

The Relationship between Hormones, Glucose and Oxidative Damage is Condition- and Stress-dependent in a Free-living Passerine Bird

Running page head: Connection between physiological systems

**Csongor I. Vágási^{1,2,*,*†}, Zsófia Tóth², Janka Péntzes¹, Péter L. Pap^{1,2}, Jenny Q. Ouyang³,
Ádám Z. Lendvai^{2,*,*†}**

¹Evolutionary Ecology Group, Hungarian Department of Biology and Ecology, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania; ²Department of Evolutionary Zoology and Human Biology, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary; ³Department of Biology, University of Nevada, Reno, Reno, NV, USA

*Corresponding author; email: csvagasi@gmail.com (CIV), az.lendvai@gmail.com (ÁZL)

†These authors have contributed equally

What is already known

Pair-wise relationships between physiological systems have been studied. Corticosterone can regulate glucose metabolism and, when chronically elevated, can cause oxidative stress. Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) is also known to regulate physiological state in model organisms.

What this study adds

Here we extend these pairwise comparisons by studying how multiple components of the ‘physiological network’ are related to each other at both undisturbed and stress-induced conditions using path analysis. Besides corticosterone, glucose and oxidative stress, we also assess the role of IGF-1 in this network of physiological systems. IGF-1 is an understudied hormone in free-living organisms, and no comparable study has been performed on its relationship with other physiological systems in any wild animal species.

ABSTRACT

Physiological state is an emergent property of the interactions among physiological systems within an intricate network. Understanding the connections within this network is one of the goals in physiological ecology. Here, we studied the relationship between body condition, two neuroendocrine hormones (corticosterone and insulin-like growth factor 1, IGF-1) as physiological regulators, and two physiological systems related to resource metabolism (glucose) and oxidative balance (malondialdehyde, MDA). We measured these traits under baseline and stress-induced conditions in free-living house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*). We used path analysis to analyze different scenarios about the structure of the physiological network. Our data were most consistent with a model in which corticosterone was the major regulator under baseline conditions. This model shows that individuals in better condition have lower corticosterone levels, corticosterone and IGF-1 levels are positively associated, and oxidative damage is higher when levels of corticosterone, IGF-1 and glucose are elevated. After exposure to acute stress, these relationships were considerably reorganized. In response to acute stress, birds increased their corticosterone and glucose levels, and decreased their IGF-1 levels. However, individuals in better condition increased their corticosterone levels more and better maintained their IGF-1 levels in response to acute stress. The acute stress-induced changes in corticosterone and IGF-1 levels were associated with an increase in glucose levels, which in turn was associated with a decrease in oxidative damage. We urge eco-physiologists to focus more on physiological networks, as the relationships between physiological traits are complex and dynamic during the organismal stress response.

Keywords: glucocorticoid, house sparrow, IGF-1, oxidative stress, restraint, phenotypic integration, somatotrophic axis.

Introduction

Animals possess adaptations that permit phenotypic adjustments to the prevailing and changing environmental conditions. Physiological traits are key in this flexible adjustment because they readily react to changes in extrinsic and intrinsic conditions and also have various downstream effects on other physiological traits, gene expression, behavior and performance (Ricklefs and Wikelski 2002; Cohen et al. 2012). Animal physiology is composed of different systems that do not work in isolation but form an integrated *physiological regulatory network* (sensu Cohen et al. 2012). Hormones play a key regulatory role (called ‘integrators’; Martin et al. 2011; Cohen et al. 2012) in this physiological network because, through their pleiotropic effects, they can influence multiple physiological subnetworks, such as energy metabolism, oxidative balance, and the immune system (Cohen et al. 2012). Studying the relationships between these physiological systems in different contexts may therefore reveal which components are activated when organisms try to maintain homeostasis in face of changing environmental conditions (Ouyang et al. 2016). The interaction between physiological systems ultimately lead to an integrated phenotype that will be the target of natural selection. Indeed, phenotypic integration, the study of complex patterns of covariation, will aid in understanding phenotypic evolution (Pigliucci 2003; Cox et al. 2016).

One of the traits that is kept under tight homeostatic control is glucose. Glucose is one of the main sources of energy in many organisms, yet it is also a putative causative agent of oxidative stress, which has detrimental effects on cellular function (Braun and Sweazea 2008). Several neuroendocrine hormones regulate glucose levels and they may have both direct and indirect effects on oxidative balance that affect cellular integrity. Birds are one of the most compelling candidates to assess these associations because, despite their high blood glucose levels, birds have lower oxidative stress levels and are longer-lived than size-matched

mammals (coined the ‘bird paradox’; Costantini 2008). This paradox suggests that birds probably possess physiological adaptations to circumvent the damaging effects of hyperglycemia (Braun and Sweazea 2008).

Glucocorticoids (corticosterone in birds) and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) are the endpoints of two separate neuroendocrine signaling pathways that play key regulatory roles in both glucose metabolism and life-history decisions (Dantzer and Swanson 2012; Hau et al. 2016). Corticosterone is produced by the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis; it orchestrates a wide range of physiological and behavioral traits both under undisturbed (baseline) conditions and in face of stressful stimuli (stress-induced; Landys et al. 2006; Hau et al. 2016). Baseline levels of corticosterone maintain body mass and energy balance (including plasma glucose concentrations) as needed by predictable diel and seasonal rhythms (Landys et al. 2006; Hau et al. 2016). Moderate elevation of baseline corticosterone titers can enhance innate immune system activity or lower oxidative stress (Vágási et al. 2018). Elevated baseline corticosterone levels may also reflect recent chronic stress history, which are expected to co-vary with impaired physiological state (e.g. higher oxidative stress). Acute stress-induced increase in corticosterone concentrations promotes immediate survival by shutting down resource-consumption processes, such as reproduction or immune system activity, while stimulating processes that increase energy availability, e.g. mobilizing glucose from reserves (Sapolsky et al. 2000; Remage-Healey and Romero 2001; Romero 2004; Landys et al. 2006; Hau et al. 2016). High levels of corticosterone induced by acute stress may also cause oxidative stress, even after a short-term (e.g. 30 min) exposure to acute stressors (Costantini et al. 2007, 2011; Spiers et al. 2015; Marasco et al. 2017), but our understanding on how acute stress exposure affects oxidative damage is still scarce (for reactive oxygen metabolites, a marker of oxidative damage to lipids, see Costantini et al. 2007; Stier et al. 2019). Finally, the difference between baseline and acute stress-induced

corticosterone levels (termed *stress reactivity*) shows considerable individual variation (Vitousek et al. 2014) and may be adaptively modulated according to life-history stage (Wingfield and Sapolsky 2003; Lendvai et al. 2007; Lendvai and Chastel 2008). However, our knowledge on how stress reactivity affects physiological state is limited.

IGF-1 in vertebrates is part of the evolutionarily conserved nutrient-sensing insulin/IGF-1 signaling (IIS) pathway (Flatt and Heyland 2011). When nutrients are plentiful, the hypothalamic–pituitary–somatotrophic axis increases the production of IGF-1, which stimulates cell proliferation and reproduction (Kenyon 2011), regulates glucose homeostasis (Clemmons 2004), whilst shutting down self-maintenance functions. Under poor nutrition or otherwise stressful conditions, IGF-1 signaling is reduced, which results in better stress resistance and extended lifespan in various model organisms (Kenyon 2010; Junnila et al. 2013; Tóth et al. 2018). The beneficial effects of reduced IGF-1 signaling are partly due to an increased resistance to oxidative stress, which would otherwise cause damage to cell components, and thus trigger dysfunction and aging (Heck et al. 1999; Holzenberger et al. 2003; reviewed by Carter et al. 2002; Tatar et al. 2003; Bartke 2008; Kenyon 2010; Dantzer and Swanson 2012; Junnila et al. 2013). However, how IGF-1 fits into the physiological regulatory network (i.e. how it is related to corticosterone, glucose and oxidative stress) has rarely been studied in wild animals. The only exception we are aware of is a study on pied flycatchers (*Ficedula albicollis*) in which nestlings responded to daily injection with IGF-1 by up-regulating the activity of glutathione-peroxidase, an antioxidant enzyme (Lodjak and Mägi 2017). However, inferring oxidative stress state from measuring only antioxidants is not straightforward, because increased antioxidant levels might either reflect lack of oxidative stress or an up-regulated antioxidant system in face of oxidative stress (Monaghan et al. 2009). A good indicator of the degree of oxidative stress experienced by the organism is the

amount of oxidative damage. However, it is still unknown whether circulating IGF-1 levels are related to oxidative damage in free-living animals.

We know little about the integrated physiological response to acute stress including different hormonal integrators, energy availability, and oxidative state. In this study, we measured two hormones (corticosterone and IGF-1) that operate as physiological integrators, combined with glucose and oxidative damage to lipids (measured by malondialdehyde, MDA) that belong to two different physiological subnetworks (resource metabolism and oxidative state, respectively). MDA is a reactive carbonyl compound that can induce mitochondrial dysfunction and can damage cellular macromolecules by forming adducts (reviewed in Vágási et al. 2019). Therefore, MDA is a marker highly relevant for fitness; indeed, it can indicate the costs of growth and reproduction (Metcalf and Alonso-Alvarez 2010; Blount et al. 2016) and it can co-vary with longevity across birds (Vágási et al. 2019). These traits are condition-dependent (von Schantz et al. 1999; Braun and Sweazea 2008; Bonier et al. 2009; Taylor et al. 2012) and are affected by acute stress (see above), but the relationships between these physiological systems and how these relationships are affected by acute stress remain virtually unknown in any free-living animal. Using an approach that emphasizes competition among different complex models as opposed to null hypothesis testing, we use phenotypic integration to study the following specific questions: (1) How do these physiological traits relate to each other and to body condition under undisturbed baseline conditions? (2) Do the relationships among the physiological traits seen at baseline remain unchanged or rearrange after exposure to a standardized acute stressor? (3) Does the acute stress-induced change in physiological traits depend on stress reactivity (i.e. magnitude of change in corticosterone during a standardized restraint stress)? Using path analysis, we tested four possible scenarios with different levels of hierarchical organization at baseline and in response to a standardized capture and restraint. Furthermore, we investigated whether the covariance among

physiological traits found at the baseline level is reorganized due to acute stress and if it is related to stress reactivity.

Methods

Field Methods

We captured 67 (31 females and 36 males) breeding house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*) at a cattle farm near Bălcaciu village, central Transylvania, Romania (46°11'28"N, 24°3'41"E) on 26th and 27th May 2015. Each sparrow was sampled two times. The first sample (baseline) was taken as soon as possible after the bird hit the net; each baseline sample was taken within 3 min (mean \pm SE handling time: 105 \pm 4 sec). Handling time was unrelated to the baseline corticosterone levels (Pearson correlation, $r = 0.289$, $P = 0.136$), or to any other physiological trait measured from baseline samples (all P -values between 0.482 and 0.742). Therefore, these samples drawn within 3 min are considered as representative of baseline levels (Romero and Reed 2005). Each bird was then restrained in a cloth bag and sampled once again after 30 min (acute stress-induced sample), when corticosterone levels are known to be at their peak in this species (Romero et al. 2006). Blood samples (~100 μ L) were taken into heparinized capillaries by brachial venipuncture. Samples were stored in Eppendorf tubes in a cooled (~4 °C) dark box until transported to the laboratory in less than 8 h, where they were centrifuged at 2500g for 5 min to separate the plasma and erythrocyte fractions. Plasma was frozen at -20 °C until subsequent biochemical assays (see below). Glucose was measured in situ by a drop of whole blood filled into portable glucometer (Easy Touch GU, Wellmed). The instrument has a reported accuracy of 3.2–5.0 % CV and the measurements were validated by analyzing samples spiked with a known concentration of glucose and also serial dilution of plasma samples. The obtained measurements were in high agreement with the expected values ($R^2 = 0.99$, $P < 0.001$). After collecting the stress-induced sample, we measured the body

mass of the birds (Pesola spring balance, ± 0.1 g), ringed them with a unique metal ring and released them. None of the birds showed any signs of weakness or blood clotting when released. All applicable national and institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. Sampling was approved by the Romanian Academy of Sciences (permit no. 2257) and the study complied with the laws of Romania.

Biochemical Assays

Plasma corticosterone concentrations were measured by direct radioimmunoassay (RIA) following extraction using 3 mL of diethyl-ether (Lendvai et al. 2011). The extraction was reconstituted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). We used a commercial antiserum, raised in rabbits against corticosterone-3-(O-carboxymethyl) oxime bovine serum albumin conjugate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; product number: C8784). The reconstituted extracts were incubated for 48 h at 4 °C with 100 μ L of [3H]corticosterone (Perkin Elmer, product number: NET399250UC) and antiserum. The total volume of the assay was 1 mL. The radioactively labelled corticosterone had an activity of cca. 10K dpm. Bound and free corticosterone were separated by adding 100 μ L dextran-coated charcoal (separation suspension: 10 g charcoal, 1 g dextran, 0.2 g commercial fat-free milk powder in 100 mL distilled water). After centrifugation, 800 μ L of the bound fraction was added to 6 mL of scintillation cocktail (Optima Gold, Perkin Elmer) and counted in a liquid scintillation counter (Tri-carb 2800TR, Perkin Elmer). The minimum detectable level of corticosterone was 3.70 pg/tube and none of the samples fell below this limit. All samples were run in a single assay (intra-assay CV: 4.2%).

Plasma IGF-1 levels were measured in duplicates by a commercial ELISA kit (catalogue: cIGF1ELISA) optimized for chicken from IBT GmbH, Germany. The assay was validated for house sparrows by testing serial dilutions of a pool of house sparrow plasma,

which was parallel to the standard curve. IGF-1 was extracted from plasma samples using an acidic extraction in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The final concentrations were determined colorimetrically measuring the absorbance at 450 nm (Tecan F50 microplate reader). Intra-assay CV was 3.28 %, inter-assay CV was 9.8%.

MDA is a carbonyl compound that results from the peroxidative degeneration of membrane polyunsaturated fatty acids by reactive oxygen species, and thus it is a widely used marker of oxidative stress (Del Rio et al. 2005). MDA concentration ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) was determined from 10 μL of plasma by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC SUPELCOSILTM LC-18 column and 5 μm particle size; Sigma-Aldrich) with UV detection at 254 nm (Jasco, UV-2075 Plus, Japan). MDA concentrations of each sample was within the standard range (sample range: 1.36–4.63 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, standard range: 0.091–5.84 $\mu\text{g/mL}$; standard curve: $R^2 > 0.99$). Although MDA was not measured in duplicate due to the small quantity of plasma, we showed previously that our assay has high repeatability for samples of house sparrows (Bókony et al. 2014), barn swallows (*Hirundo rustica*; Pap et al. 2018) and in a comparative study of more than 80 avian species (Vágási et al. 2016). Detailed MDA assay methodology can be found elsewhere (see Bókony et al. 2014). Although plasma triglyceride and MDA levels might correlate at least in certain species (Pérez-Rodríguez et al. 2015), this is not the case in house sparrows (Vágási et al. 2018).

Statistical Analysis

We used path analysis to investigate the relationships between physiological traits. Path analysis is a multivariate multiple regression method that is used to assess expected causal relationships, especially when there are multiple correlations between traits of interest and when both direct and indirect effects should be considered (Li 1975; Sinervo 1999; Lendvai and Chastel 2010). We calculated body condition as the scaled mass index (Peig and Green

2009) using body mass and tarsus length as an indicator of structural size. The values of all four physiological traits were log-transformed prior to analyses to ensure that model requirements are met. We used a pairwise complete covariance matrix for the path analyses.

We considered body condition as an independent variable that may affect physiological traits, but which is not affected by their variation (i.e. an exogenous variable). This was due to temporal constraints: while the circulating physiological traits represent the current state of the animals and may change drastically within minutes, body mass changes more slowly, therefore its current value was achieved during the period before the sampling began. We considered different *a priori* scenarios about how body condition may affect circulating physiological traits and how these in turn may be related to oxidative damage (MDA).

First, we analyzed traits at the baseline level in four different scenarios. These scenarios differed in how physiological traits conveyed information from body condition, i.e. which traits were directly or indirectly related to body condition. In the first scenario, we postulated that body condition directly affects multiple inter-related physiological traits (corticosterone, IGF-1 and glucose) and these all affect MDA (Fig. 1a). Under the second scenario, body condition affects the two integrators (corticosterone and IGF-1), these two have bidirectional relationships and both affect glucose, and all three circulating factors affect MDA (Fig. 1b). In the third scenario, we postulated that IGF-1 acts as a central regulator; body condition affects IGF-1 directly, then IGF-1 regulates corticosterone and glucose, and all three traits affect MDA (Fig. 1c). The final fourth scenario was similar to the previous one with corticosterone being the central regulator instead of IGF-1 (Fig. 1d). To investigate the effects of body condition, we repeated these models by constraining the path coefficients originating from body condition to be zero.

Next, we investigated how all four physiological traits were affected by acute stress, and how the acute stress affected the relationship established in the previous step. We used repeated-measures linear mixed-effects models (LMEs) to assess the sensitivity of corticosterone, IGF-1, glucose and MDA to restraint stress by setting these physiological traits separately as dependent variable, and handling stress as a two-levels fixed factor, and bird ID (ring number) as random intercept. We also controlled for sex and body mass by including them into the model as additional explanatory variables.

Finally, we calculated the restraint stress-induced changes in all four physiological traits by subtracting the baseline value from the acute stress-induced value, both log-transformed. We analyzed the change in the physiological traits instead of the acute stress-induced levels, because we were specifically interested in how stress reactivity in different traits are related, and because in some physiological traits, the stress-induced levels may be either higher or lower than the baseline, therefore stress-induced levels alone would not provide information about the change in these values.

Statistical analyses were carried out using the R statistical environment v3.2.0 (R Core Team 2019) with the ‘lme’ function of the R package ‘nlme’ (Pinheiro et al. 2015) for the LMEs and the ‘sem’ function of the R package ‘sem’ (Fox et al. 2017) for path analyses. To test the fit of the path models to the data we used a χ^2 test with root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) that corrects the χ^2 for model parsimony and small sample size. RMSEA index shows good fit when it is close to zero (and the p-value is not significant). Model selection was carried out using Akaike’s Information Criteria corrected for small sample size (AICc value). To show the relationships between variables of interest, we provide standardized coefficients (i.e. partial regression parameters varying between –1 and 1). All data and the R code of the path analyses are provided as Supplementary material.

Results

Relationships at the Baseline Level

Our data was most consistent with corticosterone being a main regulator (i.e. scenario #4, Fig. 1d). This model received the most support (Table 1, Fig. 2) and showed good fit to the data (RMSEA index = 0, $\chi^2 = 0.05$, $P = 0.997$). In this model, condition is negatively associated with corticosterone level (i.e. individuals in good condition have low baseline levels), while corticosterone and IGF-1 levels are positively related. The level of oxidative lipid damage (MDA) is positively associated with corticosterone, IGF-1 and glucose levels (Fig. 2). The best fitting model was followed by a less supported alternative model (i.e. with $\Delta\text{AICc} > 2$; Table 1) where corticosterone and IGF-1 were considered as dual regulators (i.e. scenario #2, Fig. 1b). The model in which body condition affected all three inter-connected physiological traits (i.e. scenario #1, Fig. 1a) and the model in which IGF-1 was considered as a main regulator (i.e. scenario #3, Fig. 1c) received much less support (Table 1). Fixing any of the paths between condition and physiological traits to 0 (i.e. creating the condition-independent equivalents of scenarios #1–4) dramatically decreased the model fit ($\Delta\text{AICc} > 21$ in all cases).

Stress Reactivity of Physiological Traits

As expected, restraint stress resulted in a significant increase in corticosterone levels ($F_{1,25} = 191.79$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 3a). Restraint stress also caused a significant increase in glucose levels ($F_{1,24} = 40.65$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 3c) and a decrease in circulating IGF-1 levels ($F_{1,30} = 4.31$, $P = 0.046$, Fig. 3b). Controlling for sex or body mass in these models did not change the conclusion that acute stress affects corticosterone, glucose and IGF-1 (sex: $F_{1,28} = 2.70$, $P = 0.112$; body mass: $F_{1,28} = 0.20$, $P = 0.670$). Although mean MDA levels did not differ significantly between baseline and acute stress-induced levels ($F_{1,30} = 0.35$, $P = 0.558$, Fig. 3d), many individuals showed substantial changes between the two sampling points (ranging

from -41% to +56%, average change +1%, with 48% of the birds increasing, 52% decreasing), resulting in an overall lack of difference between the sampling points. As above, controlling for sex or body mass did not affect this relationship (sex: $F_{1,28} = 2.41$, $P = 0.131$; body mass: $F_{1,28} = 0.23$, $P = 0.635$).

The Effects of Acute Stress on the 'Physiological Network'

To investigate how acute stress affects the relationship between the physiological traits, we first calculated the acute stress-induced change in each physiological traits (i.e. from the stress-induced traits we subtracted its corresponding baseline value; the difference was denoted by the symbol Δ). The initial model (scenario #1) did not fit the data well ($\chi^2 = 4.53$, $P < 0.001$, RMSEA index = 0.30 [90% CI: 0.07, 0.60]). The other three scenarios (#2–4) had even worse fit (Table 2). This lack of fit was caused by the direction of the paths going from Δ corticosterone, Δ IGF-1 and Δ glucose towards Δ MDA. Allowing for bidirectional variation along these paths immediately decreased AICc values by at least 8.3 (scenarios #1.1, #2.1, #3.1 and #4.1; Table 2). We thus used this path structure to test the hypotheses of Δ corticosterone and Δ IGF-1 being dual regulators (scenario #2.1), and either Δ corticosterone or Δ IGF-1 being the main regulators alone (scenarios #3.1 and #4.1, respectively). Among those models, none of them was close to the current top model (#1.1; Δ AICc > 3.5). Therefore in subsequent steps, we only modified the model structure of the top models. Removing paths between changes in hormone and MDA levels increased model fit even further (scenarios #1.2; Table 2). Finally, removing the bi-directional path between Δ IGF-1 and Δ corticosterone and removing a path between condition and Δ glucose improved model fit substantially (Table 2). This final model (Fig. 4.) had a good fit to the data (RMSEA index = 0, $\chi^2 = 3.39$, $P = 0.639$). In this model, condition is positively associated with both Δ corticosterone and Δ IGF-1, Δ glucose is positively correlated with Δ corticosterone and Δ IGF-1, while change in

oxidative damage to lipids (Δ MDA) is negatively correlated with Δ glucose (Fig. 4). Similarly to the baseline case, removing condition-dependence from the models decreased the model fit considerably under all scenarios (Δ AICc > 15).

Discussion

We looked at the relationships among four physiological traits belonging to different systems within the physiological network, both at baseline conditions and after exposure to a standardized acute stressor, and assessed whether body condition influences these relationships. We found that condition was strongly related to most of the physiological traits, and the relationships between physiological traits differed markedly between the baseline and the acute stress-induced state.

Relationships at the Baseline Level

Our data was most consistent with the model in which corticosterone was the main physiological regulator affecting other elements in the physiological network (Fig. 2). In this scenario, birds in superior body condition have low baseline corticosterone titers, supporting the corticosterone–fitness hypothesis (Bonier et al. 2009). Our model also showed a positive association between baseline corticosterone and IGF-1 levels, indicating that birds with lower corticosterone levels also have lower IGF-1 levels. However, models in which we postulated a direct link between condition and baseline IGF-1 (scenarios #2 and #3, Fig. 1) were less supported by our path analyses. Blood glucose was not related either directly or indirectly to body condition, suggesting that baseline glucose levels are under strong homeostatic control and do not reflect variation in nutritional state or the availability of energetic reserves (Fokidis et al. 2011).

Most importantly, baseline levels of corticosterone, IGF-1 and glucose were all positively and approximately equally related to the amount of oxidative lipid damage. These findings corroborate the observation that at increased activity of IGF-1 signaling, higher levels of glucocorticoids and blood glucose levels may cause oxidative stress (Holzenberger et al. 2003; Braun and Sweazea 2008; Costantini et al. 2011). Although the evidence for corticosterone-induced oxidative stress is mixed, a meta-analysis showed that experimental administration of high doses of glucocorticoids can induce oxidative stress (Costantini et al. 2011). Our results suggest that moderate differences between individuals in their baseline corticosterone levels may already have consequences for oxidative damage (but see Ouyang et al. 2016).

Enhanced activity of the IIS pathway (and consequently higher IGF-1 levels) are also thought to trigger oxidative stress (reviewed by Carter et al. 2002; Tatar et al. 2003; Bartke 2008; Kenyon 2010; Dantzer and Swanson 2012; Junnila et al. 2013). For instance, female mice with reduced IIS activity due to a heterozygous mutation for the IGF-1 receptor are more resistant to oxidative stress than the wild-type mice (Holzenberger et al. 2003). To date, only one study has looked at the connection between oxidative stress and IGF-1 in wild animals and found that injections of IGF-1 caused an increase in antioxidant enzymes (Lodjak and Mägi 2017). However, an increase in antioxidant levels either reflects a lack of oxidative stress or an up-regulated antioxidant system during oxidative stress. Our results would support the latter in that IGF-1 and oxidative damage are positively linked.

High blood glucose levels can trigger an increased production of reactive oxygen species and thus can cause oxidative stress (Braun and Sweazea 2008; Holmes and Martin 2009). However, a connection between blood glucose levels and oxidative damage has not been assessed in free-living animals. Our results suggest that individuals with high blood glucose concentrations may suffer more oxidative damage to lipids. Potentially the oxidative

cost of hyperglycemia keeps baseline glucose within narrow margins and glucose levels are augmented only if the emergency life-history stage is activated to survive a truly stressful situation.

Stress Reactivity of Physiological Traits

The rise of circulating glucocorticoid levels and glucose levels are well-documented stress responses of vertebrates. However, the stress reactivity of IGF-1 is far less explored. Given the pleiotropic effects of both glucocorticoid hormones and IGF-1, knowing the interaction between the HPA and the somatotrophic axes can help us understand how animals regulate their physiology in order to maximize fitness in face of stressors. In a study on pied flycatcher nestlings, the sensitivity of IGF-1 levels to handling stress (i.e. time until blood sample was drawn) was negligible if handling time was short, within four minutes (Lodjak et al. 2017). However, we found a significant decrease in IGF-1 levels when exposure to acute stress is long enough to activate the HPA-mediated stress response (30 minutes in the current study). This finding is consistent with our recent study in another free-living songbird species, the bearded reedling (*Panurus biarmicus*), in which a 15 minutes restraint stress resulted in a decrease in circulating IGF-1 levels (Tóth et al. 2018). Restraint stress spanning from five minutes to 24 h caused a decrease in IGF-1 levels in adult fish (Davis and Peterson 2006; Wilkinson et al. 2006) and pigs (Wirthgen et al. 2017). Similarly, IGF-1 decreased after 180 minutes or 24 h confinement in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), respectively (Wilkinson et al. 2006). In contrast, IGF-1 stayed relatively stable up to 34 minutes in some passerine birds (Lodjak et al. 2018). These results suggest that stress-sensitivity of IGF-1 may vary across species and/or life-history stage, and therefore should be accounted for in future studies involving free-living non-model organisms in which sampling time usually exceeds three minutes.

We are aware of no studies that have looked at MDA within individuals after a standardized stress exposure. Reactive oxygen metabolites, another marker of oxidative damage to lipids, show no clear within-individual stress-response pattern after 30 minutes of restraint stress, as it either does not change systematically after stress exposure (Costantini et al. 2007) or it decreases (Stier et al. 2019), depending on studied species. Our marker of lipid oxidative damage (i.e. MDA) also did not change systematically after 30 minutes of restraint stress. This result is not confounded by large changes in MDA due to the standardized restraint stress exposure, as the stress-induced values are within normal range and comparable with our previous measures of MDA in house sparrows (Bókony et al. 2014; Pap et al. 2014, 2015; Vágási et al. 2018).

The Effects of Acute Stress on the ‘Physiological Network’

The magnitude of the physiological stress response was strongly condition-dependent. Similarly to the baseline case, removing the connection between body condition and the physiological traits was rejected in all our models. However, in contrast to the baseline case, in which body condition was directly related to corticosterone alone, the magnitude of acute stress-induced changes in both corticosterone and IGF-1 were condition-dependent. The direction of these relationships between condition and hormones was contrasting: birds in good condition increased corticosterone the most (i.e. showed highest stress reactivity) and decreased IGF-1 the least (i.e. maintained their IGF-1 levels). Currently the relative importance of glucocorticoids and IGF-1 in regulating behavioral and physiological processes in stressful situations are unknown, but we found that condition was equally related to the acute stress-induced changes in both hormones. Therefore, future studies investigating how wild animals cope with environmental challenges may benefit from including the somatotrophic axis into their analyses.

Although condition predicted the magnitude of changes in both corticosterone and IGF-1, the changes in the two hormones were not related to each other. Although it may seem contradictory, this result is consistent with earlier results. Exogenously administered glucocorticoids lower IGF-1 levels in fish (Kajimura et al. 2003; Peterson and Small 2005), chicken (Leili and Scanes 1998) and rats (Gayan-Ramirez et al. 1999), but only at pharmacologically high dose treatments and/or when glucocorticoid treatment was applied for a prolonged period (Davis and Peterson 2006). In contrary, IGF-1 remains stable in response to treatment with corticosterone at physiological doses in bearded reedlings (Tóth et al. 2018). Low sensitivity of IGF-1 to glucocorticoid treatment in earlier studies, together with our current finding that within-individual changes in corticosterone and IGF-1 were not correlated, suggest that the somatotrophic axis represents a separate stress-responsive pathway, and may alter or modulate the behavioral and physiological effects of the glucocorticoid stress response (Wirthgen et al. 2017).

We found that circulating glucose levels rose the most in birds with higher acute stress-induced increase in corticosterone and weaker acute stress-induced decrease in IGF-1. The effect of corticosterone is expected, as the main function of this hormone is to cease glucose uptake and block glucose-consuming processes (e.g. growth and reproduction) on one hand, and to stimulate glucose mobilization and neogenesis on the other hand (Romero et al. 2009). How the maintenance of IGF-1 under acute stress is related to higher increase in glucose is less clear. Our models strongly preferred a bi-directional relationship between change in IGF-1 and change in glucose. Hence, it is possible that the high glucose levels constrained the drop of IGF-1 under acute stress, because hyperglycemia may stimulate an increased production IGF-1 that may help to restore glucose homeostasis (Venkateswaran et al. 2007). However, IGF-1 seems ineffective in stimulating glucose uptake in birds (Braun and Sweazea 2008).

Finally, in contrast with the positive association between glucose and oxidative damage at baseline, a higher increase in glucose levels under acute stress was associated with a drop in oxidative damage levels. This unexpected result can be explained by tracing this glucose–oxidative damage relationship back to body condition. Sparrows in better body condition had hormone changes under acute stress that led to higher increase in glucose levels. These same birds might also be able to better maintain their oxidative homeostasis given that the antioxidant machinery is costly. From the perspective of oxidative stress, individuals with increased oxidative damage during exposure to acute stress showed a blunted glucose stress response. One probable explanation is that an oxidative stress state, especially in poor-condition individuals, prevents an increase in glucose levels to avoid further potential damage. This explanation is supported by the fact that our model did not fit the data when variation in hormone and glucose reactivity explained changes in oxidative damage, while the reverse causation improved model fit substantially.

To summarize, we investigated the relationships between the glucocorticoid and somatotrophic hormones at both baseline and acute stress-induced conditions and asked how these hormones are related to the availability of energy (glucose) and the levels of oxidative damage. Our study provided three key results. We found that (1) the oxidative damage to lipids, a trait of high fitness relevance, is dependent on the circulating baseline levels of corticosterone, IGF-1 and glucose; (2) IGF-1 is responsive to capture and restraint stress, but this sensitivity is not related to the glucocorticoid stress response; and (3) the relationships between physiological traits seen at baseline are considerably reorganized by stress exposure to acute stress. These findings suggest that the physiological network is dynamically reset in stressful conditions with IGF-1 emerging parallel to corticosterone as an alternative pathway for individuals to respond to stressful situations. We recommend considering effects of

handling stress on IGF-1 and to consider the somatotrophic axis in life-history studies, as it is related to oxidative physiology in addition to growth, survival, and reproduction.

Author contributions

CIV, JQO and ÁZL conceived and designed the study. CIV, PLP and ÁZL performed the study and collected the samples. CIV, ZT, JP and ÁZL analyzed the samples. PLP contributed reagents. ÁZL analyzed the data. CIV and ÁZL wrote the manuscript with significant contribution from JQO and PLP. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Imre Molnár for his help during sample collection, and for Annamária Fenesi who supported us with laboratory consumables for the MDA assay. Three anonymous reviewers provided constructive criticism and highly improved a former version of this manuscript. CIV was financially supported by a postdoctoral grant of the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (PD 121166) and János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, ÁZL by the Hungarian Research Foundation (OTKA grant K 113108) and the European Union (EFOP-3.6.1-16-2016-00022), ZT by the National Talent Program of the Hungarian Ministry of National Resources (NTP-EFÖ-P-15-041), JP by a BSc scholarship from the Hungarian Department of Biology and Ecology of the Babeş-Bolyai University, JQO by National Institute of Health (NIH P20 GM103650), and PLP by a grant from the Romanian Ministry of Research and Innovation (PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2016-0404) and János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

496

497 **Literature Cited**

- 498 Bartke A. 2008. Impact of reduced insulin-like growth factor-1/insulin signaling on aging in
499 mammals: novel findings. *Aging Cell* 7:285–290.
- 500 Blount J.D., E.I.K. Vitikainen, I. Stott, and M.A. Cant. 2016. Oxidative shielding and the cost
501 of reproduction. *Biol Rev* 91:483–497.
- 502 Bókony V., Á.Z. Lendvai, C.I. Vágási, L. Pătraș, P.L. Pap, J. Németh, E. Vincze, et al. 2014.
503 Necessity or capacity? Physiological state predicts problem-solving performance in
504 house sparrows. *Behav Ecol* 25:124–135.
- 505 Bonier F., P.R. Martin, I.T. Moore, and J.C. Wingfield. 2009. Do baseline glucocorticoids
506 predict fitness? *Trends Ecol Evol* 24:634–642.
- 507 Braun E.J. and K.L. Sweazea. 2008. Glucose regulation in birds. *Comp Biochem Physiol B*
508 151:1–9.
- 509 Carter C.S., M.M. Ramsey, and W.E. Sonntag. 2002. A critical analysis of the role of growth
510 hormone and IGF-1 in aging and lifespan. *Trends Genet* 18:295–301.
- 511 Clemmons D.R. 2004. Role of insulin-like growth factor 1 in maintaining normal glucose
512 homeostasis. *Horm Res Paediatr* 62:77–82.
- 513 Cohen A.A., L.B. Martin, J.C. Wingfield, S.R. McWilliams, and J.A. Dunne. 2012.
514 Physiological regulatory networks: ecological roles and evolutionary constraints. *Trends*
515 *Ecol Evol* 27:428–435.
- 516 Costantini D. 2008. Oxidative stress in ecology and evolution: lessons from avian studies.
517 *Ecol Lett* 11:1238–1251.
- 518 Costantini D., M. Cardinale, and C. Carere. 2007. Oxidative damage and anti-oxidant capacity
519 in two migratory bird species at a stop-over site. *Comp Biochem Physiol C* 144:363–
520 371.

521 Costantini D., V. Marasco, and A.P. Møller. 2011. A meta-analysis of glucocorticoids as
522 modulators of oxidative stress in vertebrates. *J Comp Physiol B* 181:447–456.

523 Cox R.M., J.W. McGlothlin, and F. Bonier. 2016. Hormones as mediators of phenotypic and
524 genetic integration: an evolutionary genetics approach. *Integr Comp Biol* 56:126–137.

525 Dantzer B. and E.M. Swanson. 2012. Mediation of vertebrate life histories via insulin-like
526 growth factor-1. *Biol Rev* 87:414–429.

527 Davis K.B. and B.C. Peterson. 2006. The effect of temperature, stress, and cortisol on plasma
528 IGF-I and IGFBPs in sunshine bass. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 149:219–225.

529 Del Rio D., A.J. Stewart, and N. Pellegrini. 2005. A review of recent studies on
530 malondialdehyde as toxic molecule and biological marker of oxidative stress. *Nutr*
531 *Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 15:316–328.

532 Flatt T. and A. Heyland, eds. 2011. *Mechanisms of Life History Evolution. The Genetics and*
533 *Physiology of Life History Traits and Trade-Offs.* Oxford University Press, New York,
534 NY.

535 Fokidis H.B., L. Hurley, C. Rogowski, K. Sweazea, and P. Deviche. 2011. Effects of captivity
536 and body condition on plasma corticosterone, locomotor behavior, and plasma
537 metabolites in curve-billed thrashers. *Physiol Biochem Zool* 84:595–606.

538 Fox J., Z. Nie, and J. Byrnes. 2017. *sem: Structural Equation Models.* R package version 3.1-
539 9. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=sem>.

540 Gayan-Ramirez G., F. Vanderhoydonc, G. Verhoeven, and M. Decramer. 1999. Acute
541 treatment with corticosteroids decreases IGF-1 and IGF-2 expression in the rat
542 diaphragm and gastrocnemius. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 159:283–289.

543 Hau M., S. Casagrande, J.Q. Ouyang, and A.T. Baugh. 2016. Glucocorticoid-mediated
544 phenotypes in vertebrates: multilevel variation and evolution. *Adv Study Behav* 48:41–
545 115.

- 546 Heck S., F. Lezoualc'h, S. Engert, and C. Behl. 1999. Insulin-like growth factor-1-mediated
547 neuroprotection against oxidative stress is associated with activation of nuclear factor
548 κ B. *J Biol Chem* 274:9828–9835.
- 549 Holmes D. and K. Martin. 2009. A bird's-eye view of aging: what's in it for ornithologists?
550 *Auk* 126:1–23.
- 551 Holzenberger M., J. Dupont, B. Ducos, P. Leneuve, A. G  lo  n, P.C. Even, P. Cervera, et al.
552 2003. IGF-1 receptor regulates lifespan and resistance to oxidative stress in mice. *Nature*
553 421:182–187.
- 554 Junnila R.K., E.O. List, D.E. Berryman, J.W. Murrey, and J.J. Kopchick. 2013. The GH/IGF-
555 1 axis in ageing and longevity. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 9:366–376.
- 556 Kajimura S., T. Hirano, N. Visitacion, S. Moriyama, K. Aida, and E.G. Grau. 2003. Dual
557 mode of cortisol action on GH/IGF-I/IGF binding proteins in the tilapia, *Oreochromis*
558 *mossambicus*. *J Endocrinol* 178:91–99.
- 559 Kenyon C. 2011. The first long-lived mutants: discovery of the insulin/IGF-1 pathway for
560 ageing. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 366:9–16.
- 561 Kenyon C.J. 2010. The genetics of ageing. *Nature* 467:622–622.
- 562 Landys M.M., M. Ramenofsky, and J.C. Wingfield. 2006. Actions of glucocorticoids at a
563 seasonal baseline as compared to stress-related levels in the regulation of periodic life
564 processes. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 148:132–149.
- 565 Leili S. and C.G. Scanes. 1998. The effects of glucocorticoids (dexamethasone) on insulin-
566 like growth factor-1, IGF-binding proteins, and growth in chickens. *Exp Biol Med*
567 218:329–333.
- 568 Lendvai   .Z., V. B  kony, and O. Chastel. 2011. Coping with novelty and stress in free-living
569 house sparrows. *J Exp Biol* 214:821–828.
- 570 Lendvai   .Z. and O. Chastel. 2008. Experimental mate-removal increases the stress response

- 571 of female house sparrows: the effects of offspring value? *Horm Behav* 53:395–401.
- 572 Lendvai Á.Z. and O. Chastel. 2010. Natural variation in stress response is related to post-
573 stress parental effort in male house sparrows. *Horm Behav* 58:936–942.
- 574 Lendvai Á.Z., M. Giraudeau, and O. Chastel. 2007. Reproduction and modulation of the stress
575 response: an experimental test in the house sparrow. *Proc R Soc London B* 274:391–397.
- 576 Li C. 1975. Path analysis – a primer. Boxwood Press, Pacific Grove, California.
- 577 Lodjak J. and M. Mägi. 2017. Crosstalk between growth and somatic maintenance in young
578 animals. *J Avian Biol* 48:1360–1363.
- 579 Lodjak J., M. Mägi, E. Sild, and R. Mänd. 2017. Causal link between insulin-like growth
580 factor 1 and growth in nestlings of a wild passerine bird. *Funct Ecol* 31:184–191.
- 581 Lodjak J., R. Mänd, and M. Mägi. 2018. Insulin-like growth factor 1 and life-history
582 evolution of passerine birds. *Funct Ecol* 32:313–323.
- 583 Marasco V., A. Stier, W. Boner, K. Griffiths, B. Heidinger, and P. Monaghan. 2017.
584 Environmental conditions can modulate the links among oxidative stress, age, and
585 longevity. *Mech Ageing Dev* 164:100–107.
- 586 Martin L.B., A.L. Liebl, J.H. Trotter, C.L. Richards, K. McCoy, and M.W. McCoy. 2011.
587 Integrator networks: illuminating the black box linking genotype and phenotype. *Integr*
588 *Comp Biol* 51:514–527.
- 589 Metcalfe N.B. and C. Alonso-Alvarez. 2010. Oxidative stress as a life-history constraint: the
590 role of reactive oxygen species in shaping phenotypes from conception to death. *Funct*
591 *Ecol* 24:984–996.
- 592 Monaghan P., N.B. Metcalfe, and R. Torres. 2009. Oxidative stress as a mediator of life
593 history trade-offs: mechanisms, measurements and interpretation. *Ecol Lett* 12:75–92.
- 594 Ouyang J.Q., Á.Z. Lendvai, I.T. Moore, F. Bonier, and M.F. Haussmann. 2016. Do hormones,
595 telomere lengths, and oxidative stress form an integrated phenotype? A case study in

596 free-living tree swallows. *Integr Comp Biol* 56:138–145.

597 Pap P.L., L. Pătraș, G. Osváth, D.M. Buehler, M.A. Versteegh, A. Sesarman, M. Banciu, et al.

598 2015. Seasonal patterns and relationships among coccidian infestations, measures of

599 oxidative physiology, and immune function in free-living house sparrows over an annual

600 cycle. *Physiol Biochem Zool* 88:395–405.

601 Pap P.L., A. Sesarman, C.I. Vágási, D.M. Buehler, L. Pătraș, M. a. Versteegh, and M. Banciu.

602 2014. No evidence for parasitism-linked changes in immune function or oxidative

603 physiology over the annual cycle of an avian species. *Physiol Biochem Zool* 87:729–

604 739.

605 Pap P.L., O. Vincze, A. Fülöp, O. Székely-Béres, L. Pătraș, J. Péntes, and C.I. Vágási. 2018.

606 Oxidative physiology of reproduction in a passerine bird: a field experiment. *Behav Ecol*

607 *Sociobiol* 72:18.

608 Peig J. and A.J. Green. 2009. New perspectives for estimating body condition from

609 mass/length data: the scaled mass index as an alternative method. *Oikos* 118:1883–1891.

610 Pérez-Rodríguez L., A.A. Romero-Haro, A. Sternalski, J. Muriel, F. Mougeot, D. Gil, and C.

611 Alonso-Alvarez. 2015. Measuring oxidative stress: the confounding effect of lipid

612 concentration in measures of lipid peroxidation. *Physiol Biochem Zool* 88:345–351.

613 Peterson B.C. and B.C. Small. 2005. Effects of exogenous cortisol on the GH/IGF-I/IGFBP

614 network in channel catfish. *Domest Anim Endocrinol* 28:391–404.

615 Pigliucci M. 2003. Phenotypic integration: studying the ecology and evolution of complex

616 phenotypes. *Ecol Lett* 6:265–272.

617 Pinheiro J., D. Bates, S. DebRoy, D. Sarkar, and R Core Team. 2015. nlme: Linear and

618 Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 3.1-120. [http://CRAN.R-](http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme)

619 [project.org/package=nlme](http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme).

620 R Core Team. 2019. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation

621 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <http://www.R-project.org/>.

622 Remage-Healey L. and L.M. Romero. 2001. Corticosterone and insulin interact to regulate
623 glucose and triglyceride levels during stress in a bird. *Am J Physiol - Regul Integr Comp*
624 *Physiol* 281:R994–R1003.

625 Ricklefs R.E. and M. Wikelski. 2002. The physiology/life-history nexus. *Trends Ecol Evol*
626 17:462–468.

627 Romero L.M. 2004. Physiological stress in ecology: lessons from biomedical research. *Trends*
628 *Ecol Evol* 19:249–255.

629 Romero L.M., N.E. Cyr, and R.C. Romero. 2006. Corticosterone responses change seasonally
630 in free-living house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*). *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 149:58–65.

631 Romero L.M., M.J. Dickens, and N.E. Cyr. 2009. The reactive scope model — A new model
632 integrating homeostasis, allostasis, and stress. *Horm Behav* 55:375–389.

633 Romero L.M. and J.M. Reed. 2005. Collecting baseline corticosterone samples in the field: is
634 under 3 min good enough? *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 140:73–79.

635 Sapolsky R.M., L.M. Romero, and A.U. Munck. 2000. How do glucocorticoids influence
636 stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative
637 actions. *Endocr Rev* 21:55–89.

638 Sinervo B. 1999. Mechanistic analysis of natural selection and a refinement of Lack’s and
639 Williams’s principles. *Am Nat* 154:S26–S42.

640 Spiers J.G., H.-J.C. Chen, C. Sernia, and N.A. Lavidis. 2015. Activation of the hypothalamic-
641 pituitary-adrenal stress axis induces cellular oxidative stress. *Neuroendocr Sci* 8:456.

642 Stier A., Q. Schull, P. Bize, E. Lefol, M. Haussmann, D. Roussel, J.-P. Robin, et al. 2019.
643 Oxidative stress and mitochondrial responses to stress exposure suggest that king
644 penguins are naturally equipped to resist stress. *Sci Rep* 9:8545.

645 Tatar M., A. Bartke, and A. Antebi. 2003. The endocrine regulation of aging by insulin-like

- 646 signals. *Science* (80-) 299:1346–1351.
- 647 Taylor R.W., a K. Boon, B. Dantzer, D. Réale, M.M. Humphries, S. Boutin, J.C. Gorrell, et
- 648 al. 2012. Low heritabilities, but genetic and maternal correlations between red squirrel
- 649 behaviours. *J Evol Biol* 25:614–624.
- 650 Tóth Z., J.Q. Ouyang, and Á.Z. Lendvai. 2018. Exploring the mechanistic link between
- 651 corticosterone and insulin-like growth factor-1 in a wild passerine bird. *PeerJ* 6:e5936.
- 652 Vágási C.I., L. Pătraș, P.L. Pap, O. Vincze, C. Mureșan, J. Németh, and Á.Z. Lendvai. 2018.
- 653 Experimental increase in baseline corticosterone level reduces oxidative damage and
- 654 enhances innate immune response. *PLoS One* 13:e0192701.
- 655 Vágási C.I., O. Vincze, L. Pătraș, G. Osváth, A. Marton, L. Bărbos, D. Sol, et al. 2016. Large-
- 656 brained birds suffer less oxidative damage. *J Evol Biol* 29:1968–1976.
- 657 Vágási C.I., O. Vincze, L. Pătraș, G. Osváth, J. Péntzes, M.F. Haussmann, Z. Barta, et al.
- 658 2019. Longevity and life history coevolve with oxidative stress in birds. *Funct Ecol*
- 659 33:152–161.
- 660 Venkateswaran V., A.Q. Haddad, N.E. Fleshner, R. Fan, L.M. Sugar, R. Nam, L.H. Klotz, et
- 661 al. 2007. Association of diet-induced hyperinsulinemia with accelerated growth of
- 662 prostate cancer (LNCaP) xenografts. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 99:1793–1800.
- 663 Vitousek M.N., B.R. Jenkins, and R.J. Safran. 2014. Stress and success: individual differences
- 664 in the glucocorticoid stress response predict behavior and reproductive success under
- 665 high predation risk. *Horm Behav* 66:812–819.
- 666 von Schantz T., S. Bensch, M. Grahn, D. Hasselquist, and H. Wittzell. 1999. Good genes,
- 667 oxidative stress and condition-dependent sexual signals. *Proc R Soc London B* 266:1–12.
- 668 Wilkinson R.J., M. Porter, H. Woolcott, R. Longland, and J.F. Carragher. 2006. Effects of
- 669 aquaculture related stressors and nutritional restriction on circulating growth factors
- 670 (GH, IGF-I and IGF-II) in Atlantic salmon and rainbow trout. *Comp Biochem Physiol A*

671 145:214–224.

672 Wingfield J.C. and R.M. Sapolsky. 2003. Reproduction and resistance to stress: when and

673 how. *J Neuroendocrinol* 15:711–724.

674 Wirthgen E., M. Kunze, S. Goumon, C. Walz, C. Höflich, M. Spitschak, J. Brenmoehl, et al.

675 2017. Interference of stress with the somatotrophic axis in pigs – lights on new

676 biomarkers. *Sci Rep* 7:12055.

677

Tables

Table 1: Path analysis of the relationship between physiological traits (IGF-1 – insulin-like growth factor 1) at baseline level. Scenarios are ranked by decreasing model fit (i.e. from lowest to highest AICc values). Scenario #4 with corticosterone as a main condition-dependent trait was best supported by the data, while other scenarios received less support ($\Delta AICc > 2$)

scenario	description	RMSEA	χ^2	AICc	$\Delta AICc$
#4	corticosterone as a main condition-dependent trait	0.0	0.1	10.8	0.00
#2	corticosterone and IGF-1 as dual condition-dependent traits	0.0	0.0	13.04	2.2
#1	three inter-connected condition-dependent traits	0.0	0.0	15.6	4.8
#3	IGF-1 as a main condition-dependent trait	0.4	20.8	31.5	20.7

687 Table 2: Path scenarios of the relationships between the stress-induced change (Δ) values of
 688 physiological traits (IGF-1 – insulin-like growth factor 1). See main text for the path
 689 structures of the different scenarios
 690

scenario	description	RMSEA	χ^2	AICc	Δ AICc
	final simplified model (Fig. 4)	0.0	3.4	10.7	0.0
#1.2	three condition-dependent traits, with only Δ glucose having bidirectional path to Δ malondialdehyde	0.05	3.3	14.5	3.8
#1.1	bidirectional paths between Δ malondialdehyde and the three traits	0.0	0.0	16.2	5.5
#2.1	Δ corticosterone and Δ IGF-1 as dual condition-dependent traits with bidirectional paths to Δ malondialdehyde	0.23	6.2	19.7	9.0
#1	three inter-connected condition-dependent traits (Δ values)	0.3	4.5	20.7	10.0
#2	Δ corticosterone and Δ IGF-1 as dual condition-dependent traits	0.31	9.8	23.3	12.6
#3.1	Δ IGF-1 as a main condition-dependent trait with bidirectional paths to Δ malondialdehyde	0.28	12.4	23.5	12.8
#4.1	Δ corticosterone as a main condition-dependent trait with bidirectional paths to Δ malondialdehyde	0.31	14.8	26.0	15.3
#3	Δ IGF-1 as a main condition-dependent trait	0.32	15.1	26.2	15.5
#4	Δ corticosterone as a main condition-dependent trait	0.34	16.8	27.9	17.2

691

Figure legends

Figure 1. Path analysis scenarios defined *a priori*. One-headed arrows indicate cause–effect relationships, while two-headed arrows indicate bidirectional covariation. Physiological traits are represented by either their baseline levels (Table 1) or by their change from baseline to stress-induced levels (Table 2). IGF-1 – insulin-like growth factor 1

Figure 2. Scenario #4 with corticosterone as a main condition-dependent trait proved to be the best supported model for baseline measures. One-headed arrows indicate cause–effect relationships, while two-headed arrows indicate bidirectional covariation; arrow width is proportional to standardized partial regression coefficients (numbers above the arrows). IGF-1 – insulin-like growth factor 1

Figure 3. Stress-sensitivity of physiological traits. a) Corticosterone and c) glucose levels increased, b) insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) levels decreased, and d) malondialdehyde (MDA) levels did not change between baseline samples (within 3 min) and stress-induced samples (after 30 min restraint stress). Mean + 1SE are plotted, dots denote the scatter of the raw values, asterisks indicate significance level (*** $P < 0.001$, * $P < 0.05$, ns non-significant)

Figure 4. Best supported model of stress-induced change measures (Δ values). One-headed arrows indicate cause–effect relationships, while two-headed arrows indicate bidirectional covariation; arrow width is proportional to standardized partial regression coefficients (numbers above the arrows). IGF-1 – insulin-like growth factor 1

Figure 1

Copyright The University of Chicago 2020. Preprint (not copyedited or formatted). Please use DOI when citing or quoting. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1086/711957>




Figure 2

Copyright The University of Chicago 2020. Preprint (not copyedited or formatted). Please use DOI when citing or quoting. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1086/711957>




Figure 3

Copyright The University of Chicago 2020. Preprint (not copyedited or formatted). Please use DOI when citing or quoting. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1086/711957>




Figure 4

Copyright The University of Chicago 2020. Preprint (not copyedited or formatted). Please use DOI when citing or quoting. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1086/711957>




Table 1: Path analysis of the relationship between physiological traits (IGF-1 – insulin-like growth factor 1) at baseline level. Scenarios are ranked by decreasing model fit (i.e. from lowest to highest AICc values). Scenario #4 with corticosterone as a main condition-dependent trait was best supported by the data, while other scenarios received less support ($\Delta AICc > 2$)

scenario	description	RMSEA	χ^2	AICc	$\Delta AICc$
#4	corticosterone as a main condition-dependent trait	0.0	0.1	10.8	0.00
#2	corticosterone and IGF-1 as dual condition-dependent traits	0.0	0.0	13.04	2.2
#1	three inter-connected condition-dependent traits	0.0	0.0	15.6	4.8
#3	IGF-1 as a main condition-dependent trait	0.4	20.8	31.5	20.7

Table 2: Path scenarios of the relationships between the stress-induced change (Δ) values of physiological traits (IGF-1 – insulin-like growth factor 1). See main text for the path structures of the different scenarios

scenario	description	RMSEA	χ^2	AICc	Δ AICc
	final simplified model (Fig. 4)	0.0	3.4	10.7	0.0
#1.2	three condition-dependent traits, with only Δ glucose having bidirectional path to Δ malondialdehyde	0.05	3.3	14.5	3.8
#1.1	bidirectional paths between Δ malondialdehyde and the three traits	0.0	0.0	16.2	5.5
#2.1	Δ corticosterone and Δ IGF-1 as dual condition-dependent traits with bidirectional paths to Δ malondialdehyde	0.23	6.2	19.7	9.0
#1	three inter-connected condition-dependent traits (Δ values)	0.3	4.5	20.7	10.0
#2	Δ corticosterone and Δ IGF-1 as dual condition-dependent traits	0.31	9.8	23.3	12.6
#3.1	Δ IGF-1 as a main condition-dependent trait with bidirectional paths to Δ malondialdehyde	0.28	12.4	23.5	12.8
#4.1	Δ corticosterone as a main condition-dependent trait with bidirectional paths to Δ malondialdehyde	0.31	14.8	26.0	15.3
#3	Δ IGF-1 as a main condition-dependent trait	0.32	15.1	26.2	15.5
#4	Δ corticosterone as a main condition-dependent trait	0.34	16.8	27.9	17.2