-28.7)9.9 (8.9-10.9)16.9 (15.8-18.1)Higher culcation876 (6.1)22.9 (20.5-25.2)7.8 (6.4-9.2)15.4 (13.4-17.4)OccupationNon-manual workers3377 (24.7)26.6 (25.1-28.1)9.7 (8.6-10.7)17.0 (15.8-18.2)Manual workers4716 (34.4)34.0 (32.3-35.6)12.9 (11.7-14.0)23.7 (22.3-25.1)Others5266 (40.9)29.5 (28.2-30.8)10.5 (9.7-11.4)19.5 (18.4-20.6)Cigarette smokingNever9127 (68.2)30.3 (29.2-31.5)10.3 (9.6-11.1)18.6 (17.7-19.5)Past940 (7.0)27.8 (25.4-30.0)15.6 (2.1-27.1)24.5 (15.5-30.5)Current2322 (24.8)31.0 (29.4-32.7)15.4 (12.1-14.6)25.2 (23.8-26.7)Pober ochewingNever1202 (96.9)30.3 (29.2-31.5)11.1 (10.3-11.9)20.1 (19.2-21.0)Past170 (12.)25.3 (20.7-30.0)9.5 (6.2-12.7)24.5 (18.5-30.5)24.10Current287 (2.0)33.8 (28.3-88.8)12.4 (9.3-15.4)27.5 (22.8-32.2)Tooh-brushing behaviourNot (31.9)30.1 (29.0-31.3)11.1 (10.3-11.9)20.1 (19.2-21.0)Daily, correct time12600 (94.8)30.1 (29.0-31.3)11.1 (10.3-11.9)20.6 (19.6-21.5)Daily, correct time12600 (94.8)30.1 (29.0-31.0)14	Rural	6452 (46.4)	32.7 (31.1-34.3)	11.6 (10.4-12.8)	22.1 (20.7-23.5)
Educational attainment Primary school or lower 7881 (60.3) 32.8 (31.4-34.1) 12.1 (11.2-13.1) 22.7 (21.6-23.8) High school 4602 (33.7) 27.3 (25.9-28.7) 9.9 (8.9-10.9) 16.9 (15.8-18.1) Higher education 876 (6.1) 22.9 (20.5-25.2) 7.8 (6.4-9.2) 15.4 (13.4-17.4) Occupation Non-manual workers 377 (24.7) 26.6 (25.1-28.1) 9.7 (8.6-10.7) 17.0 (15.8-18.2) Manual workers 4716 (34.4) 34.0 (32.3-35.6) 12.9 (11.7-14.0) 23.7 (22.3-25.1) Others 5266 (40.9) 29.5 (28.2-30.8) 10.5 (9.7-11.4) 19.5 (18.4-20.6) Cigarette snoking Never 9127 (68.2) 30.3 (29.2-31.5) 10.3 (9.6-11.1) 18.6 (17.7-19.5) Past 940 (7.0) 27.8 (25.4-30.2) 10.6 (9.0-12.2) 19.7 (17.5-22.0) Current 3292 (24.8) 31.0 (29.4-32.7) 13.4 (12.1-14.6) 25.2 (23.8-26.7) Tobacco chewing Never 12902 (96.9) 30.3 (29.2-31.5) 11.1 (10.3-11.9) 20.1 (19.2-21.0) Past 170 (1.2) 25.3 (20.7-30.0) 9.5 (6.2-12.7) 24.5 (18.5-30.5) Current 287 (2.0) 33.8 (28.8-38.8) 12.4 (9.3-15.4) 27.5 (22.8-32.2) Tooth-brushing behaviour Not daily 375 (2.6) 40.6 (35.9-45.4) 14.6 (11.7-17.5) 33.4 (28.5-38.3) Daily, incorrect time 12600 (94.8) 30.1 (29.0-31.3) 11.1 (10.3-11.9) 20.1 (19.2-21.0) Daily, correct time 12600 (94.8) 30.1 (29.0-31.3) 11.1 (10.3-11.9) 20.1 (19.2-21.0) Daily, correct time 2384 (2.6) 27.3 (23.7-31.0) 9.2 (6.5-11.9) 14.9 (11.8-18.0) Dental visit < time 11137 (83.4) 30.9 (29.8-32.1) 11.3 (10.4-12.1) 20.6 (19.6-21.5) ≥1 time 2222 (16.7) 27.3 (25.7-30.1) 10.8 (9.8-11.7) 19.7 (18.7-20.8) Difficult 5421 (42.9) 32.4 (30.9-34.0) 11.6 (10.6-12.6) 21.0 (19.7-22.3) MetS No 7879 (59.0) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.1 (10.2-12.0) 20.3 (19.2-21.4) Yes 5480 (41.0) 30.2 (28.9-31.6) 11.1 (10.2-12.1) 20.3 (19.2-21.4) Yes 5480 (41.0) 30.2 (28.9-31.6) 11.1 (10.2-12.0) 20.3 (19.2-21.4) Yes 5480 (41.0) 30.2 (28.9-31.6) 11.1 (10.2-12.0) 20.3 (19.2-21.4) Yes 5480 (41.0) 30.2 (28.9-31.6) 11.1 (10.2-12.0) 20.3 (19.2-21.4) No 799 (53.0) 31.7 (30.4 (33.0) 12.2 (11.2-13.3) 22.2 (22.4 (21.3-23.5) Yes 6260 (47.0) 28.8 (27.6-30.1) 9.9 (9.1-10.8) 18.0 (17.0-19.0) TG Normal 69692 (72.7) 30.	Urban	6907 (53.7)	28.3 (26.8-29.8)	10.7 (9.6-11.8)	18.8 (17.6-19.9)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Educational attainment				
High school4602 (33.7)27.3 (25.9-28.7)9.9 (8.9-10.9)16.9 (15.8-18.1)Higher education876 (6.1)22.9 (20.5-25.2)7.8 (6.4-9.2)15.4 (13.4-17.4)Occupation9.7 (8.6-10.7)17.0 (15.8-18.2)Manual workers377 (24.7)26.6 (25.1-28.1)9.7 (8.6-10.7)17.0 (15.8-18.2)Manual workers5266 (40.9)29.5 (28.2-30.8)10.5 (9.7-11.4)19.5 (18.4-20.6)Cigarette snoking9127 (68.2)30.3 (29.2-31.5)10.3 (9.6-11.1)18.6 (17.7-19.5)Past940 (7.0)27.8 (25.4-30.2)10.6 (9.0-12.2)19.7 (17.5-22.0)Current23292 (24.8)31.0 (29.4-52.7)13.4 (12.1-14.6)25.2 (23.8-26.7)Tobacco chewing9.03 (29.2-31.5)11.1 (10.3-11.9)20.1 (19.2-21.0)Past170 (1.2)25.3 (20.7-30.0)9.5 (6.2-12.7)24.5 (18.5-30.5)Current287 (2.0)33.8 (28.8-38.8)12.4 (9.3-15.4)27.5 (22.8-32.2)Tooth-brushing behaviour9.0 (1.20.3)11.1 (10.3-11.9)20.1 (19.2-21.0)Daily, incorrect time13600 (94.8)30.1 (29.0-31.3)11.1 (10.3-11.9)20.1 (19.2-21.0)Daily, incorrect time1384 (2.6)27.3 (23.7-31.0)9.2 (6.5-11.9)14.9 (11.8-18.0)Dental visit	Primary school or lower	7881 (60.3)	32.8 (31.4-34.1)	12.1 (11.2-13.1)	22.7 (21.6-23.8)
Higher education Occupation876 (6.1) $22.9 (20.5 \cdot 25.2)$ $7.8 (6.4 \cdot 9.2)$ $15.4 (13.4 \cdot 17.4)$ Occupation3377 (24.7) $26.6 (25.1 \cdot 28.1)$ $9.7 (8.6 \cdot 10.7)$ $17.0 (15.8 \cdot 18.2)$ Manual workers4716 (34.4) $34.0 (32.3 \cdot 35.6)$ $12.9 (11.7 \cdot 14.0)$ $23.7 (22.3 \cdot 25.1)$ Others $5266 (40.9)$ $29.5 (28.2 \cdot 30.8)$ $10.5 (9.7 \cdot 11.4)$ $19.5 (18.4 \cdot 20.6)$ Cigarette smoking $10.5 (9.2 \cdot 11.4)$ $19.5 (16.4 \cdot 20.6)$ $10.5 (9.6 \cdot 11.1)$ $18.6 (17.7 \cdot 9.5)$ Past $940 (7.0)$ $27.8 (25.4 \cdot 30.2)$ $10.6 (9.0 \cdot 12.2)$ $19.7 (17.5 \cdot 22.0)$ Current $3292 (24.8)$ $31.0 (29.4 \cdot 32.7)$ $13.4 (12.1 \cdot 14.6)$ $25.2 (23.8 \cdot 26.7)$ Tobacco chewing $170 (1.2)$ $25.3 (20.7 \cdot 30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2 \cdot 12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5 \cdot 30.5)$ Never $12902 (96.9)$ $30.3 (29.2 \cdot 31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3 \cdot 11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2 \cdot 21.0)$ Past $170 (1.2)$ $25.3 (20.7 \cdot 30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2 \cdot 12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5 \cdot 30.5)$ Current $287 (2.0)$ $33.8 (28.8 \cdot 88.8)$ $12.4 (9.3 \cdot 15.4)$ $14.6 (11.7 \cdot 15.5)$ Daily, incorrect time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0 \cdot 31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3 \cdot 11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2 \cdot 21.0)$ Daily, correct time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0 \cdot 31.3)$ $11.1 (10.2 \cdot 11.2)$ $20.6 (19.6 \cdot 21.5)$ $< 1 time$ $11137 (83.4)$ $30.9 (29.8 \cdot 32.1)$ $11.3 (10.4 \cdot 12.1)$ $20.6 (19.6 \cdot 21.5)$ $> 1 time$ $11137 (83.4)$ $30.4 (29.2 \cdot 31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2 \cdot 12.0)$	High school	4602 (33.7)	27.3 (25.9-28.7)	9.9 (8.9-10.9)	16.9 (15.8-18.1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Higher education	876 (6.1)	22.9 (20.5-25.2)	7.8 (6.4-9.2)	15.4 (13.4-17.4)
Non-manual workers $3377 (24.7)$ $26.6 (25.1-28.1)$ $9.7 (8.6-10.7)$ $17.0 (15.8-18.2)$ Manual workers $4716 (34.4)$ $34.0 (32.3-53.6)$ $12.9 (11.7-14.0)$ $23.7 (22.3-25.1)$ Others $5266 (40.9)$ $29.5 (28.2-30.8)$ $10.5 (9.7-11.4)$ $19.5 (18.4-20.6)$ Cigarette smoking $10.5 (9.7-11.4)$ $19.5 (18.4-20.6)$ Never $9127 (68.2)$ $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $10.3 (9.6-11.1)$ $18.6 (17.7-19.5)$ Past $940 (7.0)$ $27.8 (25.4-30.2)$ $10.6 (9.0-12.2)$ $19.7 (17.5-22.0)$ Current $3292 (24.8)$ $31.0 (29.4-32.7)$ $13.4 (12.1-14.6)$ $22.2 (23.8-26.7)$ Tobacco chewing $10.2 (9.2) (33.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Past $12902 (96.9)$ $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Past $12902 (96.9)$ $33.8 (28.8-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Tother $287 (2.0)$ $33.8 (28.8-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Tother $287 (2.0)$ $33.8 (28.3-38.3)$ $11.4 (0.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, incorrect time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, incorrect time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.6 (19.6-21.5)$ ≥ 1 time $1232 (16.7)$ $27.3 (23.7-30.0)$ $9.2 (6.5-11.9)$ $14.9 (11.8-18.0)$ Dental visit $11137 (83.4)$ $30.9 (29.8-32.1)$ $11.3 (10.4-12.1)$ $20.6 (19.6-21.5)$ <t< td=""><td>Occupation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Occupation				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Non-manual workers	3377 (24.7)	26.6 (25.1-28.1)	9.7 (8.6-10.7)	17.0 (15.8-18.2)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Manual workers	4716 (34.4)	34.0 (32.3-35.6)	12.9 (11.7-14.0)	23.7 (22.3-25.1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Others	5266 (40.9)	29.5 (28.2-30.8)	10.5 (9.7-11.4)	19.5 (18.4-20.6)
Never9127 (68.2) $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $10.3 (9.0-11.1)$ $18.6 (17.7-19.5)$ Past940 (7.0) $27.8 (25.4-30.2)$ $10.6 (9.0-11.2)$ $19.7 (17.5-22.0)$ Current $3292 (24.8)$ $31.0 (29.4-32.7)$ $13.4 (12.1-14.6)$ $25.2 (23.8-26.7)$ Tobacco chewing $12902 (96.9)$ $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Past $170 (1.2)$ $25.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.5)$ Current $287 (2.0)$ $33.8 (28.8-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Tooth-brushing behaviour $Not (20.94.32)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, incorrect time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, incorrect time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, correct time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, correct time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.9 (29.8-32.1)$ $11.3 (10.4-12.1)$ $20.6 (19.6-21.5)$ ≥ 1 time $21137 (83.4)$ $30.9 (29.8-32.1)$ $11.3 (10.4-12.1)$ $20.6 (19.6-21.5)$ ≥ 1 time $21137 (83.4)$ $30.2 (28.9-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ MetS No $7879 (59.0)$ $30.4 (29.2-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ MetS No $7879 (59.0)$ $30.4 (29.2-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ MetS No </td <td>Cigarette smoking</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Cigarette smoking				
Past940 (7.0) $27.8 (25.4.30.2)$ $10.6 (9.0-12.2)$ $19.7 (17.5-2.0)$ Current $3292 (24.8)$ $31.0 (29.4.32.7)$ $13.4 (12.1-14.6)$ $25.2 (23.8-26.7)$ Tobacco chewing $12902 (96.9)$ $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Past $170 (1.2)$ $25.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.5)$ Current $287 (2.0)$ $33.8 (28.8-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Tooth-brushing behaviour N $375 (2.6)$ $40.6 (35.9-45.4)$ $14.6 (11.7-17.5)$ $33.4 (28.5-38.3)$ Daily, incorrect time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, incorrect time $384 (2.6)$ $27.3 (23.7-31.0)$ $9.2 (6.5-11.9)$ $14.9 (11.8-18.0)$ Dental visit $-11137 (83.4)$ $30.9 (29.8-32.1)$ $11.3 (10.4-12.1)$ $20.6 (19.6-21.5)$ ≥ 1 time $2122 (16.7)$ $27.3 (25.7-29.0)$ $10.2 (9.2-11.3)$ $18.9 (17.4-20.4)$ Access to healthcare facilities $-11137 (83.4)$ $30.9 (29.2-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.6)$ Basy $7938 (57.2)$ $28.8 (27.5-30.1)$ $10.8 (9.8-11.7)$ $19.7 (18.7-20.8)$ Difficult $5421 (42.9)$ $32.4 (30.9-34.0)$ $11.6 (10.6-12.6)$ $21.0 (19.7-22.3)$ MetS $-10.9 (99.9) (30.4 (29.2-31.6))$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ No $7376 (55.8)$ $29.2 (28.0-30.4)$ $10.2 (9.3-11.1)$ $18.3 (17.2-19.3)$ Yes $5983 (44.2)$ $31.8 (30.4-33.1$	Never	9127 (68.2)	30.3 (29.2-31.5)	10.3 (9.6-11.1)	18.6 (17.7-19.5)
Current3292 (24.8) $31.0 (29.4\cdot32.7)$ $13.4 (12.1-14.6)$ $25.2 (23.8\cdot26.7)$ Tobacco chewingNever12902 (96.9) $30.3 (29.2\cdot31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3\cdot11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2\cdot21.0)$ Past170 (1.2) $25.3 (20.7\cdot30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2\cdot12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5\cdot30.5)$ Current287 (2.0) $33.8 (28.8\cdot38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3\cdot15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8\cdot32.2)$ Tooth-brushing behaviourNot daily $375 (2.6)$ $40.6 (35.9\cdot45.4)$ $14.6 (11.7\cdot17.5)$ $33.4 (28.5\cdot38.3)$ Daily, incorrect time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0\cdot31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3\cdot11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2\cdot21.0)$ Daily, incorrect time $384 (2.6)$ $27.3 (23.7\cdot31.0)$ $92. (6.5\cdot11.9)$ $14.9 (11.8\cdot18.0)$ Dental visit $-11137 (83.4)$ $30.9 (29.8\cdot32.1)$ $11.3 (10.4\cdot12.1)$ $20.6 (19.6\cdot21.5)$ ≥ 1 time $21222 (16.7)$ $27.3 (25.7\cdot29.0)$ $10.2 (9.2\cdot11.3)$ $18.9 (17.4\cdot20.4)$ Access to healthcare facilities $-1137 (83.4)$ $30.9 (29.8\cdot32.1)$ $11.6 (10.6\cdot12.6)$ $21.0 (19.7\cdot22.3)$ MetS $-116 (10.6\cdot12.6)$ $21.0 (19.7\cdot22.3)$ $11.6 (10.6\cdot12.6)$ $21.0 (19.7\cdot22.3)$ MetS $-111 (10.2\cdot12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2\cdot21.4)$ YesYes $5480 (41.0)$ $30.2 (28.9\cdot31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2\cdot12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2\cdot21.4)$ Yes $5983 (44.2)$ $31.8 (30.4\cdot33.1)$ $12.2 (11.2\cdot13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3\cdot23.5)$ Yes $5983 (44.2)$ $31.8 (30.4\cdot33.1)$ $12.2 (11.2\cdot13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3\cdot23.5)$ Yes $6260 (47.0)$ $28.8 (27.6\cdot30.1)$	Past	940 (7.0)	27.8 (25.4-30.2)	10.6 (9.0-12.2)	19.7 (17.5-22.0)
Tobacco chewingNever12902 (96.9) $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Past170 (1.2) $25.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.5)$ Current287 (2.0) $33.8 (28.8-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Tooth-brushing behaviour $75 (2.6)$ $40.6 (35.9-45.4)$ $14.6 (11.7-17.5)$ $33.4 (28.5-38.3)$ Daily, incorrect time12600 (94.8) $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, correct time $384 (2.6)$ $27.3 (23.7-31.0)$ $9.2 (6.5-11.9)$ $14.9 (11.8-18.0)$ Dental visit </td <td>Current</td> <td>3292 (24.8)</td> <td>31.0 (29.4-32.7)</td> <td>13.4 (12.1-14.6)</td> <td>25.2 (23.8-26.7)</td>	Current	3292 (24.8)	31.0 (29.4-32.7)	13.4 (12.1-14.6)	25.2 (23.8-26.7)
Never12902 (96.9) $30.3 (29.2-31.5)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Past170 (1.2) $25.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $95. (6.2-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.5)$ Current287 (2.0) $33.8 (28.8-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Tooth-brushing behaviourNot daily $375 (2.6)$ $40.6 (35.9-45.4)$ $14.6 (11.7-17.5)$ $33.4 (28.5-38.3)$ Daily, correct time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, correct time $2384 (2.6)$ $27.3 (23.7-31.0)$ $9.2 (6.5-11.9)$ $14.9 (11.8-18.0)$ Dental visit $21222 (16.7)$ $27.3 (25.7-29.0)$ $10.2 (9.2-11.3)$ $18.9 (17.4-20.4)$ Access to healthcare facilities $11.6 (10.6-12.6)$ $21.0 (19.7-22.3)$ MetS $30.4 (29.2-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ No7879 (59.0) $30.4 (29.2-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ Hyperglycemia $31.8 (30.4-33.1)$ $12.3 (11.3-13.3)$ $22.9 (21.8-24.0)$ Adominal obesity $31.7 (30.4-33.0)$ $12.2 (11.2-13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3-23.5)$ Yes $6260 (47.0)$ $28.8 (27.6-30.1)$ $9.9 (9.1-10.8)$ $18.0 (17.0-19.0)$ TG $31.7 (30.4-33.0)$ $12.2 (11.2-13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3-23.5)$ Yes $6260 (47.0)$ $28.8 (27.6-30.1)$ $9.9 (9.1-10.8)$ $18.0 (17.0-19.0)$ TG	Tobacco chewing				
Past $170 (1.2)$ $25.3 (20.7-30.0)$ $9.5 (6.2-12.7)$ $24.5 (18.5-30.5)$ Current $287 (2.0)$ $33.8 (28.8-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Tooth-brushing behaviourNot daily $375 (2.6)$ $40.6 (35.9-45.4)$ $14.6 (11.7-17.5)$ $33.4 (28.5-38.3)$ Daily, incorrect time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, correct time $384 (2.6)$ $27.3 (23.7-31.0)$ $9.2 (6.5-11.9)$ $14.9 (11.8-18.0)$ Dental visit $11137 (83.4)$ $30.9 (29.8-32.1)$ $11.3 (10.4-12.1)$ $20.6 (19.6-21.5)$ ≥ 1 time $2222 (16.7)$ $27.3 (25.7-29.0)$ $10.2 (9.2-11.3)$ $18.9 (17.4-20.4)$ Access to healthcare facilitiesEasy $7938 (57.2)$ $28.8 (27.5-30.1)$ $10.8 (9.8-11.7)$ $19.7 (18.7-20.8)$ Difficult $5421 (42.9)$ $32.4 (30.9-34.0)$ $11.6 (10.6-12.6)$ $21.0 (19.7-22.3)$ MetS $30.2 (28.9-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ Yes $5480 (41.0)$ $30.2 (28.9-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.1)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ Hyperglycemia $31.7 (30.4-33.0)$ $12.2 (11.2-13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3-23.5)$ Yes $5983 (44.2)$ $31.8 (30.4-33.1)$ $12.2 (11.2-13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3-23.5)$ Yes $6260 (47.0)$ $28.8 (27.6-30.1)$ $9.9 (9.1-10.8)$ $18.0 (17.0-19.0)$ TG $11.9 (10.8-13.0)$ $21.5 (20.2-22.8)$ HDL-C <td>Never</td> <td>12902 (96.9)</td> <td>30.3 (29.2-31.5)</td> <td>11.1 (10.3-11.9)</td> <td>20.1 (19.2-21.0)</td>	Never	12902 (96.9)	30.3 (29.2-31.5)	11.1 (10.3-11.9)	20.1 (19.2-21.0)
Current287 (2.0) $33.8 (28.8-38.8)$ $12.4 (9.3-15.4)$ $27.5 (22.8-32.2)$ Tooth-brushing behaviourNot daily $375 (2.6)$ $40.6 (35.9-45.4)$ $14.6 (11.7-17.5)$ $33.4 (28.5-38.3)$ Daily, incorrect time $12600 (94.8)$ $30.1 (29.0-31.3)$ $11.1 (10.3-11.9)$ $20.1 (19.2-21.0)$ Daily, correct time $384 (2.6)$ $27.3 (23.7-31.0)$ $9.2 (6.5-11.9)$ $14.9 (11.8-18.0)$ Dental visit $<1 time$	Past	170 (1.2)	25.3 (20.7-30.0)	9.5 (6.2-12.7)	24.5 (18.5-30.5)
Tooth-brushing behaviourNot daily375 (2.6)40.6 (35.9-45.4)14.6 (11.7-17.5)33.4 (28.5-38.3)Daily, icorrect time12600 (94.8)30.1 (29.0-31.3)11.1 (10.3-11.9)20.1 (19.2-21.0)Daily, correct time384 (2.6)27.3 (23.7-31.0)9.2 (6.5-11.9)14.9 (11.8-18.0)Dental visit21 time21222 (16.7)27.3 (25.7-29.0)11.3 (10.4-12.1)20.6 (19.6-21.5)≥1 time21222 (16.7)27.3 (25.7-29.0)10.2 (9.2-11.3)18.9 (17.4-20.4)Access to healthcare facilitiesEasy7938 (57.2)28.8 (27.5-30.1)10.8 (9.8-11.7)19.7 (18.7-20.8)Difficult5421 (42.9)32.4 (30.9-34.0)11.6 (10.6-12.6)21.0 (19.7-22.3)MetSNo7879 (59.0)30.4 (29.2-31.6)11.1 (10.2-12.0)20.3 (19.2-21.4)Yes5480 (41.0)30.2 (28.9-31.6)11.1 (10.2-12.0)20.3 (19.2-21.4)HyperglycemiaNo7376 (55.8)29.2 (28.0-30.4)10.2 (9.3-11.1)18.3 (17.2-19.3)Yes5983 (44.2)31.8 (30.4-33.1)12.3 (11.3-13.3)22.9 (21.8-24.0)Abdominal obesityNo7099 (53.0)31.7 (30.4-33.0)12.2 (11.2-13.2)22.4 (21.3-23.5)Yes6260 (47.0)28.8 (27.6-30.1)9.9 (9.1-10.8)18.0 (17.0-19.0)TGNormal9692 (72.7)30.2 (29.0-31.4)10.8 (10.0-11.6)19.8 (18.9-20.8)Elevated3667 (27.3)30.7 (29.2-32.2)11.9 (10.8-13.0)21.5 (20.2-22.8)<	Current	287 (2.0)	33.8 (28.8-38.8)	12.4 (9.3-15.4)	27.5 (22.8-32.2)
Not daily375 (2.6)40.6 (35.9-45.4)14.6 (11.7-17.5)33.4 (28.5-38.3)Daily, incorrect time12600 (94.8)30.1 (29.0-31.3)11.1 (10.3-11.9)20.1 (19.2-21.0)Daily, correct time384 (2.6)27.3 (23.7-31.0)9.2 (6.5-11.9)14.9 (11.8-18.0)Dental visit </td <td>Tooth-brushing behaviour</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Tooth-brushing behaviour				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Not daily	375 (2.6)	40.6 (35.9-45.4)	14.6 (11.7-17.5)	33.4 (28.5-38.3)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Daily, incorrect time	12600 (94.8)	30.1 (29.0-31.3)	11.1 (10.3-11.9)	20.1 (19.2-21.0)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Daily, correct time	384 (2.6)	27.3 (23.7-31.0)	9.2 (6.5-11.9)	14.9 (11.8-18.0)
	Dental visit				
≥1 time 2222 (16.7) 27.3 (25.7-29.0) 10.2 (9.2-11.3) 18.9 (17.4-20.4) Access to healthcare facilities Easy 7938 (57.2) 28.8 (27.5-30.1) 10.8 (9.8-11.7) 19.7 (18.7-20.8) Difficult 5421 (42.9) 32.4 (30.9-34.0) 11.6 (10.6-12.6) 21.0 (19.7-22.3) MetS No 7879 (59.0) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.1 (10.2-12.0) 20.3 (19.2-21.4) Yes 5480 (41.0) 30.2 (28.9-31.6) 11.1 (10.2-12.1) 20.3 (19.2-21.4) Hyperglycemia No 7376 (55.8) 29.2 (28.0-30.4) 10.2 (9.3-11.1) 18.3 (17.2-19.3) Yes 5983 (44.2) 31.8 (30.4-33.1) 12.3 (11.3-13.3) 22.9 (21.8-24.0) Abdominal obesity No 7099 (53.0) 31.7 (30.4-33.0) 12.2 (11.2-13.2) 22.4 (21.3-23.5) Yes 6260 (47.0) 28.8 (27.6-30.1) 9.9 (9.1-10.8) 18.0 (17.0-19.0) TG Normal 9692 (72.7) 30.2 (29.0-31.4) 10.8 (10.0-11.6) 19.8 (18.9-20.8) Elevated 3667 (27.3) 30.7 (29.2-32.2) 11.9 (10.8-13.0) 21.5 (20.2-22.8) HDL-C Normal 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension No 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 114 4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	<1 time	11137 (83.4)	30.9 (29.8-32.1)	11.3 (10.4-12.1)	20.6 (19.6-21.5)
Access to healthcare facilities $(2, 1, 2, 3, 3, 1, 1)$ $(2, 1, 2, 3, 3, 1)$ $(2, 1, 2, 3, 3, 1)$ Basy7938 (57.2)28.8 (27.5-30.1) 10.8 (9.8-11.7) 19.7 (18.7-20.8)Difficult5421 (42.9) 32.4 (30.9-34.0) 11.6 (10.6-12.6) 21.0 (19.7-22.3)MetS $(1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4)$ $(29.2-31.6)$ 11.1 (10.2-12.0) 20.3 (19.2-21.4)Yes 5480 (41.0) 30.2 (28.9-31.6) 11.1 (10.2-12.1) 20.3 (19.2-21.4)Hyperglycemia $(1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,$	≥ 1 time	2222 (16.7)	27.3 (25.7-29.0)	10.2 (9.2-11.3)	18.9 (17.4-20.4)
Easy7938 (57.2) $28.8 (27.5-30.1)$ $10.8 (9.8-11.7)$ $19.7 (18.7-20.8)$ Difficult $5421 (42.9)$ $32.4 (30.9-34.0)$ $11.6 (10.6-12.6)$ $21.0 (19.7-22.3)$ MetS No $7879 (59.0)$ $30.4 (29.2-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ Yes $5480 (41.0)$ $30.2 (28.9-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.1)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ Hyperglycemia No $7376 (55.8)$ $29.2 (28.0-30.4)$ $10.2 (9.3-11.1)$ $18.3 (17.2-19.3)$ Yes $5983 (44.2)$ $31.8 (30.4-33.1)$ $12.3 (11.3-13.3)$ $22.9 (21.8-24.0)$ Abdominal obesity No $7099 (53.0)$ $31.7 (30.4-33.0)$ $12.2 (11.2-13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3-23.5)$ Yes $6260 (47.0)$ $28.8 (27.6-30.1)$ $9.9 (9.1-10.8)$ $18.0 (17.0-19.0)$ TG $Normal$ $9692 (72.7)$ $30.2 (29.0-31.4)$ $10.8 (10.0-11.6)$ $19.8 (18.9-20.8)$ Elevated $3667 (27.3)$ $30.7 (29.2-32.2)$ $11.9 (10.8-13.0)$ $21.5 (20.2-22.8)$ HDL-C $Normal$ $8065 (60.2)$ $30.4 (29.2-31.6)$ $11.2 (10.3-12.1)$ $21.1 (20.0-22.1)$ Reduced $5294 (39.9)$ $30.2 (28.8-31.6)$ $11.0 (10.1-12.0)$ $19.2 (18.1-20.3)$ Hypertension No $4625 (33.7)$ $30.0 (28.6-31.4)$ $10.5 (9.6-11.4)$ $18.5 (17.3-19.6)$ Yes $8734 (66.3)$ $30.5 (29.3-31.7)$ $11.4 (10.5-12.3)$ $21.2 (20.2-22.2)$	Access to healthcare facilities				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Easy	7938 (57.2)	28.8 (27.5-30.1)	10.8 (9.8-11.7)	19.7 (18.7-20.8)
MetS $(2, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, (29, 2, -31, 6), 11.1, (10, 2, -12, 0), 20.3, (19, 2, -21, 4), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 5), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 5), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 5), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 5), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 5), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 5), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (19, 2, -31, 6), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 1), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 1), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 1), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 1), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -22, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -2, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -2, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -2, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -2, 2), 20.3, (10, 2, -2, 2), 20.3, $	Difficult	5421 (42.9)	32.4 (30.9-34.0)	11.6 (10.6-12.6)	21.0 (19.7-22.3)
No $7879 (59.0)$ $30.4 (29.2-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.0)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ Yes $5480 (41.0)$ $30.2 (28.9-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.1)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ Hyperglycemia $11.1 (10.2-12.1)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ No $7376 (55.8)$ $29.2 (28.0-30.4)$ $10.2 (9.3-11.1)$ $18.3 (17.2-19.3)$ Yes $5983 (44.2)$ $31.8 (30.4-33.1)$ $12.3 (11.3-13.3)$ $22.9 (21.8-24.0)$ Abdominal obesity $11.7 (30.4-33.0)$ $12.2 (11.2-13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3-23.5)$ Yes $6260 (47.0)$ $28.8 (27.6-30.1)$ $9.9 (9.1-10.8)$ $18.0 (17.0-19.0)$ TG $11.9 (10.8 (10.0-11.6))$ $19.8 (18.9-20.8)$ Elevated $3667 (27.3)$ $30.7 (29.2-32.2)$ $11.9 (10.8-13.0)$ $21.5 (20.2-22.8)$ HDL-C $11.2 (10.3-12.1)$ $21.1 (20.0-22.1)$ Reduced $5294 (39.9)$ $30.2 (28.8-31.6)$ $11.0 (10.1-12.0)$ $19.2 (18.1-20.3)$ Hypertension $4625 (33.7)$ $30.0 (28.6-31.4)$ $10.5 (9.6-11.4)$ $18.5 (17.3-19.6)$ Yes $8734 (66.3)$ $30.5 (29.3-31.7)$ $11.4 (10.5-12.3)$ $21.2 (20.2-22.2)$	MetS				
Yes $5480 (41.0)$ $30.2 (28.9-31.6)$ $11.1 (10.2-12.1)$ $20.3 (19.2-21.4)$ HyperglycemiaNo $7376 (55.8)$ $29.2 (28.0-30.4)$ $10.2 (9.3-11.1)$ $18.3 (17.2-19.3)$ No $7376 (55.8)$ $29.2 (28.0-30.4)$ $10.2 (9.3-11.1)$ $18.3 (17.2-19.3)$ Yes $5983 (44.2)$ $31.8 (30.4-33.1)$ $12.3 (11.3-13.3)$ $22.9 (21.8-24.0)$ Abdominal obesityNo $7099 (53.0)$ $31.7 (30.4-33.0)$ $12.2 (11.2-13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3-23.5)$ Yes $6260 (47.0)$ $28.8 (27.6-30.1)$ $9.9 (9.1-10.8)$ $18.0 (17.0-19.0)$ TGImage: Solution of the state of the s	No	7879 (59.0)	30.4 (29.2-31.6)	11.1 (10.2-12.0)	20.3 (19.2-21.4)
HyperglycemiaYes7376 (55.8) $29.2 (28.0-30.4)$ $10.2 (9.3-11.1)$ $18.3 (17.2-19.3)$ Yes5983 (44.2) $31.8 (30.4-33.1)$ $12.3 (11.3-13.3)$ $22.9 (21.8-24.0)$ Abdominal obesityNo7099 (53.0) $31.7 (30.4-33.0)$ $12.2 (11.2-13.2)$ $22.4 (21.3-23.5)$ Yes6260 (47.0) $28.8 (27.6-30.1)$ $9.9 (9.1-10.8)$ $18.0 (17.0-19.0)$ TGNormal9692 (72.7) $30.2 (29.0-31.4)$ $10.8 (10.0-11.6)$ $19.8 (18.9-20.8)$ Elevated3667 (27.3) $30.7 (29.2-32.2)$ $11.9 (10.8-13.0)$ $21.5 (20.2-22.8)$ HDL-CNormal $8065 (60.2)$ $30.4 (29.2-31.6)$ $11.2 (10.3-12.1)$ $21.1 (20.0-22.1)$ Reduced $5294 (39.9)$ $30.2 (28.8-31.6)$ $11.0 (10.1-12.0)$ $19.2 (18.1-20.3)$ HypertensionNo $4625 (33.7)$ $30.0 (28.6-31.4)$ $10.5 (9.6-11.4)$ $18.5 (17.3-19.6)$ Yes $8734 (66.3)$ $30.5 (29.3-31.7)$ $11.4 (10.5-12.3)$ $21.2 (20.2-22.2)$	Yes	5480 (41.0)	30.2 (28.9-31.6)	11.1 (10.2-12.1)	20.3 (19.2-21.4)
No 7376 (55.8) 29.2 (28.0-30.4) 10.2 (9.3-11.1) 18.3 (17.2-19.3) Yes 5983 (44.2) 31.8 (30.4-33.1) 12.3 (11.3-13.3) 22.9 (21.8-24.0) Abdominal obesity No 7099 (53.0) 31.7 (30.4-33.0) 12.2 (11.2-13.2) 22.4 (21.3-23.5) Yes 6260 (47.0) 28.8 (27.6-30.1) 9.9 (9.1-10.8) 18.0 (17.0-19.0) TG Normal 9692 (72.7) 30.2 (29.0-31.4) 10.8 (10.0-11.6) 19.8 (18.9-20.8) Elevated 3667 (27.3) 30.7 (29.2-32.2) 11.9 (10.8-13.0) 21.5 (20.2-22.8) HDL-C Normal 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension No 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	Hyperglycemia		× /		
Yes 5983 (44.2) 31.8 (30.4-33.1) 12.3 (11.3-13.3) 22.9 (21.8-24.0) Abdominal obesity No 7099 (53.0) 31.7 (30.4-33.0) 12.2 (11.2-13.2) 22.4 (21.3-23.5) Yes 6260 (47.0) 28.8 (27.6-30.1) 9.9 (9.1-10.8) 18.0 (17.0-19.0) TG Normal 9692 (72.7) 30.2 (29.0-31.4) 10.8 (10.0-11.6) 19.8 (18.9-20.8) Elevated 3667 (27.3) 30.7 (29.2-32.2) 11.9 (10.8-13.0) 21.5 (20.2-22.8) HDL-C Normal 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	No	7376 (55.8)	29.2 (28.0-30.4)	10.2 (9.3-11.1)	18.3 (17.2-19.3)
Abdominal obesity 7099 (53.0) 31.7 (30.4-33.0) 12.2 (11.2-13.2) 22.4 (21.3-23.5) Yes 6260 (47.0) 28.8 (27.6-30.1) 9.9 (9.1-10.8) 18.0 (17.0-19.0) TG 7099 (53.0) 30.2 (29.0-31.4) 10.8 (10.0-11.6) 19.8 (18.9-20.8) Elevated 3667 (27.3) 30.7 (29.2-32.2) 11.9 (10.8-13.0) 21.5 (20.2-22.8) HDL-C Normal 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	Yes	5983 (44.2)	31.8 (30.4-33.1)	12.3 (11.3-13.3)	22.9 (21.8-24.0)
No 7099 (53.0) 31.7 (30.4-33.0) 12.2 (11.2-13.2) 22.4 (21.3-23.5) Yes 6260 (47.0) 28.8 (27.6-30.1) 9.9 (9.1-10.8) 18.0 (17.0-19.0) TG 7099 (53.0) 30.2 (29.0-31.4) 10.8 (10.0-11.6) 19.8 (18.9-20.8) Elevated 3667 (27.3) 30.7 (29.2-32.2) 11.9 (10.8-13.0) 21.5 (20.2-22.8) HDL-C 7099 (33.7) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension 8065 (60.2) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	Abdominal obesity	<i>c) cc (1112)</i>			
Yes 6260 (47.0) 28.8 (27.6-30.1) 9.9 (9.1-10.8) 18.0 (17.0-19.0) TG 9692 (72.7) 30.2 (29.0-31.4) 10.8 (10.0-11.6) 19.8 (18.9-20.8) Elevated 3667 (27.3) 30.7 (29.2-32.2) 11.9 (10.8-13.0) 21.5 (20.2-22.8) HDL-C Normal 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension No 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	No	7099 (53.0)	31 7 (30 4-33 0)	12.2 (11.2-13.2)	22.4 (21.3-23.5)
TG 9692 (72.7) 30.2 (29.0-31.4) 10.8 (10.0-11.6) 19.8 (18.9-20.8) Elevated 3667 (27.3) 30.7 (29.2-32.2) 11.9 (10.8-13.0) 21.5 (20.2-22.8) HDL-C Normal 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension No 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	Yes	6260 (47.0)	28.8 (27.6-30.1)	99(91-108)	180(170-190)
Normal 9692 (72.7) 30.2 (29.0-31.4) 10.8 (10.0-11.6) 19.8 (18.9-20.8) Elevated 3667 (27.3) 30.7 (29.2-32.2) 11.9 (10.8-13.0) 21.5 (20.2-22.8) HDL-C Normal 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension No 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	TG	0200 (17.0)	20.0 (27.0 50.1)))) ())) 10:0)	10.0 (17.0 17.0)
Hormal 3672 (12.17) 30.12 (12.18) 1010 (1010 11.10) 1010 (1010 12.010) Elevated 3667 (27.3) 30.7 (29.2-32.2) 11.9 (10.8-13.0) 21.5 (20.2-22.8) HDL-C 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	Normal	9692 (72.7)	30.2 (29.0-31.4)	10.8 (10.0-11.6)	19.8 (18.9-20.8)
HDL-C Normal 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension No 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	Flevated	3667 (27.3)	30.2(29.031.1) 30.7(29.2-32.2)	11.9(10.8-13.0)	21.5(20.2-22.8)
Normal 8065 (60.2) 30.4 (29.2-31.6) 11.2 (10.3-12.1) 21.1 (20.0-22.1) Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	HDL-C	5007 (21.5)	30.7 (29.2 32.2)	11.9 (10.0 15.0)	21.3 (20.2 22.0)
Reduced 5294 (39.9) 30.2 (28.8-31.6) 11.0 (10.1-12.0) 19.2 (18.1-20.3) Hypertension No 4625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	Normal	8065 (60.2)	30 4 (29 2-31 6)	11.2 (10.3-12.1)	21 1 (20 0-22 1)
Hypertension X625 (33.7) 30.0 (28.6-31.4) 10.5 (9.6-11.4) 18.5 (17.3-19.6) Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	Reduced	5294 (39.9)	30 2 (28 8-31 6)	11.2(10.3 12.1) 11.0(10.1-12.0)	19.2 (18.1-20.3)
No4625 (33.7)30.0 (28.6-31.4)10.5 (9.6-11.4)18.5 (17.3-19.6)Yes8734 (66.3)30.5 (29.3-31.7)11.4 (10.5-12.3)21.2 (20.2-22.2)	Hypertension		50.2 (20.0 51.0)	11.0 (10.1 12.0)	17.2 (10.1 20.3)
Yes 8734 (66.3) 30.5 (29.3-31.7) 11.4 (10.5-12.3) 21.2 (20.2-22.2)	No	4625 (337)	30.0 (28.6-31.4)	10 5 (9 6-11 4)	18 5 (17 3-19 6)
	Yes	8734 (66 3)	30 5 (29 3-31 7)	114(105-123)	21 2 (20 2-22 2)

Table 3. Characteristics of the study participants

CI = confidence interval; BOP = bleeding on probing; PD = pocket depth; CAL = clinical attachment loss; TG = triglycerides; HDL-C = high density lipoprotein cholesterol; MetS = metabolic syndrome.

Table 4 displays the unadjusted analyses of the associations of sociodemographic and behavioural factors, access to healthcare facilities, MetS and their components with BOP, PD, and CAL. Increasing age, lower level of educational attainment, worse tooth brushing behaviours, hyperglycaemia, and not being abdominally obese were associated with more teeth with BOP, more teeth with PD \geq 4 mm, and more sextants with CAL \geq 4 mm. Male gender and having elevated TG and hypertension were associated with more teeth with PD ≥ 4 mm and more sextants with CAL \geq 4 mm. Residing in an urban area was associated with fewer teeth with BOP and fewer sextants with $CAL \ge 4$ mm. Compared to those occupations listed as 'others', manual workers tended to have more teeth with BOP, more teeth with PD \geq 4 mm, and more sextants with CAL \geq 4 mm, while non-manual workers tended to have fewer teeth with BOP and fewer sextants with CAL ≥4 mm. Compared to never smokers, exsmokers were associated with fewer teeth with BOP, while current smokers were associated with more teeth with PD \geq 4 mm and more sextants with CAL \geq 4 mm. Compared to never tobacco chewers, former tobacco chewers were associated with fewer teeth with BOP, while current tobacco chewers were associated with more sextants with CAL \geq 4 mm. Dental attendance and convenient access to medical facilities were associated with fewer teeth with BOP. MetS was not demonstrated to be associated with BOP, PD, and CAL. Reduced HDL-C was associated with fewer sextants with CAL.

Table 4. Unadjusted associations of sociodemographic and behavioural factors, access to healthcare facilities, MetS, and their components with BOP, PD, and CAL

Variables	Number of teeth with	Number of teeth with	Number of sextants
	BOP (N=13.356)	PD (N=13.273)	with CAL (N=13.000)
	Crude RR (95% CI)	Crude RR (95% CI)	Crude RR (95% CI)
Age	1.01 (1.01-1.01)**	1.02 (1.02-1.02)**	1.03 (1.03-1.04)**
Gender (ref: female)			
Male	1.03 (1.00-1.07)	1.26 (1.19-1.34)**	1.33 (1.26-1.40)**
Residential location (ref: rural)			
Urban	0.87 (0.80-0.93)**	0.91 (0.79-1.06)	0.85 (0.78-0.93)*
Education (ref: primary school or lower)			
High school	0.83 (0.79-0.88)**	0.82 (0.74-0.90)**	0.76 (0.70-0.81)**
Higher education	0.70 (0.63-0.78)**	0.65 (0.54-0.79)**	0.70 (0.61-0.80)**
Occupation (ref: others)			
Manual workers	1.15 (1.09-1.22)**	1.23 (1.11-1.36)**	1.23 (1.15-1.32)**
Non-manual workers	0.90 (0.85-0.95)**	0.92 (0.83-1.02)	0.88 (0.82-0.95)*
Smoking (ref: never)			
Former	0.91 (0.84-1.00)*	1.03 (0.88-1.19)	1.09 (0.97-1.22)
Current	1.02 (0.97-1.07)	1.29 (1.19-1.40)**	1.37 (1.29-1.46)**
Chewing tobacco (ref: never)			
Former	0.83 (0.69-0.99)*	0.86 (0.61-1.22)	1.16 (0.89-1.50)
Current	1.12 (0.96-1.29)	1.11 (0.88-1.41)	1.37 (1.15-1.63)**
Tooth brushing (ref: not daily)			
Daily, incorrect	0.75 (0.67-0.85)**	0.78 (0.63-0.95)*	0.60 (0.52-0.70)**
Daily, correct	0.69 (0.58-0.81)**	0.64 (0.46-0.90)*	0.47 (0.36-0.60)**
Dental visit (ref: no)			
Yes	0.88 (0.83-0.93)**	0.91 (0.82-1.01)	0.93 (0.86-1.01)
Access to healthcare (ref: difficult)			
Easy	0.88 (0.83-0.94)**	0.93 (0.83-1.04)	0.94 (0.87-1.02)
MetS (ref: no)			
Yes	0.99 (0.95-1.04)	1.01 (0.92-1.10)	1.01 (0.95-1.08)
Hyperglycaemia (ref: no)			
Yes	1.08 (1.04-1.13)**	1.20 (1.10-1.31)**	1.27 (1.20-1.35)**
Abdominal obesity (ref: no)			
Yes	0.91 (0.87-0.95)**	0.82 (0.75-0.89)**	0.82 (0.77-0.87)**
Elevated TG (ref: no)			
Yes	1.01 (0.97-1.06)	1.11 (1.02-1.21)*	1.10 (1.03-1.17)*
Reduced HDL-C (ref: no)			
Yes	0.99 (0.95-1.04)	0.98 (0.91-1.07)	0.90 (0.85-0.96)*
Hypertension (ref: no)			
Yes	1.01 (0.97-1.06)	1.09 (1.00-1.18)*	1.15 (1.07-1.22)**

BOP = bleeding on probing; PD = pocket depth; CAL = clinical attachment loss; MetS = metabolic syndrome; TG = triglycerides; HDL-C = high density lipoprotein cholesterol. The outcomes were the number of teeth with BOP, the number of teeth with PD, and the number of sextants with CAL. RRs (rate ratios) and 95% CIs (confidence intervals) were derived from negative binomial regression analyses. The log form of the number of examined teeth for BOP and PD was used as an offset variable for the analyses of BOP and PD outcomes, respectively, while the log form of the number of examined sextants was used as an offset variable for the analysis of CAL outcome.

* P-value < 0.05 ** P-value < 0.001

Table 5 displays the adjusted analyses of the associations between sociodemographic and behavioural factors, access to healthcare facilities, MetS, and their components with BOP, PD, and CAL. In the models adjusted by MetS, increasing age, male, and lower educational attainment levels were demonstrated to be associated with more teeth with BOP, more teeth with PD \geq 4 mm, and more sextants with CAL \geq 4 mm. Compared to those whose occupations were listed as 'others', manual workers were associated with more teeth with BOP, while non-manual workers were associated with fewer sextants with CAL. Compared to never smokers, former smokers were associated with fewer teeth with BOP, while current smokers were associated with more sextants with CAL \geq 4 mm. Compared to non-daily tooth brushing, daily tooth brushing, regardless of the timing, was associated with fewer teeth with BOP, and daily and correct timing of tooth brushing was associated with fewer sextants with CAL \geq 4 mm. Both dental attendance and convenient access to medical facilities were associated with fewer teeth with BOP. Residential location, chewing tobacco status, and MetS were not demonstrated to be associated with any of the periodontal health indicators.

Turning to the models adjusted by the independent component of MetS, hyperglycaemia was found to be associated with more teeth with BOP, more teeth with PD \geq 4 mm, and more sextants with CAL \geq 4 mm. Central obesity, hypertension, declined HDL-C, and increased TG were not shown to be associated with any periodontal health indicators.

	Number of teeth with BOP (N=13.356)		Number of teeth with PD (N=13.273)		Number of sextants with CAL (N=13.000)	
Variables	Adjusted RR (95% CI) [†]	Adjusted RR (95% CI) [‡]	Adjusted RR (95% CI) [†]	Adjusted RR (95% CI) [‡]	Adjusted RR (95% CI) [†]	Adjusted RR (95% CI) [‡]
Age	1.01 (1.00-1.01)**	1.00 (1.00-1.01)**	1.02 (1.01-1.02)**	1.02 (1.01-1.02)**	1.03 (1.03-1.03)**	1.03 (1.03-1.03)**
Gender (ref: female)						
Male	1.08 (1.01-1.15)*	1.06 (0.99-1.14)	1.19 (1.07-1.32)*	1.14 (1.03-1.28)*	1.19 (1.09-1.30)**	1.16 (1.06-1.26)*
Residential location (ref: rural)						
Urban	0.95 (0.88-1.03)	0.96 (0.88-1.03)	1.02 (0.88-1.19)	1.03 (0.88-1.20)	0.93 (0.84-1.03)	0.93 (0.85-1.03)
Education (ref: primary school or lower)						
High school	0.92 (0.87-0.97)*	0.93 (0.88-0.98)*	0.94 (0.84-1.04)	0.94 (0.85-1.05)	0.94 (0.87-1.02)	0.95 (0.88-1.03)
Higher education	0.79 (0.71-0.88)**	0.79 (0.71-0.89)**	0.74 (0.61-0.91)*	0.75 (0.62-0.92)*	0.82 (0.71-0.95)*	0.83 (0.72-0.95)*
Occupation (ref: others)						
Manual workers	1.08 (1.02-1.15)*	1.08 (1.02-1.15)*	1.09 (0.98-1.22)	1.09 (0.97-1.21)	1.04 (0.96-1.13)	1.04 (0.96-1.12)
Non-manual workers	0.95 (0.89-1.00)	0.95 (0.89-1.01)	0.92 (0.82-1.03)	0.92 (0.82-1.03)	0.89 (0.82-0.97)*	0.89 (0.82-0.97)*
Smoking (ref: never)						
Former	0.88 (0.80-0.97)*	0.89 (0.80-0.98)*	0.89 (0.75-1.06)	0.90 (0.76-1.07)	0.89 (0.78-1.01)	0.90 (0.79-1.02)
Current	0.93 (0.86-1.00)	0.93 (0.86-1.00)*	1.10 (0.97-1.25)	1.10 (0.97-1.24)	1.14 (1.04-1.25)*	1.14 (1.04-1.25)*
Chewing tobacco (ref: never)						
Former	0.85 (0.70-1.03)	0.85 (0.71-1.03)	0.81 (0.55-1.18)	0.82 (0.56-1.22)	0.98 (0.76-1.26)	0.98 (0.76-1.27)
Current	1.05 (0.90-1.22)	1.05 (0.90-1.22)	0.99 (0.78-1.24)	0.99 (0.79-1.25)	1.09 (0.91-1.30)	1.10 (0.92-1.31)
Tooth brushing (ref: not daily)						
Daily, incorrect	0.86 (0.76-0.97)*	0.86 (0.77-0.97)*	1.04 (0.84-1.28)	1.04 (0.84-1.28)	0.91 (0.78-1.07)	0.91 (0.78-1.07)
Daily, correct	0.82 (0.69-0.97)*	0.83 (0.70-0.98)*	0.93 (0.66-1.30)	0.93 (0.66-1.31)	0.74 (0.57-0.96)*	0.75 (0.58-0.97)*
Dental visit (ref: no)						
Yes	0.92 (0.87-0.98)*	0.92 (0.87-0.98)*	0.95 (0.86-1.06)	0.96 (0.86-1.07)	1.00 (0.92-1.09)	1.01 (0.93-1.09)
Access to healthcare (ref: difficult)						
Easy	0.93 (0.87-0.98)*	0.93 (0.88-0.98)*	0.99 (0.88-1.10)	0.99 (0.89-1.10)	1.03 (0.95-1.11)	1.02 (0.95-1.11)
MetS (ref: no)						
Yes	1.02 (0.98-1.07)	N/A	1.08 (0.99-1.18)	N/A	1.05 (0.99-1.13)	N/A
Hyperglycaemia (ref: no)						
Yes	N/A	1.06 (1.01-1.11)*	N/A	1.13 (1.03-1.23)*	N/A	1.15 (1.08-1.23)**
Abdominal obesity (ref: no)						
Yes	N/A	0.96 (0.91-1.00)	N/A	0.91 (0.83-1.00)	N/A	0.94 (0.88-1.01)
Elevated TG (ref: no)						
Yes	N/A	1.02 (0.98-1.07)	N/A	1.07 (0.98-1.16)	N/A	1.04 (0.97-1.11)
Reduced HDL-C (ref: no)						
Yes	N/A	1.02 (0.98-1.07)	N/A	1.05 (0.97-1.14)	N/A	0.99 (0.93-1.06)
Hypertension (ref: no)						
Yes	N/A	0.99 (0.95-1.04)	N/A	1.05 (0.96-1.14)	N/A	1.04 (0.97-1.11)

Table 5. Adjusted associations of sociodemographic, behavioural factors, access to healthcare facilities, MetS, and their components with BOP, PD, and CAL

BOP = bleeding on probing; PD = pocket depth; CAL = clinical attachment loss; MetS = metabolic syndrome; TG = triglycerides; HDL-C = high density lipoprotein cholesterol. N/A = not applicable. The outcomes were the number of teeth with BOP, the number of teeth with PD, and the number of sextants with CAL. RRs (rate ratios) and 95% CIs (confidence intervals) were derived from negative binomial regression analyses. The log form of the number of examined teeth for BOP and PD was used as an offset variable for the analysis of CAL outcome. [†] Model was simultaneously adjusted for age, gender, residential location, education, occupation, smoking status, chewing tobacco status, tooth-brushing behaviour, dental visit, access to healthcare facilities, and MetS.

[‡] Model was simultaneously adjusted for age, gender, residential location, education, occupation, smoking status, chewing tobacco status, tooth-brushing behaviour, dental visit, access to healthcare facilities, hyperglycemia, abdominal obesity, elevated TG, reduced HDL-C, and hypertension.

* P-value < 0.05 ** P-value < 0.001

The E-values for the point estimates of the relationships between hyperglycaemia and BOP, PD, and CAL were 1.31, 1.51, and 1.57, respectively. Meanwhile, the E-values for the lower CIs were 1.11, 1.21, and 1.37, respectively. The interpretation of these findings would be unobserved confounders could only explain the observed effects when they had a magnitude of RR relationship with both exposure and outcome of a minimum of 1.31 each for BOP, 1.51 each for PD, and 1.57 each for CAL, conditional on the observed confounders. Likewise, the required magnitude of RR for an unobserved confounder to shift the lower CI to 1.00 would be 1.11 for BOP, 1.21 for PD, and 1.37 for CAL, conditional on the observed confounders.

5.3 Global association between oral hygiene and metabolic syndrome

5.3.1 Literature search

Figure 1 displays the flowchart of the study selection process. Our search identified a total of 595 articles. Following the removal of 144 duplicates and 380 irrelevant studies, 71 studies were chosen for full-text review. Finally, thirteen studies satisfied the eligibility criteria and were included in the review and meta-analysis.


Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart of the literature search and study selection (172). MetS, metabolic syndrome.

5.3.2. Characteristics of studies

Table 6 displays the primary characteristics of the thirteen included studies. There were seven studies with a cross-sectional design, three with a case-control design, and three with a cohort design. A study conducted by Shearer et al. (2018) (158) used data originally from a longitudinal study. Nonetheless, as our exposure of interest (modified OHI-S) was assessed with the outcome (MetS) simultaneously at age 38, this study was considered cross-sectional, and the findings of their cross-sectional model were reported.

There were eleven studies conducted in nations in Asia. One study was performed in New Zealand and one in Finland. The study populations of all studies were adults, and the year of publication varied from 2009 to 2020. The average sample size across studies was 4251.

There were six studies reporting oral hygiene status, six reporting tooth-brushing frequency, two reporting interdental cleaning, and one reporting dental attendance as the independent variables in the studies. In the meta-analysis, we considered a study by Tsutsumi and Kakuma (2015) (182) as two different studies since it calculated the findings for females and males separately rather than as total samples. We used a similar approach for research by Kim et al. (2013) (183) since it reported distinct findings for interdental brushing and flossing.

All studies conducted health examinations to determine MetS conditions. There were four studies employing the NCEP ATP III criteria or its modified version to define MetS, five employing JIS criteria, two employing IDF criteria, and two employing other criteria. The most common controlled variables of all included studies were age, gender, SES, smoking status, alcohol use, physical activity, and periodontal parameters. The entire included studies, except one (157), used OR as a measure of the relationship.

Author, Publication Year	Country	Study Design	Sample Size (M, F)	Age Range	Type of Oral Hygiene	Diagnostic Criteria for MetS	Number of Cases	Statistical Analysis; Adjustments	Association
Fukui et al., 2012 (184)	Japan	Cross-sectional	6421 (M: 4944, F: 1477)	34–77	Tooth- brushing frequency (times/day)	Modified NCEP ATP III *, except the use of BMI \geq 25 kg/m ² to define obesity. Treatments for raised TG and reduced HDL were not recorded.	958	Logistic regression; age, gender, smoking habit, alcohol consumption, C- reactive protein, number of teeth, and periodontal parameter (PD or CAL).	OR (95% CI) Adjusted by PD: ≤ 1 time daily [reference] 2 times daily = 0.67 (0.57–0.78) ≥ 3 times daily = 0.50 (0.40– 0.64) Adjusted by CAL: ≤ 1 time daily [reference] 2 times daily = 0.66 (0.57–0.77) ≥ 3 times daily = 0.50 (0.39– 0.63)
Kim et al., 2013 (183)	South Korea	Cross-sectional	18742 (M: 8034, F: 10708)	≥19	Tooth- brushing frequency (times/day), use of dental floss (yes or no), use of interdental brush (yes or no)	Modified NCEP ATP III * for Asians.	5878	Logistic regression; age, gender, income, education, smoking, alcohol intake, and physical activities.	OR (95% CI) Tooth-brushing frequency: ≥ 3 times daily [reference] 2 times daily = 1.23 (1.12–1.34) ≤ 1 time daily = 1.23 (1.04–1.47) Use of dental floss: Yes [reference] No = 1.23 (1.07–1.41) Use of interdental brush: Yes [reference] No = 1.05 (0.92–1.20)
Tsutsumi and Kakuma, 2015 (182)	Japan	Cross-sectional	12548 (M: 7703, F: 4845)	30–59	Tooth- brushing frequency (times/day)	Obesity (body mass percentage $\geq 20\%$ in men or $\geq 30\%$ in women, and/or BMI ≥ 25 kg/m ²) and at least one of the following: TG ≥ 150 mg/dL and/or low HDL < 40 mg/dL or drug for hypertriglyceridemia, SBP ≥ 130 mm Hg and/or DBP ≥ 85 mm	3624	Logistic regression; Males: age, exercise during holidays, favorite seasoning, eating soup, sugar in coffee, having an interest in losing weight, and housekeeping during holidays; Females: age, favorite seasoning, worrying about job, sugar in	OR (95% CI) Males: None [reference] 1 time daily = 0.57 (0.40–0.81) 2 times daily = 0.50 (0.35–0.71) ≥3 times daily = 0.42 (0.29– 0.61) Females: ≤1 time daily [reference] 2 times daily = 0.65 (0.48–0.87) ≥3 times daily = 0.44 (0.32– 0.62)

Table 6. Main characteristics of	f thirteen studies	s included in the s	systematic review and	l meta-analysis

Author, Publication Year	Country	Study Design	Sample Size (M, F)	Age Range	Type of Oral Hygiene	Diagnostic Criteria for MetS	Number of Cases	Statistical Analysis; Adjustments	Association
						Hg or drug for hypertension, FPG ≥ 110 mg/dL or drug for diabetes).		coffee, pickles and food boiled in soy sauce, exercise during holidays, eating quickly, preparation of dinner, and solving problems immediately.	
Kim et al., 2019 (185)	South Korea	Cross-sectional	8314 (M: 3860, F: 4454)	35–79	Tooth- brushing frequency (times/day)	Three or more of the following five: WC \geq 90 cm in men or \geq 85 cm in women, TG > 150 mg/dL or treatment for raised TG, HDL < 40 mg/dL in men or <50 mg/dL in women or treatment for reduced HDL, SBP \geq 130 mm Hg and DBP \geq 85 mm Hg or antihypertensive medication, FPG \geq 100 mg/dL or current use of antidiabetic medication.	2834	Logistic regression; age, gender, household income, education, smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity, and periodontitis.	OR (95% CI) Frequency of daily tooth- brushing (continuous) = 0.887 (0.84–0.94)
Saito et al., 2019 (186)	Japan	Cross-sectional	2379 (M: 960, F: 1419)	75 and 80	Use of secondary oral hygiene products, such as dental floss or interdental brushes (none or sometimes or every day)	JIS ⁺ , except the use of BMI ≥ 25 kg/m ² to define obesity and the use of HbA1c levels $\ge 5.6\%$ to additionally define elevated glucose. Treatments for raised TG and reduced HDL were not included.	563	Logistic regression; age, gender, smoking, exercise, weight gain, eating speed, cholesterol drug intake, community periodontal index, and the number of teeth.	OR (95% CI) None [reference] Sometimes = 1.19 (0.92–1.54) Everyday = 0.71 (0.55–0.92)
Shearer et al.,	New	Cross-sectional	836	38	Modified	NCEP ATP III [¤] ,	152	Logistic regression;	OR (95% CI)

Author, Publication Year	Country	Study Design	Sample Size (M, F)	Age Range	Type of Oral Hygiene	Diagnostic Criteria for MetS	Number of Cases	Statistical Analysis; Adjustments	Association
2018 (158)	Zealand				OHI-S (very low (0–0.5) or low (>0.5– 1.0) or moderate (>1.0–1.5) or high (>1.5))	except the use of HbA1c \geq 5.7% (\geq 39 mmol/mol) to define elevated glucose and the use of antihypertensive drugs to additionally define elevated blood pressure.		gender, low socioeconomic status, smoking, dysglycemia, and inflammatory load.	Low [reference] High = 0.95 (0.44, 2.01)
Chen et al., 2011 (187)	Taiwan	Cross-sectional	253 (M:117, F: 136)	>18	PI	Modified NCEP ATP III * for Asians, except the use of FPG \geq 110 mg/dL or previously diagnosed T2DM to define elevated glucose.	145	Logistic regression; age, gender, education, smoking, high-sensitivity C- reactive protein, and serum albumin.	OR (95% CI) PI score (continuous) = 1.724 (1.135–2.615)
Kobayashi et al., 2012 (188)	Japan	Cohort prospective, 3- year follow-up	685 (M: 513, F: 172)	-	Tooth- brushing frequency (times/day)	JIS ⁺ for Asians, except not including treatments for raised TG, reduced HDL, and elevated glucose.	99	Logistic regression; age, gender, smoking status, drinking status, breakfast eating, educational level, occupation (desk work or non-desk work), depressive symptoms, physical activity, and total caloric consumption.	OR (95% CI) ≤1 time daily [reference] 2 times daily = 0.80 (0.49–1.31) ≥3 times daily = 0.43 (0.19– 0.97)
Tanaka et al., 2018 (108)	Japan	Cohort retrospective, 5- year follow-up	3722 (M: 2897, F: 825)	35–64	Tooth- brushing frequency (times/day), dental check- ups (regular or irregular)	JIS ⁺ for Asians, except the use of BMI $\ge 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ to define obesity.	412	Logistic regression; age, gender, periodontal status, number of present teeth, occupational status, smoking quantity, alcohol consumption, physical activity, dietary behavior, food	OR (95% CI) Tooth-brushing frequency: ≤ 1 time daily [reference] 2 times daily = 0.83 (0.65–1.05) ≥ 3 times daily = 0.64 (0.45– 0.91) Dental check-ups: Irregular [reference] Regular = 1.10 (0.77–1.55)

Author, Publication Year	Country	Study Design	Sample Size (M, F)	Age Range	Type of Oral Hygiene	Diagnostic Criteria for MetS	Number of Cases	Statistical Analysis; Adjustments	Association
								preference, tooth- brushing frequency, dental check-ups, and number of MetS components at baseline.	
Pussinen et al., 2020 (157)	Finland	Cohort prospective, 21-, 27-, 31-year follow-up	586 (M: 270, F: 316)	27–43	Presence of visible plaque (yes or no)	JIS [‡] for Europeans.	153	Poisson regression; age, gender, childhood BMI, family income, adulthood smoking (ever) and socioeconomic status (education), and interaction terms between caries and periodontal parameters.	RR (95% CI) No [reference] Yes = 1.21 (0.87–1.86)
Pham, 2018 (189)	Vietnam	Case–control (case = 206, control = 206)	412 (M: 114, F: 298)	50–78	PI (≤2.5 or 2.51–2.90 or 2.91–3.26 or ≥3.27)	JIS [‡] for Asians.	206	Logistic regression; age and gender.	OR (95% CI) ≤ 2.5 [reference] 2.51-2.90 = 4.81 (1.74-13.27) 2.91-3.26 = 6.12 (2.24-16.70) $\geq 3.27 = 7.50$ (2.80-20.12)
Li et al., 2009 (190)	China	Case–control (case = 152, control = 56)	208 (M: 85, F: 123)	37–78	PI (≤1 or >1−1.5 or >1.5−2 or >2)	IDF §	152	Logistic regression; age, gender, and smoking.	OR (95% CI) ≤ 1 [reference] >1-1.5 = 4.81 (0.81-28.63) >1.5-2 = 13.06 (2.24-76.18) >2 = 47.4 (6.94-323.68)
Li et al., 2020 (191)	China	Case–control (case = 114, control = 49)	163 (M: 60, F: 103)	37–78	PI	IDF §	114	Logistic regression (backward); age, gender, smoking habits, bleeding index, PD, and biomarkers (serum C-reactive protein, salivary IL-6, and IL-1β).	OR (95% CI) PI score (continuous) = 14.69 (5.56–38.84)

M = male; F = female; MetS = metabolic syndrome; WC = waist circumference; BMI = body mass index; TG = triglycerides; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; FPG = fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c = glycated haemoglobin; T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus; OHI-S = simplified oral hygiene index; PI = plaque index; PD = probing depth; CAL = clinical attachment level; OR = odds ratio; RR = risk ratio; CI = confidence interval.

^a The National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) (2001) definition is any three of the following five: WC > 102 cm (>40 in) in men or >88 cm (>35 in) in women, TG \geq 150 mg/dL, HDL < 40 mg/dL in men or <50 mg/dL in women, blood pressure \geq 130/85 mm Hg, FPG \geq 110 mg/dL (192).

* The modified NCEP ATP III (2005) definition is any three of the following five: WC ≥ 102 cm (≥ 40 in) in men or ≥ 88 cm (≥ 35 in) in women (for Asians: ≥ 90 cm (≥ 35 in) in men and ≥ 80 cm (≥ 31 in) in women), TG ≥ 150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L) or treatment for raised TG, HDL < 40 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L) in men or <50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L) in women or treatment for reduced HDL, SBP ≥ 130 mm Hg or DBP ≥ 85 mm Hg or treatment for hypertension, FPG ≥ 100 mg/dL or treatment for elevated glucose (193).

[§] The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) (2005) definition is increased WC (ethnicity-specific) plus any two of the following four: TG \geq 150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L) or treatment for raised TG, HDL <40 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L) in men or <50 mg/dL (1.29 mmol/L) in women or treatment for reduced HDL, SBP \geq 130 mm Hg or DBP \geq 85 mm Hg or treatment for hypertension, FPG \geq 100 mg/dL (5.6 mmol/L) or previously diagnosed T2DM (194).

⁺ The Joint Interim Statement (JIS) (2009) definition is any three of the following five: increased WC (population- and country-specific), TG \geq 150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L) or treatment for raised TG, HDL <40 mg/dL (1.0 mmol/L) in men or <50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L) in women or treatment for reduced HDL, SBP \geq 130 mm Hg and/or DBP \geq 85 mm Hg or treatment for hypertension, FPG \geq 100 mg/dL or treatment for elevated glucose (71).

5.3.3. Quality studies

The included studies' qualities ranged from moderate to high. There was one study with a cross-sectional design, two with a case-control design, and three with a cohort design rated as high quality. There were six studies with a cross-sectional design and one study with a case-control design rated as moderate quality. The quality evaluation of the included studies is depicted in detail in Appendix Table 4.

5.3.4. Associations of oral hygiene status and care with MetS

Figure 2 displays the findings of the meta-analysis of the associations of oral hygiene status, tooth-brushing frequency, and interdental cleaning with MetS. Improved oral hygiene status (pooled OR = 0.30; 95% CI 0.13–0.66), brushing teeth frequently (pooled OR = 0.68; 95% CI 0.58–0.80), and interdental cleaning (pooled OR = 0.89; 95% CI 0.81–0.99) were associated with a reduced MetS risk. Although there was minimal heterogeneity for interdental cleaning ($I^2 = 27\%$), there was substantial heterogeneity for the exposure of oral hygiene status ($I^2 = 91\%$) and the frequency of tooth brushing ($I^2 = 89\%$).



(a) Good versus poor oral hygiene status



(b) Frequent versus infrequent tooth brushing



(c) Frequent versus infrequent interdental cleaning

Figure 2. Meta-analysis of the associations of (**a**) oral hygiene status, (**b**) toothbrushing frequency, and (**c**) interdental cleaning with metabolic syndrome.

The relationship between dental attendance and MetS was investigated only in one study, performed by Tanaka et al. (2018). They showed no relationship between dental attendance and MetS (OR = 1.10; 95% CI 0.77–1.55) (108).

5.3.5. Subgroup analyses

Table 7 depicts the findings of subgroup analysis for the relationship between oral hygiene status and MetS by study design. The relationship between better oral hygiene status and a reduced MetS risk was only noted in the subgroup of case-control studies. The pooled effect estimates of cross-sectional studies indicated no significant relationship. There was not enough study to pool an effect estimate for cohort studies. The subgroup analysis by study design decreased heterogeneity to below 50%.

Subgroup	Number of Studies	OR (95% CI)	$I^{2}(\%)$	р
Cross-sectional	2	0.72 (0.41–1.26)	46	0.17
Case-control	3	0.11 (0.06–0.20)	39	0.19
Cohort	1	0.83 (0.59–1.15)	-	-
	1	0.85 (0.39–1.13)	-	

Table 7. Subgroup analysis by study design for the association between oral hygiene status and MetS.

MetS = metabolic syndrome; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; I^2 = percentage of variation due to heterogeneity; p = p-value for heterogeneity.

Table 8 displays the findings of subgroup analyses for the relationship between the frequency of tooth brushing and MetS. In all subgroup analyses, brushing teeth frequently was associated with a reduced MetS risk. Nevertheless, high heterogeneity remained noted in cross-sectional studies. Although subgroup analyses by country decreased heterogeneity, they remained over 50%.

Table 8. Subgroup analyses for the association between tooth-brushing frequency and MetS.

Subgroup	Number of Studies	OR (95% CI)	I ² (%)	р
Study design				
Cross-sectional	5	0.67 (0.55–0.81)	93	< 0.001
Cohort	2	0.74 (0.62–0.89)	0	0.64
Country				
Japan	5	0.61 (0.52-0.70)	55	0.06
Korea	2	0.85 (0.78-0.93)	73	0.06

MetS = metabolic syndrome; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; I^2 = percentage of variation due to heterogeneity; p = p-value for heterogeneity.

6. Discussion

6.1 Main discussion

This thesis aimed to map the oral health status and practice and their determinants in the Indonesian populations and to investigate the global relationship between oral hygiene and MetS. To achieve these aims, we conducted two empirical studies employing data from Indonesian national health surveys and a systematic review and meta-analysis study. Overall, both of our empirical studies noted the existence of social disparities and gender differences in oral health in Indonesian adults, the association between MetS and periodontitis could not be demonstrated. Similarly, the current global evidence in our review indicated the need for further well-designed longitudinal studies to confirm the relationship between poor oral hygiene and MetS risk.

Our first empirical study investigated the prevalence and determinants of oral hygiene practice among Indonesian school adolescents. Around a tenth of them had poor oral hygiene practice. We found that male gender, lower SES, poor dietary practice, sedentariness, drug use, psychological distress, less peer support, and no parental support were associated with poor oral hygiene practice.

The prevalence of school adolescents in Indonesia brushing their teeth a minimum twice a day was similar to the figures reported in other nations, such as Malaysia (87%) (195) and the Philippines (89%) (130). Conversely, our study's prevalence was smaller than those in South Korea (93%) (196) but greater than those in the United Arab Emirates (57%) (130), China (44%) (197), and the average in Europe and North America (65%) (198), and nine nations in Africa (77%) (199).

Both oral and general health habits are shaped by the complex interaction between individual attributes and family, social, cultural and environmental determinants (200,201). Our study showed a positive relationship between the male gender and poor oral hygiene practice, corroborating the findings of other studies (199,202). Males might be less concerned about aesthetics and have a lower level of oral health awareness than females (203). Our study could not find any relationship between age and oral hygiene practice, which was in line with findings from previous studies (199,202). Regarding SES, our findings demonstrated that higher SES was associated with better oral hygiene. Higher SES adolescents typically have

greater resources, access to dental care, environments encouraging healthy habits, and higher levels of educational attainment, resulting in better health consciousness (204,205).

Our study found that adolescents with better dietary practices typically adopt better oral hygiene practice, which was consistent with previous studies (202,206). The family environment influences the adoption of these two behaviours since tooth brushing and meal consumption are often conducted at home. In particular, parents substantially influence these behaviours, as children tend to imitate them (204,206). Similarly, our findings demonstrated that sedentariness was associated with brushing teeth infrequently. Children's sedentary behaviours, such as screen-based activities or electronic media use, often depend on the family environment (207). Furthermore, a study suggested that excessive game players might have less spare time for tooth brushing since they would rather choose to play video games. They might also have a low sense of coherence, which simultaneously represents poor oral health behaviours (208).

Prior research among adolescents in Finland has demonstrated that nutritional status and physical activity were associated with oral hygiene practice (209). Nutritional status and physical activity could represent the disposition toward a healthy lifestyle and the ability to preserve health and well-being (210,211). Nonetheless, our study could not find any relationships between nutritional status and physical activity and oral hygiene practice, corroborating the results of another study (202). This finding might be due to the low awareness of the physical activity level recommended by WHO, as only roughly a tenth of students were physically active for a minimum of one hour per day in our study.

Previous studies have found a relationship between substance use (e.g., cigarettes, alcohol, and cannabis) and worse tooth-brushing behaviours (68,212). Health risk behaviours often exist in the same social context. Smokers might brush their teeth for appearance rather than for oral health maintenance (213). Those consuming alcohol might have ignored tooth brushing after drinking (214), whereas drug abusers might view oral health as a low priority (215). Our study could only observe a borderline relationship between drug use and brushing teeth infrequently but could not show any relationships between cigarette smoking, consumption of alcohol, and the frequency of tooth brushing. As found by another study, smokers might not have differing tooth-brushing habits in respect of frequency but rather in duration compared to non-smokers (212). The low alcohol consumption prevalence might

also account for the different results in our study. Alcohol use might not be prevalent in the country due to cultural and religious reasons (216).

Our study found a positive relationship between parental support and better oral hygiene practice. Regular tooth-brushing behaviours are typically formed in a home with a set routine and positive family relationships (204). Children having high parental support receive better supervision and reinforcement to engage in healthy behaviours and have sound psychological health. A high level of parental support may also reflect better monitoring of their children's tooth-brushing habits (217,218). Furthermore, our study found a positive relationship between peer support and brushing teeth frequently, as confirmed by a prior study (219). Peers influence identity formation in adolescents by influencing social norms and values. These influences may also include oral health habits since they usually relate to appearance, which adolescents consider important (124,219). Stronger interpersonal relationships influenced adolescents more because they tried to adapt to the behavioural characteristics of their peer groups. It is also known that adolescents typically befriend those with similar behavioural characteristics to theirs (219,220). Our study demonstrated a relationship between psychological distress and brushing teeth infrequently, as confirmed by another study. Several depressive symptoms, including fatigue, psychomotor impairment, and demotivation, might undermine the oral health behaviours of individuals (221).

Oral hygiene practice adopted in adolescence is usually sustained into adulthood (127) and is the primary risk factor for oral diseases (222). Among the most prevalent oral diseases are periodontal diseases, which are common among adults (155,156). Our second empirical study examined the prevalence and determinants of periodontitis among adults in Indonesia. We found that more than 40% of adults had periodontitis. Increasing age, male gender, lower educational attainment and occupation status, worse tooth-brushing habits, less dental attendance and access to healthcare, and hyperglycaemia were associated with worse statuses of at least one periodontal health indicator. Compared to never smoking, former smoking was inversely associated with BOP, while current smoking was positively associated with CAL. Residential location, chewing tobacco status, MetS, central obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidaemia were not shown to be associated with any of the periodontal health indicators.

Our findings showed that increasing age was associated with periodontitis, as reported by previous studies (35,223). Older adults might have more severe periodontitis than younger adults due to lifetime cumulative tissue destruction (35,224) and increased periodontal

susceptibility due to alteration in tissue repair capacity and exposure to pro-inflammatory conditions (225). Similar to previous studies, our study found that the male gender was associated with periodontitis (35,226). It was suggested that the difference might not only be due to behavioural and environmental characteristics, but also sexual dimorphism in host immunity (227,228). Although current evidence remains inconclusive, sex-specific genetic architecture, sex steroid modulation, or their combination were hypothesised to play a role in the sex differences in immunity (228-230). X-linked genes have been found to regulate pattern recognition receptors, cytokine synthesis, and transcriptional factors (227,231). Sex steroid hormones may affect immunity. It is suggested that estrogen tends to enhance immune response, while testosterone suppresses them (227,232,233).

In line with previous studies, educational attainment and occupation were associated with periodontitis (35,223,234). Lower educational attainment is often associated with worse coping strategies, poorer awareness of periodontal health and oral hygiene, less access to dental care, being a smoker, and having a higher BMI and T2DM, which are the risk factors for periodontitis (234). Occupation might influence the social environment, behaviours, and psychosocial factors of individuals (235). For example, compared to non-manual workers, manual workers tend to have less income (236) and less access to dental care (237), experience more psychosocial hazards (238), have a higher level of stress (239) and poorer sleep quality (240), and exhibit health-risk behaviours (i.e., smoking and drinking alcohol) (241). Lack of flexibility in day-to-day life may also lead to reduced frequency and effectiveness of tooth-cleaning (235,242).

Our study demonstrated the associations of worse tooth-brushing behaviours and less dental attendance and access to healthcare facilities with BOP. Furthermore, daily and correct timing of tooth brushing was inversely associated with CAL. Improper tooth-brushing behaviours often result in poor oral hygiene, which is a risk factor for periodontitis (243). Our study could not show associations between smoking status and PD. Compared to never smoking, former smoking was inversely associated with BOP, while current smoking was positively associated with CAL. Our results were consistent with those found in prior research (244,245). Nicotine might induce gingival vasoconstriction, resulting in reduced signs of inflammation, such as redness and bleeding. It was also suggested that the suppressed inflammatory reaction might indicate reduced host defence capacity (244). Other effects of smoking might involve enhancing pathogen-enriched microflora in the subgingival

(246), suppressing fibroblast proliferation and attachment (247,248), and promoting collagen breakdown (248) and bone resorption (249,250), which might lead to CAL (250,251).

Our study showed a positive relationship between hyperglycaemia and periodontitis, as confirmed by prior studies (252,253) and a joint statement by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and the European Federation of Periodontology (EFP) (254). Hyperglycaemia influences the development of periodontitis through several pathways. Firstly, it promotes the generation of irreversible AGEs and their interactions with RAGEs, resulting in the dysfunction of immune cells and changes in the phenotypes and functions of certain cells. It also causes cytokine imbalance - that is, enhanced pro-inflammatory cytokines levels, including tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), and IL-6 (254,255). Diabetic patients with periodontitis are known to have polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs) with declined chemotaxis and phagocytosis and changed superoxide production; these attributes lead to their accumulation in the periodontium and the formation of structures resembling abscess (256,257). Secondly, hyperglycemia raises reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress levels directly and indirectly through the AGE-RAGE axis, promoting changes in cytokine profiles. Lastly, hyperglycemia raises receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B ligand to osteoprotegerin ratios (RANKL/OPG) directly and indirectly through the AGE-RAGE axis, stimulating inflammation and destruction. In addition, the majority of elements in these pathways have a bidirectional relationship. The pro-inflammatory condition creates AGEs, ROS, and adipokines. It also raises the RANKL/OPG ratio and induces the proliferation of pathogenic bacteria in the subgingival (255).

On the other hand, MetS, central obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidaemia were not shown to be associated with periodontal status, which was similar to findings from previous studies (258-262). The inconsistent findings might be a result of variation in confounders, study populations (e.g., age, gender, and genetic background), and the diagnostic and clinical criteria for defining MetS and periodontitis (253,263). Moreover, a study by Nascimento et al. (2019), employing structural equation modelling, showed that the relationship between MetS and periodontitis depended on analytical methods. There was no relationship between MetS and periodontitis when both diseases were considered as observed categorical variables, but there was a positive relationship when multiple dimensions of both diseases were considered as latent or construct variables (264). Similarly, hypertension and dyslipidaemia

might only have an additive effect on the risk of periodontitis if they are complemented with hyperglycaemia and/or obesity (256). Furthermore, a study suggested that insulin resistance, rising with obesity, plays a more prominent role since it might act as a mediator for the association between obesity and periodontitis (262).

Poor oral hygiene is the main cause of oral diseases, including periodontitis (243,265). In our meta-analysis, we investigated whether poor oral hygiene was associated with MetS. While we found that better status of oral hygiene, brushing teeth frequently, and interdental cleaning were generally associated with a reduced MetS risk, the high heterogeneity for the exposure of tooth-brushing frequency and an inconsistent finding for oral hygiene status in the subgroup analyses were noted. Only one study examined the relationship between dental attendance and MetS and showed no relationship (108). Our findings indicated the need for further well-designed longitudinal studies to confirm the association between oral hygiene and MetS.

Our primary analysis demonstrated an overall negative relationship between oral hygiene status and the risk of developing MetS. However, the findings were not consistent in subgroup analysis by study design. We further noted that of all research included in the metaanalysis for oral hygiene status, only those by Pussinen et al. (2020) and Shearer et al. (2018), which were performed in Finland and New Zealand, respectively, did not show a relationship (157,158). There are some possible explanations for the dissimilarities in their findings from those of other studies. First, it might be due to differences in the context of the study populations. Both studies were conducted in high-income countries among Caucasian populations, while the others were in Asian populations. Second, it could be attributed to differences in the age of the study samples. The two studies had a relatively younger age study sample than the other studies, whose average age of study samples was 50 years or older. Shearer et al. (2018) argued that it might only be in later life that the influence of periodontal inflammation on cardiometabolic health becomes apparent (158). Furthermore, Pussinen et al. (2020) showed the findings of both the adjusted RRs for the outcome of MetS and the adjusted β values for the outcome of the number of MetS components. Although there was no relationship between the presence of visible plaque and MetS, there was a positive relationship between the number of teeth with visible plaque and the number of MetS components (157).

Our study revealed negative associations between tooth-brushing frequency and interdental cleaning and the risk of developing MetS. While the results across all subgroup analyses for tooth-brushing frequency were consistent, there was substantial heterogeneity. Tooth brushing is known as the most vital measure of oral self-care for plaque control and is a protective factor against periodontal diseases (243,266). Although a suggestion for proper tooth-brushing frequency could not be provided, most included studies in the review employed a threshold of at least twice a day. Another systematic review also demonstrated similar findings, suggesting that brushing teeth less frequently than twice a day might not benefit DM prevention (176). Furthermore, interdental cleaning is recommended to preserve oral health. Using interdental brushes daily has been shown to reduce periodontal bacteria, stimulate symbiotic microbiota, and decrease interdental inflammation (267).

Poor oral hygiene has been suggested to worsen MetS by raising local and systemic inflammation (268). Periodontal bacteria in plaque, their products, and local inflammatory response may gain entry into the circulation and contribute to systemic inflammation (269). Exposure to pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF- α and IL-1 β) in the long term may change the metabolism of lipids, leading to hyperlipidemia (270). In addition, TNF- α could promote insulin resistance by directly affecting target organs (e.g., liver, muscle, and adipocytes) and indirectly stimulating adipocytes to release free fatty acids (271). Increased pro-inflammatory cytokines levels may also contribute to the dysfunction of pancreatic β -cells, resulting in the occurrence of T2DM (270,272,273). Moreover, a recent study demonstrated that infection with *Porphyromonas gingivalis* could promote the development of metabolic disorders through gut microbiome alteration (274).

Alternatively, an explanation for the relationship between oral hygiene care and MetS might be attributed to common risk factors (98) or biased health consciousness. It is plausible that people adopting healthier lifestyles might also have improved oral hygiene care (275). It was argued that oral hygiene care might only be a reflection of general health awareness or behaviours, underlining the complexity of oral epidemiology (276). Nonetheless, in our review, most included studies adjusted for crucial confounders, for example, age, gender, SES, smoking status, alcohol use, and physical activity, which minimised the bias.

The relationship between dental attendance and MetS was not shown in the study conducted by Tanaka et al. (2018) (108). Their findings were consistent with research showing no relationship between dental attendance and professional dental cleaning and DM. Other confounders were suggested to have more crucial roles in DM occurrence than the professional dental cleaning (110). On the other hand, a review has shown that scaling and root planning could be beneficial for metabolic control and decreasing systemic inflammation in T2DM patients (277).

6.2 Strength, limitations, and consideration for future research

Our empirical studies had several strengths. First, they were the first to estimate the prevalence and determinants of oral hygiene practice among school adolescents and periodontitis among adults at a national level in Indonesia. Second, we used two recent national health surveys in Indonesia that followed international guidelines, facilitating international comparison. The 2015 Indonesia GSHS is part of an international effort to monitor health behaviours among adolescents in multiple countries (159,160). The GSHS covered various health behaviours, allowing us to investigate lifestyle in a comprehensive manner. The 2018 Riskesdas was also the first Indonesian national health survey that clinically measured oral health status, adopting the WHO Oral Health Survey (38). Third, our study was among the few that explored the relationship between MetS and periodontitis in Southeast Asian populations. Fourth, the relatively large sample size in our empirical studies provided favourable statistical power to obtain reliable estimates.

Furthermore, our meta-analysis study was the first to provide global evidence of the relationship between oral hygiene and MetS. Following a systematic review, we conducted a meta-analysis to assess the strength of evidence (278), providing a summary estimate of the effect size (178). This topic is considered novel and closely linked to a growing scientific interest in the interrelationships between oral pathogens, oral microbiome dysbiosis, and systemic diseases (279). Furthermore, studying this topic is important for developing strategies that target common risk factors for oral and general health (93). Finally, the included studies in this review had moderate to high quality.

Nevertheless, there were several general limitations of both of our empirical studies. First, they were cross-sectional, limiting our ability to establish causation. Second, some variables were based on self-reported information that might be susceptible to inaccuracy. There was the possibility of social desirability bias in responding to behavioural questions. However, this bias in the GSHS might be reduced by informing the participants that the questionnaire

was anonymous and confidential. Third, there might be the effects of unobserved confounders since the analyses were restricted to the available data in the survey.

Turning to the empirical studies, the first study was only concerned with the tooth-brushing frequency, but its effect on oral health status was unknown since there were no assessments of timing, duration, and method of tooth brushing and the use of fluoridated toothpaste. The latter is a crucial feature of oral health behaviours owing to its caries prevention effectiveness (280). Therefore, high self-reported tooth-brushing frequency in this study cannot be directly translated into enhanced oral health status. A generalisation of our findings to adolescents who are out of school or children in the younger age groups should also be proceeded with caution. Future studies should capture more psychosocial indicators, including sense of coherence, and family and wider socioeconomic determinants (e.g., residential areas), to further explore determinants of oral hygiene practice. Investigating weekday-to-weekend differences in oral hygiene practice might also be worthwhile.

Similarly, in the second empirical study, the analysis was based on secondary data, and hence there might have been effects from unmeasured confounders (e.g., income or wealth, nutrition, consumption of alcohol, and physical activity). In addition, several dimensions of tobacco use are suggested to be evaluated from several dimensions, including type, dose, duration, and time since cessation (269,281,282). For instance, longer dip duration and cumulative exposure to smokeless tobacco products in South Asia (e.g., Naswar) were associated with an elevated oral cancer risk (283), which is a crucial dimension of the periodontal assessment. However, the calculated E-values for the relationship between hyperglycaemia and CAL were relatively higher than other known confounders of periodontitis, for example, age and smoking (Appendix Table 2). This finding might indicate that bias originating from unobserved confounders would not be large.

Other limitations in this study originated from the survey protocol to collect information concerning periodontal health. Firstly, the survey recorded both PD and CAL in the form of scores (ranges); thus, determining the severity of periodontitis (the average of PD and CAL) in a person was not possible. A person's full extent of CAL could not be known since the measurements were restricted to sextants, mainly based on using index teeth. Nevertheless, partial CAL recording remained decent in assessing the population's past periodontitis experience. Secondly, developing a periodontitis case definition by integrating data regarding CAL and PD or CAL and BOP on the index teeth was not possible. According to the

protocol, if the sextant's index tooth was absent, all teeth present in that sextant were measured, and the greatest score was noted as the sextant's score. The tooth eventually used to record the sextant's CAL was not noted (38). Future studies might consider adopting a recording protocol that could allow the development of a case definition for periodontitis. However, despite being unable to develop a periodontitis case definition, the evaluated three periodontal disease parameters complemented one another in providing insight into the population's periodontal condition. The parameters of BOP and PD represent periodontal inflammation, and CAL represents the accumulation of periodontal destruction (35-37).

Turning to our systematic review and meta-analysis, several limitations arose from the methods employed and the included studies. First, a grey literature search was not performed, and only English-language studies were considered, which might introduce bias. Second, an assessment of publication bias could not be undertaken as it was not suggested for a review with an inadequate number of studies (<10). Low test power might lead to misleading interpretation (179,180,284). Third, besides study design and country, other sources of heterogeneity in our meta-analysis could be the variety of evaluation methods of oral hygiene status (e.g., using different indices), the reporting of tooth-brushing frequency and interdental cleaning, and criteria used to define MetS.

Further limitations in our review and meta-analysis stemmed from the inherent limitations of the included studies. First, there was a limited number of longitudinal studies. The methodological limitations of the studies employing cross-sectional design could influence the findings. Second, tooth-brushing frequency and interdental cleaning variables were based on self-reported information, which might be susceptible to bias. Nevertheless, it could simply be a case of nondifferential misclassification, resulting in underestimating the true effect estimates. Third, regular tooth brushing did not necessarily represent better oral health status because the included studies did not account for the timing, duration, and method of tooth brushing, as well as the type of dentifrice used. Fifth, the majority of the included studies were performed in the Asian population, which might affect the generalisability of the results globally. Additional studies performed on other populations are encouraged to generate more evidence. Better comparison between studies may also be achieved by the use of a standardised protocol to report oral hygiene (e.g., tooth-brushing frequency).

Conclusions, study implications, and recommendations

Overall, both of our empirical studies indicated a need to improve oral health in Indonesia. The findings that poor oral hygiene practice and periodontitis were more common among males and those with lower SES suggested the existence of social disparities and gender differences in oral health in Indonesian populations. Intersectoral interventions that target not only individuals (e.g., by placing greater emphasis on the male and low SES populations) but also upstream social, political, and economic factors might be beneficial to reducing oral health inequalities.

Among Indonesian school adolescents, there were positive relationships between some lifestyle and psychosocial factors and oral hygiene practice. These findings support the hypothesis that oral health shares common risk factors with other NCDs behaviours. Developing interventions targeting the underlying social context of adolescents may enhance not only oral health but also general health. Incorporating oral health into general health promotions could be useful and avoid duplication of efforts. The targets may include schools, families, and other social environments where adolescents live, learn and play. Furthermore, the findings that peer and parental support were associated with better oral hygiene might indicate the potential for leveraging social support to increase the uptake of oral health preventive behaviours. Besides schools, our study supports the evidence for the potential roles of parents in promoting oral health in Indonesia.

Among Indonesian adults, the prevalence of periodontal diseases is high. While the relationship between MetS and periodontitis was not shown, there was a positive association between hyperglycaemia and periodontitis. Since there is considerable evidence of a DM-periodontitis link, the IDF and the EFP developed consensus guidelines for medical and oral health practitioners and patients to improve the prevention, early detection, and co-management of periodontitis and DM. Periodontal therapy is linked with a short-term decrease in glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) and is recommended as safe and effective in diabetic individuals (254). Adoption of the guidelines into the healthcare context in Indonesia might be beneficial. Hyperglycemic patients should be checked for periodontitis. Integrating oral health into routine DM care could reduce the disease burden. Regarding MetS, future well-designed longitudinal studies are needed to examine its temporal relationship with periodontal diseases.

Similarly, in our meta-analysis, while we found overall negative relationships between oral hygiene status, tooth-brushing frequency, and interdental cleaning and MetS, substantial heterogeneity for the exposure of tooth-brushing frequency and inconsistent findings for oral hygiene status in the subgroup analyses were noted. Inadequate evidence exists on the relationship between dental attendance and MetS. Additional high-quality longitudinal studies are needed to explore the relationships between oral hygiene status and care and MetS, and to examine their underlying mechanism. Studying this topic will contribute to understanding the interrelationship between oral health and MetS.

New findings

Study 1. Prevalence and determinants of oral hygiene practice among Indonesian adolescents

• Tooth-brushing behaviours among adolescents in Indonesia could still be improved

The prevalence of school adolescents in Indonesia not adhering to the recommended twice-a-day tooth brushing was 10.8%.

• Male gender and lower SES were associated with poor oral hygiene practice among adolescents in Indonesia

Male (adjusted OR (aOR) 0.36; 95% CI 0.30-0.43) and lower SES (aOR 0.60; 95% CI 0.46-0.79) were associated with lower odds of frequent tooth brushing.

• There is the potential to leverage social support to increase the uptake of oral health preventive behaviours

Peer support (aOR = 1.23; 95% CI 1.03-1.47) and parental support (aOR = 1.33; 95% CI 1.07-1.66) were associated with higher odds of frequent tooth brushing.

Study 2. Prevalence and determinants of periodontitis among Indonesian adults

• The prevalence of periodontitis among Indonesian adults is high

The respective prevalence of adults with a minimum of one tooth with BOP, one tooth with PD \geq 4 mm, and one sextant with CAL \geq 4 mm was 74.9%, 40.7%, and 40.6%.

• Male gender and lower SES were associated with periodontitis in Indonesian adults

Male was associated with more teeth with BOP (aOR = 1.08; 95% CI 1.01-1.15), more teeth with PD (aOR = 1.19; 95% CI 1.07-1.32) and more sextants with CAL (aOR = 1.19; 95% CI 1.09-1.30).

Compared to individuals with primary school or lower as their highest educational attainment, those with higher education degrees were associated with fewer teeth with BOP (aOR 0.79; 95% CI 0.71-0.88), fewer teeth with PD (aOR 0.74 95% CI 0.61-0.91), and fewer sextants with CAL (aOR = 0.82; 95% CI 0.71-0.95).

Compared to an occupation listed as 'others', those with manual occupation were associated with more teeth with BOP (aOR 1.08 95% CI 1.02-1.15), while those with non-manual occupation were associated with fewer sextants with CAL (aOR 0.89; 95% CI 0.82-0.97).

• MetS was not found to be associated with periodontitis in Indonesian adults

The associations between MetS and the number of teeth with BOP, the number of teeth with PD, and the number of sextants with CAL were aOR = 1.02 (95% CI 0.98-1.07), aOR = 1.08 (95% CI 0.99-1.18), and aOR = 1.05 (95% CI 0.99-1.13), respectively.

• Hyperglycaemia was the only component of MetS associated with periodontitis in Indonesian adults

The associations between hyperglycemia and more teeth with BOP, more teeth with PD, and more sextants with CAL were aOR = 1.06 (95% CI 1.01-1.11), aOR = 1.13 (95% CI 1.03-1.23), and aOR = 1.15 (95% CI 1.08-1.23), respectively.

Study 3. Global association between oral hygiene and metabolic syndrome

• The potential influence of oral hygiene on MetS needs further investigation

Our overall meta-analysis found that better oral hygiene status (pooled OR = 0.30; 95% CI 0.13–0.66), brushing teeth frequently (pooled OR = 0.68; 95% CI 0.58–0.80), and interdental cleaning (pooled OR = 0.89; 95% CI 0.81–0.99) were associated with a reduced MetS risk. However, high heterogeneity for the exposure of tooth-brushing frequency ($I^2 = 89\%$) and an inconsistent finding for oral hygiene status in the subgroup analyses were noted. Only one study examined the relationship between dental attendance and MetS and showed no relationship (OR = 1.10; 95% CI 0.77–1.55). Further high-quality longitudinal studies are needed to explore the relationships.

Summary

Standardised surveillance data on oral health is lacking in LMICs, such as Indonesia, hindering the progress towards achieving global oral health. Furthermore, there was no summary of evidence on the relationship between oral hygiene and MetS. The aims of this thesis were: 1) to investigate the prevalence and factors associated with oral hygiene practice among Indonesian adolescents; and 2) periodontitis among Indonesian adults; 3) to quantitatively synthesize the body of evidence of the association between oral hygiene and MetS.

This thesis consisted of two empirical studies and a systematic review and meta-analysis. The first study used cross-sectional data from the 2015 Indonesia GSHS, covering 11,142 students aged 11-18 years. Logistic regression was employed to compute the ORs and 95% CIs. Around 10.8% of the students had less than twice a day tooth-brushing. Male gender, lower SES, poor dietary practice, sedentariness, drug use, psychological distress, less peer support, and no parental support were associated with brushing teeth infrequently. The second study used cross-sectional data from the 2018 Indonesia National Health Survey, covering 13,359 dentate individuals aged 35+ years. Negative binomial regression was applied to compute the RRs and 95% CIs. Over 40% of adults had periodontitis. Increasing age, male gender, lower educational attainment and occupation status, worse tooth-brushing habits, less dental attendance and access to healthcare, and hyperglycemia were associated with worse statuses of at least one periodontal health indicator. Compared to never smoking, former smoking was inversely associated with BOP, while current smoking was positively associated with CAL. Residential location, chewing tobacco status, MetS, central obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidaemia were not found to be associated with any periodontal health indicators. The third study was a systematic review and meta-analysis. A search on PubMed and Web of Science databases until March 17th, 2021, was undertaken to identify eligible studies on the relationship between oral hygiene and MetS. Random-effect models were employed to pool the effect estimates. We found overall negative relationships between oral hygiene status, the frequency of tooth brushing, interdental cleaning and MetS. Nevertheless, substantial heterogeneity for the exposure of tooth-brushing frequency and inconsistent findings for oral hygiene status in subgroup analyses were noted. There was inadequate evidence on the relationship between dental attendance and MetS.

Overall, our empirical studies indicated a need to improve oral health in Indonesia. The existence of social disparities and gender differences in oral health was observed. Intersectoral interventions targeting not only individuals, but also upstream social, political, and economic factors could be beneficial in reducing inequalities. Specifically, integrating oral health promotion into general health actions addressing adolescents' families, schools, and social environments is warranted to promote healthier behaviours. Furthermore, our findings confirmed the evidence of the potential roles of hyperglycemia on periodontitis. Integrating oral health into routine DM care could reduce the disease burden. Regarding MetS, further studies examining its role in periodontitis would be worthwhile. Similarly, high-quality longitudinal studies are needed to confirm the relationship between oral hygiene and MetS.

Keywords

Oral health, oral hygiene, tooth brushing, periodontitis, dental health surveys, cardiometabolic condition, metabolic syndrome, hyperglycaemia

References

- Watt RG, Daly B, Allison P, Macpherson LMD, Venturelli R, Listl S, et al. The Lancet Oral Health Series: Implications for Oral and Dental Research. J Dent Res. 2020 Jan 1;99(1):8–10.
- GBD 2017 Oral Disorders Collaborators, Bernabe E, Marcenes W, Hernandez CR, Bailey J, Abreu LG, et al. Global, Regional, and National Levels and Trends in Burden of Oral Conditions from 1990 to 2017: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease 2017 Study. J Dent Res. 2020 Apr 1;99(4):362–73.
- World Health Organisation. WHO Discussion Paper Draft Global Strategy On Oral Health [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Aug 28]. Available from: https://www.fdiworlddental.org/sites/default/files/2021-09/WHO Discussion Paper -Draft Global Strategy On Oral Health 09Aug21.pdf
- 4. Kassebaum NJ, Smith AGC, Bernabé E, Fleming TD, Reynolds AE, Vos T, et al. Global, Regional, and National Prevalence, Incidence, and Disability-Adjusted Life Years for Oral Conditions for 195 Countries, 1990-2015: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors. J Dent Res. 2017 Apr 1;96(4):380–7.
- Peres MA, Macpherson LMD, Weyant RJ, Daly B, Venturelli R, Mathur MR, et al. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. Lancet. 2019 Jul 20;394(10194):249– 60.
- FDI World Dental Federation. Oral Health and Quality of Life [Internet]. [cited 2022 May 2]. Available from: https://www.fdiworlddental.org/oral-health-and-quality-life
- Rebelo MAB, Rebelo Vieira JM, Pereira JV, Quadros LN, Vettore MV. Does oral health influence school performance and school attendance? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2019 Mar 1;29(2):138–48.

- Silk H, Kwok A. Addressing adolescent oral health: A review. Pediatr Rev. 2017 Feb 1;38(2):61–8.
- Kaur P, Singh S, Mathur A, Makkar DK, Aggarwal VP, Batra M, et al. Impact of Dental Disorders and its Influence on Self Esteem Levels among Adolescents. J Clin Diagnostic Res. 2017;11(4):ZC05.
- 10. Rouxel P, Heilmann A, Demakakos P, Aida J, Tsakos G, Watt RG. Oral health-related quality of life and loneliness among older adults. Eur J Ageing. 2017 Jun 1;14(2):101.
- Matsuyama Y, Jürges H, Dewey M, Listl S. Causal effect of tooth loss on depression: evidence from a population-wide natural experiment in the USA. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2021;30.
- Peres M, Peres K, Demarco F, Correa M, Heilmann A. Life Course Oral Health Epidemiology. In: Oral Epidemiology - A Textbook on Oral Health Conditions, Research Topics and Methods. 2021. p. 295–306.
- Seitz MW. Current Knowledge on Correlations Between Highly Prevalent Dental Conditions and Chronic Diseases: An Umbrella Review. Prev Chronic Dis. 2021 Sep 1;16(9).
- Stöhr J, Barbaresko J, Neuenschwander M, Schlesinger S. Bidirectional association between periodontal disease and diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and metaanalysis of cohort studies. Sci Rep. 2021 Dec 1;11(1).
- 15. Sanz M, D'aiuto F, Deanfield J, Fernandez-Avilés F. European workshop in periodontal health and cardiovascular disease—scientific evidence on the association between periodontal and cardiovascular diseases: a review of the literature. Eur Hear J Suppl. 2010 Apr 1;12(suppl_B):B3–12.
- Qi X, Zhu Z, Plassman BL, Wu B. Dose-Response Meta-Analysis on Tooth Loss With the Risk of Cognitive Impairment and Dementia. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2021 Oct 1;22(10):2039–45.
- 17. Qiao Y, Wang Z, Li Y, Han Y, Zhou Y, Cao X. Rheumatoid arthritis risk in periodontitis patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Jt bone spine. 2020 Dec

1;87(6):556–64.

- Gomes-Filho IS, Cruz SS da, Trindade SC, Passos-Soares J de S, Carvalho-Filho PC, Figueiredo ACMG, et al. Periodontitis and respiratory diseases: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Oral Dis. 2020 Mar 1;26(2):439–46.
- Gobin R, Tian D, Liu Q, Wang J. Periodontal Diseases and the Risk of Metabolic Syndrome: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2020 Jun 9;11:1035–57.
- Cao X, Wang D, Zhou J, Yuan H, Chen Z. Relationship between dental caries and metabolic syndrome among 13 998 middle-aged urban Chinese. J Diabetes. 2017 Apr 1;9(4):378–85.
- Ojima M, Amano A, Kurata S. Relationship between decayed teeth and metabolic syndrome: Data from 4716 middle-aged male Japanese employees. J Epidemiol. 2015;25(3):204–11.
- 22. Righolt AJ, Jevdjevic M, Marcenes W, Listl S. Global-, Regional-, and Country-Level Economic Impacts of Dental Diseases in 2015. J Dent Res. 2018 May 1;97(5):501–7.
- Seminario AL, Derouen T, Cholera M, Liu J, Phantumvanit P, Kemoli A, et al. Mitigating Global Oral Health Inequalities: Research Training Programs in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. Ann Glob Heal. 2020;86(1):1–5.
- Petersen PE. The World Oral Health Report 2003: continuous improvement of oral health in the 21st century – the approach of the WHO Global Oral Health Programme. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2003 Dec;31(SUPPL. 1):3–24.
- Yee R, Sheiham A. The burden of restorative dental treatment for children in Third World countries . Int Dent J. 2002 Feb;52(1):1–9.
- NCD Alliance, FDI World Dental Federation. Accelerating Action on Oral Health and NCDs - Achieving an integrated response.
- 27. Ayu P, Astuti S, Assunta M, Freeman B. Why is tobacco control progress in Indonesia stalled?-a qualitative analysis of interviews with tobacco control experts.

- Pitts NB, Zero DT, Marsh PD, Ekstrand K, Weintraub JA, Ramos-Gomez F, et al. Dental caries. Nat Rev Dis Prim 2017 31. 2017 May 25;3(1):1–16.
- Kinane DF, Stathopoulou PG, Papapanou PN. Periodontal diseases. Nat Rev Dis Prim.
 2017 Jun 22;3.
- Kassebaum NJ, Bernabé E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJL, Marcenes W. Global burden of severe periodontitis in 1990-2010: A systematic review and meta-regression. J Dent Res. 2014 Nov 11;93(11):1045–53.
- 31. Vos T, Abajobir AA, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abate KH, Abd-Allah F, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet. 2017 Sep 16;390(10100):1211–59.
- 32. FDI World Dental Federation. Global periodontal health. Int Dent J. 2019 Feb 1;69(1):13–4.
- Botelho J, Machado V, Leira Y, Proença L, Chambrone L, Mendes JJ. Economic burden of periodontitis in the United States and Europe: An updated estimation. J Periodontol. 2022 Mar 1;93(3):373–9.
- Petersen PE, Baehni PC. Periodontal health and global public health. Periodontol 2000. 2012 Oct 1;60(1):7–14.
- 35. Lai H, Lo M Te, Wang PE, Wang TT, Chen THH, Wu GHM. A community-based epidemiological study of periodontal disease in Keelung, Taiwan: A model from Keelung community-based integrated screening programme (KCIS No. 18). J Clin Periodontol. 2007 Oct;34(10):851–9.
- Kongstad J, Hvidtfeldt UA, Grønbæk M, Stoltze K, Holmstrup P. The Relationship Between Body Mass Index and Periodontitis in the Copenhagen City Heart Study. J Periodontol. 2009 Aug;80(8):1246–53.
- 37. Zimmermann H, Hagenfeld D, Diercke K, El-Sayed N, Fricke J, Greiser KH, et al. Pocket depth and bleeding on probing and their associations with dental, lifestyle, socioeconomic and blood variables: A cross-sectional, multicenter feasibility study of

the German National Cohort. BMC Oral Health. 2015 Dec 12;15(1).

- World Health Organisation. Oral Health Surveys Basic Methods. 5th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
- Ko TJ, Byrd KM, Kim SA. The Chairside Periodontal Diagnostic Toolkit: Past, Present, and Future. Diagnostics 2021, Vol 11, Page 932. 2021 May 22;11(6):932.
- Furuta M, Ekuni D, Yamamoto T, Irie K, Koyama R, Sanbe T, et al. Relationship between periodontitis and hepatic abnormalities in young adults. Acta Odontol Scand. 2010 Jan 15;68(1):27–33.
- 41. Iwasaki M, Borgnakke WS, Ogawa H, Yamaga T, Sato M, Minagawa K, et al. Effect of lifestyle on 6-year periodontitis incidence or progression and tooth loss in older adults. J Clin Periodontol. 2018 Aug 1;45(8):896–908.
- Oliveira Costa F, Otá L, Cota M, Eustá Quio Costa J, Pordeus IA. Periodontal Disease Progression Among Young Subjects With No Preventive Dental Care: A 52-Month Follow-Up Study. J Periodontol. 2007 Feb 1;78(2):198–203.
- 43. Nazir MA. Prevalence of periodontal disease, its association with systemic diseases and prevention. Int J Health Sci (Qassim). 2017;11(2):72–80.
- Borgnakke WS. "Non-modifiable" Risk Factors for Periodontitis and Diabetes. Curr Oral Heal Reports. 2016 Sep;3(3):270–81.
- Kwon TH, Lamster IB, Levin L. Current Concepts in the Management of Periodontitis. Int Dent J. 2021 Dec 1;71(6):462–76.
- Graziani F, Karapetsa D, Alonso B, Herrera D. Nonsurgical and surgical treatment of periodontitis: how many options for one disease? Periodontol 2000. 2017 Oct 1;75(1):152–88.
- Aida J. Tooth Loss. In: Oral Epidemiology A Textbook on Oral Health Conditions, Research Topics and Methods. 2021. p. 223–33.
- Al-Rafee MA. The epidemiology of edentulism and the associated factors: A literature Review. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2020;9(4):1841.

- 49. Fejerskov O. Changing paradigms in concepts on dental caries: consequences for oral health care. Caries Res. 2004;38(3):182–91.
- 50. Vernon LT, Da Silva APB, Seacat JD. In Defense of Flossing: Part II-Can We Agree It's Premature to Claim Flossing Is Ineffective to Help Prevent Periodontal Diseases? J Evid Based Dent Pract. 2017 Sep 1;17(3):149–58.
- 51. National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR). Effect of Oral Health on the Community, Overall Well-Being, and the Economy. In: Oral Health in America: Advances and Challenges. National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research; 2021.
- 52. Bomfim RA, Frias AC, Cascaes AM, Mazzilli LEN, de Souza LB, Carrer FCDA, et al. Sedentary behavior, unhealthy food consumption and dental caries in 12-year-old schoolchildren: a population-based study. Braz Oral Res. 2021;35:1–10.
- 53. Guarnizo-Herreño CC, Watt RG, Fuller E, Steele JG, Shen J, Morris S, et al. Socioeconomic position and subjective oral health: findings for the adult population in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. BMC Public Health. 2014 Aug 9;14(1).
- 54. Wang Q, Shen JJ, Sotero M, Li CA, Hou Z. Income, occupation and education: Are they related to smoking behaviors in China? PLoS One. 2018 Feb 1;13(2):e0192571.
- 55. Jamieson L, Gibson B, Thomson WM. Oral Health Inequalities and the Corporate Determinants of Health: A Commentary. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Sep 2;17(18):1–6.
- 56. World Health Organisation. Commercial determinants of health [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Sep 6]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/commercial-determinants-of-health
- 57. Cable N. Life Course Approach in Social Epidemiology: An Overview, Application and Future Implications. J Epidemiol. 2014;24(5):347.
- Fisher-Owens SA, Gansky SA, Platt LJ, Weintraub JA, Soobader MJ, Bramlett MD, et al. Influences on children's oral health: A conceptual model. Pediatrics. 2007 Sep;120(3):e510–20.

- Dahlan R, Ghazal E, Saltaji H, Salami B, Amin M. Impact of social support on oral health among immigrants and ethnic minorities: A systematic review. PLoS One. 2019 Jun 1;14(6):e0218678.
- 60. Claydon NC. Current concepts in toothbrushing and interdental cleaning. Periodontol 2000. 2008;48(1):10–22.
- Ainamo J. Prevention of periodontal disease in the dental office. Int Dent J. 1984 Mar;34(1):56–61.
- Lim LP, Davies WIR. Comparison of various modalities of "simple" periodontal therapy on oral cleanliness and bleeding. J Clin Periodontol. 1996 Jun 1;23(6):595– 600.
- Chi DL, Carpiano RM. Neighborhood Social Capital, Neighborhood Attachment, and Dental Care Use for Los Angeles Family and Neighborhood Survey Adults. Am J Public Health. 2013 Apr;103(4):e88.
- 64. Wei SHY, Lang NP. Periodontal epidemiological indices for children and adolescents:II. Evaluation of oral hygiene; III. Clinical applications. Pediatr Dent. 1982;4(1).
- Oral Health Country/Area Profile Project Methods and indices [Internet]. [cited 2022 May 5]. Available from: https://capp.mau.se/methods-and-indices/
- De Oliveira C, Watt R, Hamer M. Toothbrushing, inflammation, and risk of cardiovascular disease: Results from Scottish Health Survey. BMJ. 2010 Jun 26;340(7761):1400.
- 67. Liu W, Su L, Xie X, Xiang X, Huang J, Ji P. Association Between Toothbrushing and Behavioral Risk Factors of Non-communicable Diseases: A population Based Survey of 4500 adults in China. Sci Rep. 2019 Dec 1;9(1).
- 68. Siziya S, Muula A, Rudatsikira E. Self-reported poor oral hygiene among in-school adolescents in Zambia. BMC Res Notes. 2011 Jul 22;4(1):1–4.
- 69. Miller K, Eke PI, Schoua-Glusberg A. Cognitive Evaluation of Self-Report Questions for Surveillance of Periodontitis. J Periodontol. 2007 Jul;78(7s):1455–62.

- Reaven GM. Banting lecture 1988. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. Diabetes. 1988;37(12):1595–607.
- 71. Alberti KGMM, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, et al. Harmonizing the Metabolic Syndrome: A Joint Interim Statement of The International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation. 2009 Oct;120(16):1640–5.
- Roberts CK, Hevener AL, Barnard RJ. Metabolic Syndrome and Insulin Resistance: Underlying Causes and Modification by Exercise Training. Compr Physiol. 2013;3(1):1.
- 73. Saklayen MG. The Global Epidemic of the Metabolic Syndrome. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2018 Feb 1;20(2).
- Cornier MA, Dabelea D, Hernandez TL, Lindstrom RC, Steig AJ, Stob NR, et al. The metabolic syndrome. Endocr Rev. 2008 Dec;29(7):777–822.
- 75. Lear SA, Gasevic D. Ethnicity and metabolic syndrome: Implications for assessment, management and prevention. Nutrients. 2020 Jan 1;12(1).
- 76. Saboya PP, Bodanese LC, Zimmermann PR, da Silva Gustavo A, Assumpção CM, Londero F. Metabolic syndrome and quality of life: a systematic review. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2016;24.
- Wu S, Zhou K, Misra-Hebert A, Bena J, Kashyap SR. Impact of Metabolic Syndrome on Severity of COVID-19 Illness. Metab Syndr Relat Disord. 2022 May 1;20(4):191–8.
- 78. Alamdari NM, Rahimi FS, Afaghi S, Zarghi A, Qaderi S, Tarki FE, et al. The impact of metabolic syndrome on morbidity and mortality among intensive care unit admitted COVID-19 patients. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2020 Nov 1;14(6):1979–86.
- 79. Boudreau DM, Malone DC, Raebel MA, Fishman PA, Nichols GA, Feldstein AC, et al. Health care utilization and costs by metabolic syndrome risk factors. Metab Syndr

Relat Disord. 2009 Aug 1;7(4):305–13.

- Blanquet M, Legrand A, Pélissier A, Mourgues C. Socio-economics status and metabolic syndrome: A meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev. 2019 May 1;13(3):1805–12.
- 81. Fabiani R, Naldini G, Chiavarini M. Dietary patterns and metabolic syndrome in adult subjects: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2019 Sep 1;11(9).
- Sun K, Liu J, Ning G. Active Smoking and Risk of Metabolic Syndrome: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. PLoS One. 2012 Oct 17;7(10).
- Joseph MS, Tincopa MA, Walden P, Jackson E, Conte ML, Rubenfire M. The impact of structured exercise programs on metabolic syndrome and its components: A systematic review. Diabetes, Metab Syndr Obes Targets Ther. 2019;12:2395–404.
- 84. Jepsen S, Suvan J, Deschner J. The association of periodontal diseases with metabolic syndrome and obesity. Periodontol 2000. 2020 Jun 1;83(1):125–53.
- Sasaki H, Hirai K, M. Martins C, Furusho H, Battaglino R, Hashimoto K. Interrelationship Between Periapical Lesion and Systemic Metabolic Disorders. Curr Pharm Des. 2016 May 10;22(15):2204–15.
- 86. Stöhr J, Barbaresko J, Neuenschwander M, Schlesinger S. Bidirectional association between periodontal disease and diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and metaanalysis of cohort studies. Sci Reports 2021 111. 2021 Jul 1;11(1):1–9.
- 87. Garton BJ, Ford PJ. Root caries and diabetes: risk assessing to improve oral and systemic health outcomes. Aust Dent J. 2012 Jun 1;57(2):114–22.
- Marchetti E, Monaco A, Procaccini L, Mummolo S, Gatto R, Tetè S, et al. Periodontal disease: The influence of metabolic syndrome. Nutr Metab. 2012;9:88.
- Bullon P, Morillo JM, Ramirez-Tortosa MC, Quiles JL, Newman HN, Battino M. Metabolic syndrome and periodontitis: Is oxidative stress a common link? J Dent Res. 2009 Jun 1;88(6):503–18.
- 90. Katz J, Bhattacharyya I, Farkhondeh-Kish F, Perez FM, Caudle RM, Heft MW.

Expression of the receptor of advanced glycation end products in gingival tissues of type 2 diabetes patients with chronic periodontal disease: a study utilizing immunohistochemistry and RT-PCR. J Clin Periodontol. 2005 Jan;32(1):40–4.

- Alikhani M, Alikhani Z, Boyd C, MacLellan CM, Raptis M, Liu R, et al. Advanced glycation end products stimulate osteoblast apoptosis via the MAP kinase and cytosolic apoptotic pathways. Bone. 2007 Feb;40(2):345–53.
- 92. Alikhani M, MacLellan CM, Raptis M, Vora S, Trackman PC, Graves DT. Advanced glycation end products induce apoptosis in fibroblasts through activation of ROS, MAP kinases, and the FOXO1 transcription factor. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2007 Feb;292(2).
- Souza ML, Massignan C, Glazer Peres K, Aurélio Peres M. Association between metabolic syndrome and tooth loss: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Dent Assoc. 2019 Dec 1;150(12):1027–39.
- 94. Chomkhakhai U, Thanakun S, Khovidhunkit S on P, Khovidhunkit W, Thaweboon S. Oral health in Thai patients with metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev. 2009 Dec 1;3(4):192–7.
- 95. Bhattarai KR, Junjappa R, Handigund M, Kim HR, Chae HJ. The imprint of salivary secretion in autoimmune disorders and related pathological conditions. Autoimmun Rev. 2018 Apr 1;17(4):376–90.
- Dodds MWJ, Yeh CK, Johnson DA. Salivary alterations in type 2 (non-insulindependent) diabetes mellitus and hypertension. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2000;28(5):373-81.
- Li X, Kolltveit KM, Tronstad L, Olsen I. Systemic diseases caused by oral infection. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2000;13(4):547–58.
- 98. Chang Y, Woo HG, Park J, Lee JS, Song TJ. Improved oral hygiene care is associated with decreased risk of occurrence for atrial fibrillation and heart failure: A nationwide population-based cohort study. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2020 Nov 1;27(17):1835–45.
- 99. Hyvärinen K, Salminen A, Salomaa V, Pussinen PJ. Systemic exposure to a common

periodontal pathogen and missing teeth are associated with metabolic syndrome. Acta Diabetol. 2015 Feb 1;52(1):179–82.

- Hajishengallis G, Chavakis T. Local and systemic mechanisms linking periodontal disease and inflammatory comorbidities. Nat Rev Immunol 2021 217. 2021 Jan 28;21(7):426–40.
- Beck JD, Papapanou PN, Philips KH, Offenbacher S. Periodontal Medicine: 100 Years of Progress. J Dent Res. 2019 Sep 1;98(10):1053–62.
- 102. Gomes MS, Blattner TC, Sant'Ana Filho M, Grecca FS, Hugo FN, Fouad AF, et al. Can apical periodontitis modify systemic levels of inflammatory markers? A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Endod. 2013 Oct;39(10):1205–17.
- 103. Scannapieco FA, Cantos A. Oral inflammation and infection, and chronic medical diseases: implications for the elderly. Periodontol 2000. 2016 Oct 1;72(1):153–75.
- 104. Niazi SA, Bakhsh A. Association between Endodontic Infection, Its Treatment and Systemic Health: A Narrative Review. Medicina (B Aires). 2022 Jul 14;58(7):931.
- 105. Cecoro G, Annunziata M, Iuorio MT, Nastri L, Guida L. Periodontitis, Low-Grade Inflammation and Systemic Health: A Scoping Review. Medicina (B Aires). 2020 Jun 1;56(6).
- 106. León-Pedroza JI, González-Tapia LA, del Olmo-Gil E, Castellanos-Rodríguez D, Escobedo G, González-Chávez A. Low-grade systemic inflammation and the development of metabolic diseases: From the molecular evidence to the clinical practice. Cir Cir. 2015 Nov 1;83(6):543–51.
- 107. Wärnberg J, Marcos A. Low-grade inflammation and the metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2008 Feb;19(1):11–5.
- 108. Tanaka A, Takeuchi K, Furuta M, Takeshita T, Suma S, Shinagawa T, et al. Relationship of toothbrushing to metabolic syndrome in middle-aged adults. J Clin Periodontol. 2018 May 1;45(5):538–47.
- 109. Nijakowski K, Lehmann A, Rutkowski R, Korybalska K, Witowski J, Surdacka A. Poor oral hygiene and high levels of inflammatory cytokines in saliva predict the risk
of overweight and obesity. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Sep 1;17(17):1–10.

- 110. Chang Y, Lee JS, Lee KJ, Woo HG, Song TJ. Improved oral hygiene is associated with decreased risk of new-onset diabetes: a nationwide population-based cohort study. Diabetologia. 2020 May 1;63(5):924–33.
- 111. Fujita M, Ueno K, Hata A. Lower frequency of daily teeth brushing is related to high prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors. Exp Biol Med. 2009 Apr;234(4):387–94.
- 112. Choi HM, Han K, Park Y-G, Park J-B. Associations Among Oral Hygiene Behavior and Hypertension Prevalence and Control: The 2008 to 2010 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Periodontol. 2015 Jul;86(7):866–73.
- Song T, Kim J, Kim J. Oral health and changes in lipid profile: A nationwide cohort study. J Clin Periodontol. 2020 Dec 4;47(12):1437–45.
- 114. World Health Organisation (WHO). Adolescence: a period needing special attention [Internet]. [cited 2022 Oct 23]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/adolescent/second-decade/section2/page1/recognizingadolescence.html
- 115. Jaworska N, MacQueen G. Adolescence as a unique developmental period. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2015 Sep 1;40(5):291.
- 116. Orben A, Tomova L, Blakemore SJ. The effects of social deprivation on adolescent development and mental health. Lancet Child Adolesc Heal. 2020 Aug 1;4(8):634.
- Knoll LJ, Magis-Weinberg L, Speekenbrink M, Blakemore SJ. Social Influence on Risk Perception During Adolescence. Psychol Sci. 2015 May 8;26(5):583–92.
- 118. Pringle J, Doi L, Jindal-Snape D, Jepson R, McAteer J. Adolescents and health-related behaviour: using a framework to develop interventions to support positive behaviours. Pilot Feasibility Stud. 2018 Apr 25;4(1).
- 119. National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR). Oral Health Across the Lifespan: Adolescents. In: Oral Health in America: Advances and Challenges. National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research; 2021.

- Chaitra TR, Manuja N, Sinha AA, Kulkarni AU. Hormonal effect on gingiva: pubertal gingivitis. BMJ Case Rep. 2012;2012.
- 121. Rathee M, Jain P. Gingivitis. Aust J Pharm. 2022 Jun 16;96(1141):64–7.
- Albert D, Chein J, Steinberg L. Peer Influences on Adolescent Decision Making. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 2013;22(2):114.
- Andrews JL, Foulkes L, Blakemore SJ. Peer Influence in Adolescence: Public-Health Implications for COVID-19. Trends Cogn Sci. 2020 Aug 1;24(8):585.
- 124. Graça SR, Albuquerque TS, Luis HS, Assunção VA, Malmqvist S, Cuculescu M, et al. Oral health knowledge, perceptions, and habits of adolescents from Portugal, Romania, and Sweden: A comparative study. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent. 2019 Sep 1;9(5):470–80.
- 125. Maida CA, Marcus M, Hays RD, Coulter ID, Ramos-Gomez F, Lee SY, et al. Child and Adolescent Perceptions of Oral Health Over the Life Course. Qual life Res. 2015 Nov 1;24(11):2739.
- 126. Tsai C, Raphael S, Agnew C, McDonald G, Irving M. Health promotion interventions to improve oral health of adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2020 Dec 1;48(6):549–60.
- 127. Bast LS, Nordahl H, Christensen LB, Holstein BE. Tooth brushing among 11- to 15year-olds in Denmark: Combined effect of social class and migration status. Community Dent Health. 2015 Mar 1;32(1):51–5.
- 128. Frencken JE, Sharma P, Stenhouse L, Green D, Laverty D, Dietrich T. Global epidemiology of dental caries and severe periodontitis – a comprehensive review. J Clin Periodontol. 2017 Mar 1;44:S94–105.
- 129. Nazir M, Al-Ansari A, Al-Khalifa K, Alhareky M, Gaffar B, Almas K. Global Prevalence of Periodontal Disease and Lack of Its Surveillance. ScientificWorldJournal. 2020;2020.
- McKittrick TR, Jacobsen KH. Oral hygiene practices among middle-school students in 44 low- and middle-income countries. Int Dent J. 2014 Jun;64(3):164–70.

- 131. Honkala S, Vereecken C, Niclasen B, Honkala E. Trends in toothbrushing in 20 countries/regions from 1994 to 2010. Eur J Public Health. 2015 Apr 1;25(suppl_2):20–3.
- 132. Ghafari M, Bahadivand-Chegini S, Nadi T, Doosti-Irani A. The global prevalence of dental healthcare needs and unmet dental needs among adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiol Health. 2019;41:e2019046.
- 133. Low & middle income | Data [Internet]. [cited 2021 Apr 13]. Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/country/XO
- 134. Mahendradhata Y, Trisnantoro L, Listyadewi S, Soewondo P, Marthias T, Harimurti P, et al. The Republic of Indonesia Health System Review. In: Hort K, Patcharanarumol W, editors. Health Systems in Transition. New Delhi: World Health Organization; 2017.
- Amalia R. Improving a School-Based Dental Programme through a Sociodental Risk Group Approach. University of Groningen; 2014.
- 136. Menteri Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Republik Indonesia Nomor 43 Tahun 2019 Tentang Pusat Kesehatan Masyarakat. 2019.
- Amalia R, Chairunisa F, Alfian MF, Supartinah A. Indonesia: Epidemiological profiles of early childhood caries. Front Public Heal. 2019;7(AUG):210.
- 138. Menteri Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Republik Indonesia Nomor 89 Tahun 2015 Tentang Upaya Kesehatan Gigi dan Mulut. 2015.
- Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kesehatan. Laporan Riset Fasilitas Kesehatan (Rifaskes) 2011 Puskesmas. Jakarta; 2011.
- 140. Amalia R, Widyanti N, Groothoff JW, Schaub RMH. Constraints on the performance of school-based dental program in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: A qualitative study. Dent J (Majalah Kedokt Gigi). 2011;44(2).
- 141. Agustina R, Dartanto T, Sitompul R, Susiloretni KA, Suparmi, Achadi EL, et al. Universal health coverage in Indonesia: concept, progress, and challenges. Lancet. 2019 Jan 5;393(10166):75–102.

- 142. Badan Penyelenggara Jaminan Sosial Kesehatan. Peraturan Badan Penyelenggara Jaminan Sosial Kesehatan Nomor 1 Tahun 2014 Tentang Penyelenggaraan Jaminan Kesehatan. 2014.
- 143. Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kesehatan. Riset Kesehatan Dasar (Riskesdas)2007. Jakarta; 2008.
- 144. Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kesehatan. Riset Kesehatan Dasar (Riskesdas)2013. Jakarta; 2013.
- 145. Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kesehatan. Laporan Nasional Riskesdas 2018.
 Jakarta: Lembaga Penerbit Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kesehatan (LPB);
 2019.
- 146. Pratiwi NL. The Trend Analysis of the Availability of Dental Caries and Dental Health Personnel in Indonesia. Cit Pratiwi NL. 2016;6:360.
- Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Rencana Aksi Nasional Pelayanan Kesehatan Gigi dan Mulut Tahun 2015 - 2019. Jakarta; 2016.
- 148. Tadjoedin FM, Fitri AH, Kuswandani SO, Sulijaya Benso, Soeroso Y. The Correlation between Age and Periodontal Diseases. J Int Dent Med Researc. 2017;10(2):327–32.
- 149. susanto A, Rusjanti J, Rusminah N, Hendiani I, Komara I, Metta P. The Prevalence of Chronic Periodontitis in the City of Bandung, Indonesia: A Cross Sectional Study. Int J Med Sci Clin Invent. 2018 Jul 30;5(7):3914–6.
- 150. Timmerman MF, Van Der Weijden GA, Armand S, Abbas F, Winkel EG, Van Winkelhoff AJ, et al. Untreated periodontal disease in Indonesian adolescents: Clinical and microbiological baseline data. J Clin Periodontol. 1998;25(3):215–24.
- 151. Morgan M V, Wright FA, Matram ZN, Sundoro E, Chesters RK. The Oral Health Status and Health Behaviour of 12 and 15 Year-Old Adolescents in Jakarta, Indonesia
 PubMed. Community Dent Heal. 1992 Jun;9(2):171–9.
- 152. Tedjosasongko U, Anggraeni F, Wen ML, Kuntari S, Puteri MM. Prevalence of caries and periodontal disease among Indonesian pregnant women. Pesqui Bras Odontopediatria Clin Integr. 2019;19(1).

- 153. Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Profil Kesehatan Indonesia Tahun 2013.Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia; 2014 Jul.
- 154. Izumida T, Nakamura Y, Ishikawa S. Impact of body mass index and metabolically unhealthy status on mortality in the Japanese general population: The JMS cohort study. PLoS One. 2019;14(11):e0224802.
- 155. Gasner NS, Schure RS. Periodontal Disease [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 May 2]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK554590/
- 156. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Periodontal Disease [Internet]. 2013[cited2022May6].Availablefrom:https://www.cdc.gov/oralhealth/conditions/periodontal-disease.html
- 157. Pussinen PJ, Paju S, Viikari J, Salminen A, Taittonen L, Laitinen T, et al. Childhood Oral Infections Associate with Adulthood Metabolic Syndrome: A Longitudinal Cohort Study. J Dent Res. 2020 Sep;99(10):1165–73.
- 158. Shearer DM, Thomson WM, Cameron CM, Ramrakha S, Wilson G, Wong TY, et al. Periodontitis and multiple markers of cardiometabolic risk in the fourth decade: A cohort study. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2018 Dec;46(6):615–23.
- 159. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Global School- based Student Health Survey: 2013 GSHS Data User's Guide. 2013.
- World Health Organisation. Global School-based Student Health Survey Indonesia 2015 Fact Sheet. 2015.
- 161. Kusumawardani N, Rachmalina DS, dr Yuana Wiryawan Ms, Dra Athena Anwar Mk, Kartika Handayani Ms, Rofingatul Mubasyiroh Ms, et al. Perilaku Berisiko Kesehatan Pada Pelajar SMP Dan SMA Di Indonesia. Jakarta; 2015.
- 162. World Health Organisation. Global school-based student health survey (GSHS)
 [Internet]. [cited 2020 Apr 5]. Available from: https://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/gshs/indonesiadataset/en/
- 163. Wu H, Gu Z, Zeng L, Guo T. Do Global Adolescents With Food Insecurity Feel Lonely? Front Public Heal. 2022 Feb 10;10:820444.

- 164. Manyanga T, El-Sayed H, Doku DT, Randall JR. The prevalence of underweight, overweight, obesity and associated risk factors among school-going adolescents in seven African countries. BMC Public Health. 2014 Dec 28;14(1):887.
- 165. World Health Organisation. Physical activity and young people [Internet]. 2015 [cited2020May31].Availablefrom:https://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/factsheet_young_people/en/
- 166. Pengpid S, Peltzer K. Leisure-time sedentary behavior is associated with psychological distress and substance use among school-going adolescents in five southeast Asian countries: A cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Jun 2;16(12).
- 167. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Software for Analysis of YRBS Data.2018.
- 168. Dany F, Dewi RM, Tjandrarini DH, Pradono J, Delima D, Sariadji K, et al. Urbanrural distinction of potential determinants for prediabetes in Indonesian population aged ≥15 years: a cross-sectional analysis of Indonesian Basic Health Research 2018 among normoglycemic and prediabetic individuals. BMC Public Heal 2020 201. 2020 Oct 6;20(1):1–9.
- 169. Melo P, Fine C, Malone S, Taylor S. Impact of the Brush Day & Night Programme on Oral Health Knowledge and Behaviour in Children. Int Dent J. 2021 Mar 1;71:S4–14.
- American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2010 Jan;33(1):S62–9.
- Van Der Weele TJ, Ding P. Sensitivity analysis in observational research: Introducing the E-Value. Ann Intern Med. 2017 Aug 15;167(4):268–74.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med. 2009 Jul 21;6(7):e1000097.
- Santoso C, Ketti F, Nagy A. Association between Oral Hygiene and Metabolic Syndrome: A systematic Review and Meta-analysis. [Internet]. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021243292. [cited 2021 Apr 17]. Available from:

https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=243292

- 174. Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in metaanalyses [Internet]. [cited 2021 Feb 5]. Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp
- 175. Yuan T, Zou H, Zhao J, Yang Z, Li L, Cai W, et al. Circumcision to prevent HIV and other sexually transmitted infections in men who have sex with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of global data. Artic Lancet Glob Heal. 2019;7:436–83.
- 176. Fu W, Lv C, Zou L, Song F, Zeng X, Wang C, et al. Meta-analysis on the association between the frequency of tooth brushing and diabetes mellitus risk. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2019 Jul 1;35(5).
- 177. Bae JM. Comparison of methods of extracting information for meta-analysis of observational studies in nutritional epidemiology. Epidemiol Health. 2016;38:e2016003.
- 178. Higgins JP, Green S, editors. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 510 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.
- 179. Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. Addressing reporting biases. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 510 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.
- 180. Alzahrani H, Mackey M, Stamatakis E, Zadro JR, Shirley D. The association between physical activity and low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Sci Rep. 2019 Dec 1;9(1):1–10.
- 181. Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.4, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020. [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 16]. Available from: https://training.cochrane.org/online-learning/core-software-cochranereviews/revman/revman-non-cochrane-reviews
- 182. Tsutsumi C, Kakuma T. Regular Tooth Brushing is Associated with a Decreased Risk

of Metabolic Syndrome According to a Medical Check-up Database. Kurume Med J. 2015;61(3–4):43–52.

- 183. Kim Y-H, Kim D-H, Lim KS, Ko B-J, Han B-D, Nam G-E, et al. Oral health behaviors and metabolic syndrome: the 2008-2010 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Clin Oral Investig. 2014 Jun;18(5):1517–24.
- 184. Fukui N, Shimazaki Y, Shinagawa T, Yamashita Y. Periodontal Status and Metabolic Syndrome in Middle-Aged Japanese. J Periodontol. 2012 Nov;83(11):1363–71.
- 185. Kim JS, Kim SY, Byon MJ, Lee JH, Jeong SH, Kim JB. Association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome in a korean nationally representative sample of adults aged 35–79 years. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Aug 2;16(16).
- 186. Saito M, Shimazaki Y, Nonoyama T, Tadokoro Y. Number of teeth, oral self-care, eating speed, and metabolic syndrome in an aged Japanese population. J Epidemiol. 2019;29(1):26–32.
- 187. Chen L-P, Hsu S-P, Peng Y-S, Chiang C-K, Hung K-Y. Periodontal disease is associated with metabolic syndrome in hemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2011 Dec;26(12):4068-U596.
- 188. Kobayashi Y, Niu K, Guan L, Momma H, Guo H, Cui Y, et al. Oral health behavior and metabolic syndrome and its components in adults. J Dent Res. 2012 May 20;91(5):479–84.
- 189. Pham TA V. The association between periodontal disease severity and metabolic syndrome in Vietnamese patients. Int J Dent Hyg. 2018 Nov;16(4):484–91.
- Li P, He L, Sha Y, Luan Q. Relationship of Metabolic Syndrome to Chronic Periodontitis. J Periodontol. 2009 Apr;80(4):541–9.
- 191. Li P, He L, Chen Z Bin, Luan QX. Biomarkers in Metabolic Syndrome Patients with Chronic Periodontitis. Chinese J Dent Res Off J Sci Sect Chinese Stomatol Assoc. 2020;23(3):191–7.
- 192. National Cholestrol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholestrol in Adults Treatment Panel III. Third Report

of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. Circulation. 2002 Dec 17;106(25):3143–421.

- 193. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: An American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute scientific statement. Circulation. 2005 Oct 25;112(17):2735–52.
- 194. Alberti KGMM, Zimmet P, Shaw J. The metabolic syndrome A new worldwide definition. Lancet. 2005 Sep 24;366(9491):1059–62.
- 195. Che Salleh N, Mohamad Anuar MF, Abdullah NA, Yaw SL, Ibrahim Wong N, Teck Pei T, et al. Prevalence and Factors Associated With Oral and Hand Hygiene Practices Among Adolescents in Malaysia: Findings From the National Health and Morbidity Survey 2017. Asia-Pacific J Public Heal. 2019 Nov 1;31(8_suppl):97S-104S.
- 196. Kim S-I, Jung S-H. Differences in smoking and tooth brushing habits among general high school and vocational high school students. J Korean Acad Oral Heal. 2018 Sep 1;42(3):61.
- 197. Zhu L, Petersen PE, Wang HY, Bian JY, Zhang BX. Oral health knowledge, attitudes and behaviour of children and adolescents in China. Int Dent J. 2003;53(5):289–98.
- 198. Currie C, Zanotti C, Morgan A, Currie D, de Looze M, Roberts C, et al. Social determinants of health and well-being among young people. Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study: international report from the 2009/2010 survey. Copenhagen: World Health Organisation Regional Office for Europe; 2012.
- 199. Pengpid S, Peltzer K. Hygiene behaviour and associated factors among in-school adolescents in nine African countries. Int J Behav Med. 2011 Jun 1;18(2):150–9.
- 200. Verstraeten R, Leroy JL, Pieniak Z, Ochoa-Avilès A, Holdsworth M, Verbeke W, et al. Individual and Environmental Factors Influencing Adolescents' Dietary Behavior in Low- and Middle-Income Settings. Zeeb H, editor. PLoS One. 2016 Jul 22;11(7):e0157744.

- 201. Puri S, Vasthare R, Munoli R. The impact of sibling behavior on oral health: A narrative review. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent. 2019 Mar 1;9(2):106–11.
- 202. Peltzer K, Pengpid S. Oral and hand hygiene behaviour and risk factors among inschool adolescents in four Southeast Asian countries. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2014 Mar 7;11(3):2780–92.
- 203. Soares Luís HP, Assunção VA, Soares Luís LF. Oral health habits, attitudes and behaviors of Portuguese adolescents. Int J Adolesc Med Health. 2016 Feb 1;28(1):39–43.
- 204. Levin KA, Currie C. Adolescent toothbrushing and the home environment: Sociodemographic factors, family relationships and mealtime routines and disorganisation. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2010 Feb 1;38(1):10–8.
- 205. Casanova-Rosado JF, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Minaya-Sánchez M, Medina-Solís CE, De La Rosa-Santillana R, Márquez-Corona ML, et al. Frequency of tooth brushing and associated factors in Mexican schoolchildren six to nine years of age. West Indian Med J. 2013;62(1):68–72.
- 206. Fernandez de Grado G, Ehlinger V, Godeau E, Sentenac M, Arnaud C, Nabet C, et al. Socioeconomic and behavioral determinants of tooth brushing frequency: results from the representative French 2010 HBSC cross-sectional study. J Public Health Dent. 2018 Jun 1;78(3):221–30.
- 207. Granich J, Rosenberg M, Knuiman M, Timperio A. Understanding children's sedentary behaviour: A qualitative study of the family home environment. Health Educ Res. 2010 Apr;25(2):199–210.
- 208. Tsuchiya M, Momma H, Sekiguchi T, Kuroki K, Kanazawa K, Watanabe M, et al. Excessive game playing is associated with poor toothbrushing behavior among athletic children: A cross-sectional study in Miyagi, Japan. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2017 Feb 1;241(2):131–8.
- 209. Virtanen JI, Muikku T, Similä T, Cinar AB, Pohjola V. Physical activity, BMI and oral health behaviour among adolescents: Finnish School Health Promotion Study. Eur J Public Health. 2019;29(2):296–302.

- 210. Macgregor IDM, Balding JW. Toothbrushing frequency and physical recreation in 14year-old schoolchildren. Health Educ J. 1988 Dec 27;48(2):89–91.
- 211. Santha B, Sudheer H, Saxena V, Jain M, Tiwari V. The Impact of Body Mass Index on Oral Hygiene Practices of Adolescents in Bhopal City, India. J Coll Physicians Surg Pakistan. 2016;26(2):125–9.
- Macgregor IDM. Toothbrushing efficiency in smokers and non-smokers. J Clin Periodontol. 1984;11(5):313–20.
- 213. Macgregor IDM, Balding JW, Regis D. Motivation for dental hygiene in adolescents. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2003 Nov 7;7(4):43–8.
- Mizutani S, Ekuni D, Tomofuji T, Yamane M, Azuma T, Iwasaki Y, et al. Gingival condition and tooth-brushing behavior after alcohol consumption. J Periodontal Res. 2015 Aug 1;50(4):494–9.
- 215. Robinson PG, Acquah S, Gibson B. Drug users: Oral health-related attitudes and behaviours. Br Dent J. 2005 Feb 26;198(4):219–24.
- 216. Gmel G, Rylett M, Labhart F, Shield KD, Lachenmeier DW, Rehm J. A global overview of alcohol consumption patterns. In: Alcohol: Science Policy and Public Health. Oxford University Press; 2013.
- 217. de Jong-Lenters M, Duijster D, Bruist MA, Thijssen J, de Ruiter C. The relationship between parenting, family interaction and childhood dental caries: A case-control study. Soc Sci Med. 2014 Sep 1;116:49–55.
- 218. Chen Y, Haines J, Charlton BM, VanderWeele TJ. Positive parenting improves multiple aspects of health and well-being in young adulthood. Nat Hum Behav. 2019 Jul 1;3(7):684–91.
- Dorri M, Sheiham A, Watt R. The influence of peer social networks on toothbrushing behaviour in Iranian adolescents in Mashhad. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2010 Dec 1;38(6):498–506.
- Solomon S, Knafo A. Value similarity in adolescent friendships. In: Rhodes T, editor.
 Focus on adolescent behavior research. New York: Nova Science Publishers; 2007. p.

133–55.

- 221. Anttila S, Knuuttila M, Ylostalo P, Joukamaa M. Symptoms of depression and anxiety in relation to dental health behavior and self-perceived dental treatment need. Eur J Oral Sci. 2006 Apr 1;114(2):109–14.
- 222. World Health Organisation. Oral health [Internet]. [cited 2022 Apr 30]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/oral-health
- 223. Botelho J, Machado V, Proença L, Alves R, Cavacas MA, Amaro L, et al. Study of Periodontal Health in Almada-Seixal (SoPHiAS): a cross-sectional study in the Lisbon Metropolitan Area. Sci Rep. 2019 Dec 1;9(1):1–10.
- Genco RJ. Current View of Risk Factors for Periodontal Diseases. J Periodontol. 1996 Oct;67(10s):1041–9.
- 225. Opez RL, Smith PC, G€ Ostemeyer G, Schwendicke F. Ageing, dental caries and periodontal diseases. J Clin Periodontol. 2017;44:145–52.
- 226. Wellapuli N, Ekanayake L. Risk factors for chronic periodontitis in Sri Lankan adults: A population based case-control study. BMC Res Notes. 2017 Sep 7;10(1):1–7.
- Ioannidou E. The Sex and Gender Intersection in Chronic Periodontitis. Front Public Heal. 2017 Aug 4;5(AUG):189.
- 228. Shiau HJ, Reynolds MA. Sex differences in destructive periodontal disease: a systematic review. J Periodontol. 2010 Oct;81(10):1379–89.
- De Vries GJ. Sex Steroids and Sex Chromosomes at Odds? Endocrinology. 2005 Aug;146(8):3277.
- Ober C, Loisel DA, Gilad Y. Sex-specific genetic architecture of human disease. Nat Rev Genet. 2008 Dec;9(12):911–22.
- 231. Klein SL, Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016 Aug 22;16(10):626–38.
- 232. Lipsky MS, Su S, Crespo CJ, Hung M. Men and Oral Health: A Review of Sex and Gender Differences. Am J Mens Health. 2021;15(3).

- 233. Roved J, Westerdahl H, Hasselquist D. Sex differences in immune responses: Hormonal effects, antagonistic selection, and evolutionary consequences. Horm Behav. 2017 Feb 1;88:95–105.
- 234. Boillot A, Halabi B, da Batty G, Rangé H, Czernichow S, Bouchard P. Education as a predictor of chronic periodontitis: A systematic review with Meta-Analysis Population-Based studies. PLoS One. 2011;6(7).
- 235. Irie K, Yamazaki T, Yoshii S, Takeyama H, Shimazaki Y. Is there an occupational status gradient in the development of periodontal disease in Japanese workers? A 5year prospective cohort study. J Epidemiol. 2017 Feb;27(2):69–74.
- 236. Ahn J, Kim NS, Lee BK, Park J, Kim Y. Relationship of Occupational Category With Risk of Physical and Mental Health Problems. Saf Health Work. 2019 Dec 1;10(4):504–11.
- Aday LA, Forthofer RN. A profile of black and Hispanic subgroups' access to dental care: findings from the National Health Interview Survey. J Public Health Dent. 1992;52(4):210–5.
- 238. Park J, Yangho KIM. Factors related to psychological well-being in unskilled manual workers. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2021;34(6):789–804.
- 239. Dėdelė A, Miškinytė A, Andrušaitytė S, Bartkutė Ž. Perceived stress among different occupational groups and the interaction with sedentary behaviour. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Dec 1;16(23).
- 240. Sun W, Yu Y, Yuan J, Li C, Liu T, Lin D, et al. Sleep Duration and Quality among Different Occupations--China National Study. PLoS One. 2015 Mar 17;10(3):117700.
- 241. Morikawa Y, Tabata M, Kido T, Koyama Y. Occupational Class Inequalities in Behavioral and Biological Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease among Workers in Medium-and Small-scale Enterprises. Ind Health. 2012;50:529–39.
- 242. Abegg C, Croucher R, Marcenes WS, Sheiham A. How do routines of daily activities and flexibility of daily activities affect tooth-cleaning behavior? J Public Health Dent. 2000;60(3):154–8.

- 243. Lertpimonchai A, Rattanasiri S, Arj-Ong Vallibhakara S, Attia J, Thakkinstian A. The association between oral hygiene and periodontitis: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Int Dent J. 2017 Dec 1;67(6):332–43.
- 244. Sreedevi M, Ramesh A, Dwarakanath C. Periodontal status in smokers and nonsmokers: A clinical, microbiological, and histopathological study. Int J Dent. 2012;2012:571590.
- 245. Hyman JJ, Reid BC. Epidemiologic risk factors for periodontal attachment loss among adults in the United States. J Clin Periodontol. 2003 Mar 1;30(3):230–7.
- 246. Jiang Y, Zhou X, Cheng L, Li M. The Impact of Smoking on Subgingival Microflora: From Periodontal Health to Disease. Front Microbiol. 2020 Jan 29;11.
- 247. Gamal AY, Bayomy MM, Garnick JJ. Effect of cigarette smoking on human PDL fibroblasts attachment to periodontally involved root surfaces in vitro. J Clin Periodontol. 2002 Aug;29(8):763–70.
- 248. Tipton DA, Dabbous MK. Effects of Nicotine on Proliferation and Extracellular Matrix Production of Human Gingival Fibroblasts In Vitro. J Periodontol. 1995 Dec 1;66(12):1056–64.
- 249. Henemyre CL, K. Scales D, Hokett SD, Cuenin MF, Peacock ME, Parker MH, et al. Nicotine Stimulates Osteoclast Resorption in a Porcine Marrow Cell Model. J Periodontol. 2003 Oct 1;74(10):1440–6.
- 250. Rosa GM, Lucas GQ, Lucas ON. Cigarette Smoking and Alveolar Bone in Young Adults: A Study Using Digitized Radiographs. J Periodontol. 2008 Feb 1;79(2):232–44.
- 251. Torrungruang K, Gongsakdi V, Laohaviraphab L, Likittanasombat K, Ratanachaiwong W. Association between cigarette smoking and the intraoral distribution of periodontal disease in Thai men over 50 years of age. J Investig Clin Dent. 2012 May 1;3(2):135–41.
- 252. Campos JR, Martins CC, Faria SFS, Carvalho AP, Pereira AG, Costa FO, et al. Association between components of metabolic syndrome and periodontitis: a

systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Oral Investig. 2022 Jun 18;1:1–18.

- 253. Shimazaki Y, Saito T, Yonemoto K, Kiyohara Y, Iida M, Yamashita Y. Relationship of metabolic syndrome to periodontal disease in Japanese women: The Hisayama study. J Dent Res. 2007 Mar;86(3):271–5.
- 254. Sanz M, Ceriello A, Buysschaert M, Chapple I, Demmer RT, Graziani F, et al. Scientific evidence on the links between periodontal diseases and diabetes: Consensus report and guidelines of the joint workshop on periodontal diseases and diabetes by the International Diabetes Federation and the European Federation of Periodontology. J Clin Periodontol. 2018 Feb 1;45(2):138–49.
- 255. Taylor JJ, Preshaw PM, Lalla E. A review of the evidence for pathogenic mechanisms that may link periodontitis and diabetes. J Clin Periodontol. 2013 Apr;40(14):S113–34.
- Lamster IB, Pagan M. Periodontal disease and the metabolic syndrome. Int Dent J. 2017 Apr 1;67(2):67–77.
- 257. Shetty N, Thomas B, Ramesh A. Comparison of neutrophil functions in diabetic and healthy subjects with chronic generalized periodontitis. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2008;12(2):41.
- 258. LaMonte MJ, Williams AM, Genco RJ, Andrews CA, Hovey KM, Millen AE, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease measures in postmenopausal women: the Buffalo OsteoPerio study. J Periodontol. 2014 Nov;85(11):1489–501.
- 259. Zuk A, Quiñonez C, Lebenbaum M, Rosella LC. The association between undiagnosed glycaemic abnormalities and cardiometabolic risk factors with periodontitis: results from 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey. J Clin Periodontol. 2017 Feb;44(2):132–41.
- 260. Iwasaki M, Sato M, Minagawa K, Manz MC, Yoshihara A, Miyazaki H. Longitudinal Relationship Between Metabolic Syndrome and Periodontal Disease Among Japanese Adults Aged ≥70 Years: The Niigata Study. J Periodontol. 2015 Apr;86(4):491–8.
- 261. Alhabashneh R, Khader Y, Herra Z, Assad F. The association between periodontal

disease and metabolic syndrome among outpatients with diabetes in Jordan. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2015 Aug 16;14(1).

- 262. Benguigui C, Bongard V, Ruidavets JB, Chamontin B, Sixou M, Ferrières J, et al. Metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, and periodontitis: a cross-sectional study in a middle-aged French population. J Clin Periodontol. 2010 Jul 1;37(7):601–8.
- 263. Timonen P, Niskanen M, Suominen-Taipale L, Jula A, Knuuttila M, Ylöstalo P. Metabolic syndrome, periodontal infection, and dental caries. J Dent Res. 2010 Oct;89(10):1068–73.
- 264. Nascimento GG, Leite FRM, Peres KG, Demarco FF, Correa MB, Peres MA. Metabolic syndrome and periodontitis: A structural equation modeling approach. J Periodontol. 2019 Jun;90(6):655–62.
- Duangthip D, Chu CH. Challenges in Oral Hygiene and Oral Health Policy. Front Oral Heal. 2020 Oct 7;1:575428.
- 266. Zimmermann H, Zimmermann N, Hagenfeld D, Veile A, Kim T-S, Becher H. Is frequency of tooth brushing a risk factor for periodontitis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2015 Apr;43(2):116–27.
- 267. Bourgeois D, Bravo M, Llodra JC, Inquimbert C, Viennot S, Dussart C, et al. Calibrated interdental brushing for the prevention of periodontal pathogens infection in young adults - a randomized controlled clinical trial. Sci Rep. 2019 Dec 1;9(1).
- 268. Kim SW, Cho KH, Han K Do, Roh YK, Song IS, Kim YH. Tooth loss and metabolic syndrome in South Korea: The 2012 Korean national health and nutrition examination survey. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95(16).
- 269. Leite FRM, Nascimento GG. The relationship between periodontal diseases and chronic diseases. In: Peres MA, Antunes JLF, Watt RG, editors. Oral Epidemiology -A Textbook on Oral Health Conditions, Research Topics and Methods. 2021. p. 379– 93.
- Iacopino AM. Periodontitis and diabetes interrelationships: role of inflammation. Ann Periodontol. 2001;6(1):125–37.

- 271. Nishimura F, Iwamoto Y, Mineshiba J, Shimizu A, Soga Y, Murayama Y. Periodontal Disease and Diabetes Mellitus: The Role of Tumor Necrosis Factor-α in a 2-Way Relationship. J Periodontol. 2003 Jan;74(1):97–102.
- 272. Cieślak M, Wojtczak A, Cieślak M. Role of pro-inflammatory cytokines of pancreatic islets and prospects of elaboration of new methods for the diabetes treatment. Acta Biochim Pol. 2015;62(1):15–21.
- 273. Grover H, Luthra S. Molecular mechanisms involved in the bidirectional relationship between diabetes mellitus and periodontal disease. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2013 May;17(3):292–301.
- 274. Watanabe K, Katagiri S, Takahashi H, Sasaki N, Maekawa S, Komazaki R, et al. Porphyromonas gingivalis impairs glucose uptake in skeletal muscle associated with altering gut microbiota. FASEB J. 2020 Feb 1;35(2):e21171.
- 275. Yeung CA. Gums and heart disease: Healthy gums, healthy heart? BMJ. 2010 Jul 17;341(7764):113.
- 276. Franchini R, Petri A, Migliario M, Rimondini L. Poor oral hygiene and gingivitis are associated with obesity and overweight status in paediatric subjects. J Clin Periodontol. 2011 Nov 1;38(11):1021–8.
- 277. Baeza M, Morales A, Cisterna C, Cavalla F, Jara G, Isamitt Y, et al. Effect of periodontal treatment in patients with periodontitis and diabetes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Appl Oral Sci. 2020;28.
- 278. Haidich AB. Meta-analysis in medical research. Hippokratia. 2010;14(Suppl 1):29.
- 279. Santarelli A, Wong DTW, Lo Muzio L. Editorial: Saliva and Oral Microbiota: From Physiology to Diagnostic and Therapeutic Implications. Front Physiol. 2021 Jan 13;11:637599.
- 280. Hausen H. Fluoride toothpaste prevents caries. Evid Based Dent. 2003 Jun 28;4(2):28–28.
- 281. Banyai D, Vegh A, Biczo Z, Barone MTU, Hegedus T, Vegh D. Oral Health Knowledge and Habits of People With Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes. Int Dent J. 2021

Sep 8;

- 282. Sun K, Liu J, Ning G. Active Smoking and Risk of Metabolic Syndrome: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. PLoS One. 2012 Oct 17;7(10):e47791.
- 283. Khan Z, Dreger S, Shah SMH, Pohlabeln H, Khan S, Ullah Z, et al. Oral cancer via the bargain bin: The risk of oral cancer associated with a smokeless tobacco product (Naswar). PLoS One. 2017 Jul 1;12(7):e0180445.
- 284. Sterne JAC, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JPA, Terrin N, Jones DR, Lau J, et al. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in metaanalyses of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2011 Aug 6;343(7818).

Author's declaration

This thesis is based on the following publications:

- Santoso CMA, Bramantoro T, Nguyen MC, Nagy A. "Lifestyle and psychosocial correlates of oral hygiene practice among Indonesian adolescents". *European Journal of Oral Sciences*. 2021, 129: e12755.
- Santoso CMA, Bramantoro T, Kardos L, Szakács DF, Nagy A. "Metabolic Syndrome and Periodontitis among Adults: The 2018 Indonesia National Health Survey". *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*. 2022
- Santoso CMA, Ketti F, Bramantoro T, Zsuga J, Nagy A. "Association between Oral Hygiene and Metabolic Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis". *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2021, 10(13): 2873.

Acknowledgments

First, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Attila Nagy, who has given me tremendous guidance and support during my study.

My heartfelt gratitude also extends to my colleagues and co-authors, especially Dr Taufan Bramantoro, for their invaluable help and contributions over the years.

I would like to acknowledge Tempus Public Foundation for giving me the opportunity to pursue a PhD study. I thank the NIHRD, Ministry of Health, Indonesia, for the permission to use the Riskesdas data, the WHO, the CDC, Indonesia GSHS country coordinator and survey officers for the collection and synthesis of the GSHS data, and all study participants.

Last but not least, I am grateful to my family and friends who always love and encourage me, without whom this journey would not have been possible.

Funding

This study received Tempus Public Foundation funding under the Stipendium Hungaricum Scholarship Program. Additional funding was from the European Union, cofinanced by the European Social Fund and European Regional Development Fund (Grant No. EFOP-3.6.1-16-2016-00022 "Debrecen Venture Catapult Program"). Project No. TKP2020-NKA-04 has

been implemented with the support provided by the National Research, Development, and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the 2020-4.1.1-TKP2020 funding scheme.

Appendix

Appendix Table 1. List of the GSHS	variables used i	in this study
------------------------------------	------------------	---------------

Variables	Questions	Categories
Oral hygiene practice		
Tooth-brushing frequency	During the past 30 days, how many times per day did you usually brush your teeth?	$1 = \ge 2$ times/day 0 = <2 times/day
Demographics		
Age	How old are you?	$\leq 11 - \geq 18$ year old
Sex	What is your sex?	1 = male, 0 = female
Hunger status (proxy for SES)	During the past 30 days, how often did you go hungry because there was not enough food in your home?	1 = mostly/always 0 = never/rarely/sometimes
Emite	During the past 20 days how many	1 - 2 times/day
Fluits	times per day did you usually eat fruit, such as pineapples, bananas, oranges, or watermelons?	$0 = \langle 2 \text{ times/day} \rangle$
Vegetables	During the past 30 days, how many times per day did you usually eat vegetables, such as carrots, cabbage, or spinach?	$1 = \ge 3$ times/day 0 = <3 times/day
Soft drinks	During the past 30 days, how many times per day did you usually drink carbonated soft drinks?	1 = <1 time/day $0 = \ge 1$ time/day
Fast food	During the past 7 days, on how many days did you eat food from a fast food restaurant?	1 = <3 d/week $0 = \ge 3 \text{ d/week}$
Physical activity	During the past 7 days, on how many days were you physically active for a total of at least 60 minutes per day?	1 = 7 days $0 = <7 days$
Sedentary behaviour	How much time do you spend during a typical or usual day sitting and watching television, playing computer games, talking with friends, or doing other sitting activities such as playing PlayStation?	$1 = \ge 3-4$ hours/day 0 = <3 hour/day
Nutritional status (BMI):	-	
Height	How tall are you without your shoes	used for BMI calculation
Weight	on? How much do you weigh without your shoes on?	used for BMI calculation
Current smoking	During the past 30 days, on how many days did you smoke cigarettes?	$1 = \ge 1 \text{ day}$ $0 = 0 \text{ day}$
Current alcohol use	During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have at least one drink	$1 = \ge 1 \text{ day}$ $0 = 0 \text{ day}$

Variables	Questions	Categories
	containing alcohol?	
Drug use	During your life, how many times have you used marijuana?	$1 = \ge 1$ times 0 = 0 times
Psychological Distress ^b : create	ed by adding the results of the coding below	
Close friends	How many close friends do you have?	1=0 friends $0=\geq 1$ friends
Loneliness	During the past 12 months, how often have you felt lonely?	1 = mostly/always 0 = never/rarely/sometimes
Anxiety	During the past 12 months, how often have you been so worried about something that you could not sleep at night?	1 = mostly/always 0 = never/rarely/sometimes
Suicidal ideation	During the past 12 months, did you ever seriously consider attempting suicide?	1 = yes 0 = no
Suicidal attempt	During the past 12 months, how many times did you actually attempt suicide?	$1 = \ge 1$ times 0 = 0 time
Peer support Parental Support ^c : created by	During the past 30 days, how often were most of the students in your school kind and helpful? adding the results of the coding below	1 = mostly/always 0 = never/rarely/sometimes
Parental/guardian supervision	During the past 30 days, how often did your parents or guardians check to see if your homework was done?	1 = mostly/always 0 = never/rarely/sometimes
Parental/guardian connectedness	During the past 30 days, how often did your parents or guardians understand your problems and worries?	1 = mostly/always 0 = never/rarely/sometimes
Parental/guardian's knowledge of their free time	During the past 30 days, how often did your parents or guardians really know what you were doing with your free time?	1 = mostly/always 0 = never/rarely/sometimes

GSHS = Global School-based Health Survey; SES = socioeconomic status; BMI = body mass index. ^aThe scores were summed and categorised based on the median value into unhealthy (score 0-2) and healthy (score 3-4) dietary practice.

^bThe scores were summed and categorised based on the median value into no (score 0) and yes (score 1-5).

^cThe scores were summed and categorised based on the median value into no (score 0) and yes (score 1-3).

Appendix Table 2. E-values for observed associations of MetS and its components with the number of teeth with BOP, the number of teeth with PD, and the number of sextants with CAL

	Number of teeth with BOP RR (95% CI)	Number of teeth with PD ≥4 mm RR (95% CI)	Number of sextants with CAL ≥4 mm RR (95% CI)
	N = 13,356	N = 13,273	N = 13,000
MetS			
Observed association	1.02 (0.98-1.07)	1.08 (0.99-1.18)	1.05 (0.99-1.13)
E-value for point estimate	1.16	1.37	1.28
E-value for CI	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hyperglycaemia			
Observed association	1.06 (1.01-1.11)	1.13 (1.03-1.23)	1.15 (1.08-1.23)
E-value for point estimate	1.31	1.51	1.57
E-value for CI	1.11	1.21	1.37
Abdominal obesity			
Observed association	0.96 (0.91-1.00)	0.91 (0.83-1.00)	0.94 (0.88-1.01)
E-value for point estimate	1.25	1.43	1.32
E-value for CI	1.00	1.00	1.00
Elevated TG			
Observed association	1.02 (0.98-1.07)	1.07 (0.98-1.16)	1.04 (0.97-1.11)
E-value for point estimate	1.16	1.34	1.24
E-value for CI	1.00	1.00	1.00
Reduced HDL-C			
Observed association	1.02 (0.98-1.07)	1.05 (0.97-1.14)	0.99 (0.93-1.06)
E-value for point estimate	1.16	1.28	1.11
E-value for CI	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hypertension			
Observed association	0.99 (0.95-1.04)	1.05 (0.96-1.14)	1.04 (0.97-1.11)
E-value for point estimate	1.11	1.28	1.24
E-value for CI	1.00	1.00	1.00

RR = rate ratio; CI = confidence interval; BOP = bleeding on probing; PD = pocket depth; CAL = clinical attachment loss; MetS = metabolic syndrome; TG = triglycerides; HDL-C = high density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Appendix Table 3. Database search strategy

Database		Search strategy	
PubMed		("oral hygiene"[Mesh] OR "toothbrushing"[Mesh] OR "Dental Devices, Home Care"[Mesh] OR "oral hygiene" OR "tooth brush*" OR "toothbrushing" OR "interdental cleaning" OR "interdental brush*" OR floss* OR "dental visit" OR "dental attendance" OR "dental deposits"[Mesh] OR "dental plaque"[Mesh] OR "dental deposit*" OR "dental plaque" OR "oral plaque" OR "Dental plaque index"[MeSH] OR "Oral hygiene index"[MeSH] OR "plaque score" OR "oral hygiene index" OR "plaque index" OR "oral health"[Mesh] OR "mouth diseases"[Mesh] OR "oral health behavior" OR "dental health behavior" OR "oral hygiene practice") AND ("metabolic syndrome"[Mesh] OR "metabolic syndrome" OR "metabolic syndrome X" OR "Metabolic X Syndrome X" OR "dysmetabolic syndrome" OR "Insulin Resistance Syndrome X" OR "Syndrome X" OR "insulin resistance syndrome" OR "plurimetabolic syndrome" OR	271
Web of Science	1	ALL FIELDS: ("oral hygiene" OR "tooth brush*" OR "toothbrushing" OR "interdental cleaning" OR "interdental brush*" OR floss* OR "dental visit" OR "dental attendance" OR "dental deposit*" OR "dental plaque" OR "oral plaque" OR "plaque score" OR "oral hygiene index" OR "plaque index" OR "oral health behavior" OR "dental health behavior" OR "oral hygiene practice") OR TOPIC: ("oral health" OR "mouth disease*") Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, BKCI-S, BKCI-SSH, ESCI, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan=All vears	59,826
	2	ALL FIELDS: ("metabolic syndrome" OR "metabolic syndrome X" OR "Metabolic X Syndrome" OR "Cardiometabolic Syndrome" OR "Dysmetabolic Syndrome X" OR "dysmetabolic syndrome" OR "Insulin Resistance Syndrome X" OR "Metabolic Cardiovascular Syndrome" OR "Reaven Syndrome X" OR "Syndrome X" OR "insulin resistance syndrome" OR "plurimetabolic syndrome") Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, BKCI-S, BKCI-SSH, ESCI, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan=All vears	109,997
	3	#1 AND #2 Refined by: LANGUAGES: (ENGLISH) Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, BKCI-S, BKCI-SSH, ESCI, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan=All years	233

Note: The date of the last search was March 17, 2021.

Study	Study design	Selection	Comparability	Outcome /	Total
				Exposure	score
Fukui et al, 2012 (184)	Cross-sectional	1	2	2	5 / 8
Kim et al, 2013 (183)	Cross-sectional	2	2	2	6/8
Tsutsumi and Kakuma, 2015 (182)	Cross-sectional	0	2	2	4 / 8
Kim et al, 2019 (185)	Cross-sectional	2	2	2	6/8
Saito et al, 2019 (186)	Cross-sectional	1	2	2	5 / 8
Shearer et al, 2018 (158)	Cross-sectional	3	2	2	7 / 8
Chen et al, 2011 (187)	Cross-sectional	1	2	2	5 / 8
Kobayashi et al, 2012 (188)	Cohort	2	2	3	7/9
Tanaka et al, 2018 (108)	Cohort	2	2	3	7/9
Pussinen et al, 2020 (157)	Cohort	3	2	3	8 / 9
Pham, 2018 (189)	Case-control	3	1	1	5/9
Li et al, 2009 (190)	Case-control	3	2	2	7/9
Li et al, 2020 (191)	Case-control	3	2	2	7/9

Appendix Table 4. Quality assessment of the 13 included studies

Note: The quality of the included studies was assessed using Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for crosssectional, case-control, and cohort studies, as applicable. The maximum score for cross-sectional studies was 8 points (4 for selection, 2 for comparability, and 2 for outcome). The maximum score for case-control studies was 9 points (4 for selection, 2 for comparability, and 3 for exposure). The maximum score for cohort studies was 9 points (4 for selection, 2 for comparability, and 3 for outcome) (174,175).

Publication List



UNIVERSITY AND NATIONAL LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN H-4002 Egyetem tér 1, Debrecen Phone: +3652/410-443, email: publikaciok@lib.unideb.hu

Registry number: Subject: DEENK/407/2022.PL PhD Publication List

Candidate: Cornelia Melinda Adi Santoso Doctoral School: Doctoral School of Health Sciences

List of publications related to the dissertation

 Santoso, C. M. A., Bramantoro, T., Kardos, L., Szakács, D. F., Nagy, A. C.: Metabolic syndrome and periodontitis among adults: the 2018 Indonesia National Health Survey. *J. Clin. Periodontol.* 49 (6), 562-572, 2022. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.13622 IF: 7.478 (2021)

 Santoso, C. M. A., Ketti, F., Bramantoro, T., Zsuga, J., Nagy, A. C.: Association between Oral Hygiene and Metabolic Syndrome: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin Med.* 10 (13), 1-16, 2021. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm10132873 IF: 4.964

 Santoso, C. M. A., Bramantoro, T., Nguyen, M. C., Nagy, A. C.: Lifestyle and psychosocial correlates of oral hygiene practice among Indonesian adolescents. *Eur. J. Oral. Sci.* 129 (1), 1-10, 2021. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/eos.12755 IF: 2.16





UNIVERSITY AND NATIONAL LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN H-4002 Egyetem tér 1, Debrecen Phone: +3652/410-443, email: publikaciok@lib.unideb.hu

List of other publications

 Nguyen, C. M., Santoso, C. M. A., Vu, D. T. H., Szőllősi, G. J., Bata, R., Zsuga, J., Nagy, A. C.: Awareness Related to Cardiometabolic Diseases: a Cross-Sectional Study in Southern Vietnam. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 18* (19), 10209-, 2021.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph181910209

 Bramantoro, T., Santoso, C. M. A., Hariyani, N., Setyowati, D., Zulfiana, A. A., Nor, N. A. M., Nagy, A. C., Pratamawari, D. N. P., Irmalia, W. R.: Effectiveness of the school-based oral health promotion programmes from preschool to high school: a systematic review. *PLoS One. 16* (8), 1-16, 2021.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256007 IF: 3.752

 Santoso, C. M. A., Bramantoro, T., Nguyen, M. C., Bagoly, Z., Nagy, A. C.: Factors Affecting Dental Service Utilisation in Indonesia: a Population-Based Multilevel Analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 17 (15), 1-11, 2020. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17155282 IF: 3.39

Total IF of journals (all publications): 26,358 Total IF of journals (publications related to the dissertation): 14,602

The Candidate's publication data submitted to the iDEa Tudóstér have been validated by DEENK on the basis of the Journal Citation Report (Impact Factor) database.

31 August, 2022

