



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

J. Math. Anal. Appl.

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa



The stability of the entropy of degree alpha[☆]

Gyula Maksa^{*}

Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen, H-4010 Debrecen, Pf. 12, Hungary

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 October 2007

Submitted by P.G.L. Leach

Keywords:

Stability

Entropy of degree α

Fundamental equation of information

Functional equation

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we first prove that the generalized fundamental equation of information depending on a positive real parameter α , is stable in the sense of Hyers and Ulam provided that $\alpha \neq 1$, then we apply this result to prove the stability of a system of functional equations that characterizes the entropy of degree alpha or Havrda–Charvát entropy which has recently often been called the Tsallis entropy.

© 2008 Published by Elsevier Inc.

1. Introduction

The basic problem in the stability theory of functional equations is whether an “approximate solution” of a functional equation or of a system of functional equations “can be approximated” by a solution of this equation or of this system of equations. This question was originally raised in a talk by Ulam in 1940 (see also [15]) concerning the Cauchy equation and was answered in the affirmative by Hyers [7] who proved that the Cauchy equation is stable. This terminology can, of course, be also applied to other functional equations (see e.g. the survey papers by Forti [4] and Ger [5]). In this paper, we first consider a functional equation that arises in a natural way from the characterization problem of the entropy of degree alpha or Havrda–Charvát entropy which is a well-known information measure.

In what follows we denote the set of real numbers and the set of positive integers by \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{N} , respectively. For fixed $0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the set

$$\Gamma_n = \left\{ (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : p_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \right\}$$

and the function H_n^α on Γ_n by

$$H_n^\alpha(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \begin{cases} (2^{1-\alpha} - 1)^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha - 1) & \text{for } \alpha \neq 1, \\ -\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log p_i & \text{for } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here $\log = \log_2$ and the convention $0 \log 0 = 0$ is adapted. It is well known and easy to see that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} H_n^\alpha = H_n^1 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_n \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

[☆] This research has been supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA) Grants NK 68040 and K 62316.

^{*} Fax: 36 52 416 857.

E-mail address: maksa@math.klte.hu.

The sequence (H_n^α) is the entropy of degree α , and particularly (H_n^1) is the Shannon entropy. (H_n^1) was first introduced to the statistical thermodynamics by Boltzmann and Gipps, to the information theory by Shannon [12], while (H_n^α) (for $\alpha \neq 1$) was first investigated from cybernetic point of view by Havrda and Charvát [6], from information theoretical point of view by Daróczy [2], and was rediscovered by Tsallis [14] for the Physics community. The basic reference concerning the entropy of degree α is the book by Aczél and Daróczy [1]. In this note we borrow the following definitions from it. We say that the sequence (I_n) of functions $I_n : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($n \geq 2$) is α -recursive if

$$I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) = I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n) + (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha I_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

holds for all $2 < n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ (here, and through the paper, the convention $(0 + 0)^\alpha I_2(\frac{0}{0+0}, \frac{0}{0+0}) = 0$ will be adapted);

3-semi-symmetric if

$$I_3(p_1, p_2, p_3) = I_3(p_1, p_3, p_2) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3; \quad (1.2)$$

and normalized if

$$I_2\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = 1. \quad (1.3)$$

We extend these definitions by saying that (I_n) is 2-semi-symmetric if

$$I_2(1, 0) = I_2(0, 1). \quad (1.4)$$

The sequence (H_n^α) satisfies the properties above and, as it is proved in [2] and [1], is characterized by (1.1)–(1.4) for $0 < \alpha \neq 1$. The idea for the characterization of (H_n^α) in [2] and [1] is that the (1.1) α -recursivity and (1.2) 3-semi-symmetry imply that

$$g(x) + (1-x)^\alpha g\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) = g(y) + (1-y)^\alpha g\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \quad (1.5)$$

holds on $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x, y \in [0, 1[, x + y \leq 1\}$ for the function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g(x) = I_2(1-x, x).$$

In case $\alpha = 1$, Eq. (1.5) is called the fundamental equation of information (see [1]). In [2] Daróczy proved that the only solution $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1.5) with $0 < \alpha \neq 1$ satisfying $g(0) = g(1)$, $g(\frac{1}{2}) = 1$ (equivalently with (1.4) 2-semi-symmetry and (1.3) normalization) is

$$g(x) = (2^{1-\alpha} - 1)^{-1} [x^\alpha + (1-x)^\alpha - 1] \quad (x \in [0, 1]).$$

Thus the initial element of the α -recursive sequence (I_n) (and therefore also the sequence (I_n) itself) is uniquely determined by the properties of 3-semi-symmetry, 2-semi-symmetry, and normalization. The purpose of this paper is to prove the stability of the system of equations of α -recursivity and 3-semi-symmetry in case $0 < \alpha \neq 1$. In case $\alpha = 1$ only a partial result is known (see Morando [10]).

2. Main results

We first prove a theorem which generalizes the result of [2] and implies the stability of Eq. (1.5).

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \alpha \neq 1$, $0 \leq \varepsilon$, and $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that

$$\left| f(x) + (1-x)^\alpha f\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) - f(y) - (1-y)^\alpha f\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad (2.1)$$

holds for all $(x, y) \in D$. Then there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|f(x) - (ax^\alpha + b(1-x)^\alpha - b)| \leq 7|2^{1-\alpha} - 1|^{-1} \varepsilon \quad (x \in [0, 1]). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. In the proof we adapt some ideas from [2]. Define the function F on $]0, 1[\times [0, 1]$ by

$$F(p, q) = f(1-p) + p^\alpha f(q) - f(pq) - (1-pq)^\alpha f\left(\frac{1-p}{1-pq}\right).$$

Then inequality (2.1), with the substitutions

$$x = 1 - p, \quad y = pq, \quad (p, q) \in]0, 1[\times [0, 1],$$

implies that

$$|F(p, q)| \leq \varepsilon \quad ((p, q) \in]0, 1[\times]0, 1]). \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, for all $p, q \in]0, 1[$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [q^\alpha + (1-q)^\alpha - 1][f(p) - f(1)p^\alpha] - [p^\alpha + (1-p)^\alpha - 1][f(q) - f(1)q^\alpha] \\ &= F(q, p) - F(p, q) - F(q, 1) + F(p, 1) + (1-pq)^\alpha \left[F\left(\frac{1-p}{1-pq}, q, 1\right) + F\left(\frac{1-p}{1-pq}, 1\right) - F\left(\frac{1-p}{1-pq}, q\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$|[q^\alpha + (1-q)^\alpha - 1][f(p) - f(1)p^\alpha] - [p^\alpha + (1-p)^\alpha - 1][f(q) - f(1)q^\alpha]| \leq 7\varepsilon.$$

Finally, with the substitution $q = \frac{1}{2}$ and with the notations

$$a = f(1) + (2^{1-\alpha} - 1)^{-1} \left(f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - f(1)2^{-\alpha} \right), \quad b = a - f(1),$$

dividing both sides by $|2^{1-\alpha} - 1|$, and writing x in place of p we have that

$$|f(x) - (ax^\alpha + b(1-x)^\alpha - b)| \leq 7|2^{1-\alpha} - 1|^{-1} \varepsilon \quad (x \in]0, 1]).$$

A direct calculation shows that this inequality holds also for $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. Indeed, for $x = 1$ the left-hand side is zero, while for $x = 0$ (with $y \rightarrow 0$), (2.1) implies that $|f(0)| \leq \varepsilon \leq 7|2^{1-\alpha} - 1|^{-1} \varepsilon$. \square

We immediately get the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.2. *If $\varepsilon = 0$ then we have the general solution of (1.5). (See [2].)*

Corollary 2.3. *The functional equation (1.5) is stable and, because of the boundedness of the solutions of (1.5), it is superstable. (See e.g. [4, Definition 3] or [11, Définition 6].)*

Remark 2.4. The right-hand side of (2.2) tends to $+\infty$ whenever $\alpha \rightarrow 1$.

It is easy to see that the sequence of functions

$$(p_1, \dots, p_n) \mapsto cH_n^\alpha(p_1, \dots, p_n) + d(p_1^\alpha - 1), \quad (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$$

is α -recursive and 3-semi-symmetric for all $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus the following theorem can also be considered as a stability theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (I_n) be the sequence of functions $I_n : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($n \geq 2$) and suppose that there exist a sequence (ε_n) of non-negative real numbers and a real number $0 < \alpha \neq 1$ such that*

$$\left| I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) - I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n) - (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha I_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}\right) \right| \leq \varepsilon_{n-1} \quad (2.4)$$

holds for all $n \geq 3$ and $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, and

$$|I_3(p_1, p_2, p_3) - I_3(p_1, p_3, p_2)| \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{holds on } \Gamma_3. \quad (2.5)$$

Then there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) - [cH_n^\alpha(p_1, \dots, p_n) + d(p_1^\alpha - 1)]| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \varepsilon_k + 7(n-1)(\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2)|2^{1-\alpha} - 1|^{-1} \quad (2.6)$$

for all $n \geq 2$ and $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$. Here the convention $\sum_{k=2}^1 \varepsilon_k = 0$ is adapted.

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in D$ and $n = 3$, $p_1 = 1 - x - y$, $p_2 = y$, $p_3 = x$ in (2.4). Then

$$\left| I_3(1 - x - y, y, x) - I_2(1 - x, x) - (1 - x)^\alpha I_2\left(1 - \frac{y}{1 - x}, \frac{y}{1 - x}\right) \right| \leq \varepsilon_2 \quad (2.7)$$

and, by interchanging x and y in (2.7), we have that

$$\left| I_3(1 - y - x, x, y) - I_2(1 - y, y) - (1 - y)^\alpha I_2\left(1 - \frac{x}{1 - y}, \frac{x}{1 - y}\right) \right| \leq \varepsilon_2. \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore, by (2.7), (2.5), and (2.8), for the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = I_2(1-x, x)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(x) + (1-x)^\alpha f\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) - f(y) - (1-y)^\alpha f\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \right| &\leq \left| f(x) + (1-x)^\alpha f\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) - I_3(1-x-y, x, y) \right| \\ &\quad + |I_3(1-x-y, y, x) - I_3(1-x-y, x, y)| \\ &\quad + \left| I_3(1-y-x, x, y) - f(y) - (1-y)^\alpha f\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \right| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, y) \in D$. Thus (2.1) holds with $\varepsilon = 2\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1., we get (2.2) with $\varepsilon = 2\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1$ and with some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let now $(p_1, p_2) \in \Gamma_2$. Then, with the notations $c = a(2^{1-\alpha} - 1)$, $d = b - a$ and $x = 1 - p_1$, it follows from (2.2) that

$$|I_2(p_1, p_2) - cH_2^\alpha(p_1, p_2) - d(p_1^\alpha - 1)| \leq 7(\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2)|2^{1-\alpha} - 1|^{-1}, \quad (2.9)$$

that is, (2.6) holds for $n = 2$.

We continue the proof by induction on n . Suppose that (2.6) holds and, for the sake of brevity, introduce the notation

$$J_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) = cH_n^\alpha(p_1, \dots, p_n) + d(p_1^\alpha - 1)$$

for all $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$. Since (J_n) is α -recursive, for all $(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}) \in \Gamma_{n+1}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}) - J_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}) &= I_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}) - J_n(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_{n+1}) - (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha J_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}\right) \\ &= I_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}) - I_n(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_{n+1}) - (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha I_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}\right) \\ &\quad + I_n(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_{n+1}) - J_n(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha I_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}\right) - (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha J_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.4), the induction hypothesis, and (2.9) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}) - J_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1})| &\leq \varepsilon_n + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \varepsilon_k + 7(n-1)(\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2)|2^{1-\alpha} - 1|^{-1} \\ &\quad + 7(\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2)|2^{1-\alpha} - 1|^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

that is, (2.6) holds also for $n + 1$ instead of n . \square

3. Open problems

Our arguments do not work if $\alpha = 1$ or if we exclude zero probabilities and allow the non-positivity of α . Thus we have the following problems.

Problem 3.1. What about the stability of (1.5) on D for $\alpha = 1$?

Problem 3.2. In [13] Székelyhidi asked the following. Let $f :]0, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function so that the function Af which is defined on the open triangle $D^\circ = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x, y, x + y \in]0, 1[\}$ by

$$Af(x, y) = f(x) + (1-x)f\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) - f(y) - (1-y)f\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right)$$

is bounded. Is it true that $f = g + b$ on $]0, 1[$ where $g :]0, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $Ag = 0$ on D° and $b :]0, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded? This problem is open also for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, if Af is replaced by $A_\alpha f$ where

$$A_\alpha f(x, y) = f(x) + (1-x)^\alpha f\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) - f(y) - (1-y)^\alpha f\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right).$$

We mention that the solutions $g :]0, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the equation $A_\alpha g = 0$ are known (Maksa [8], Maksa and Ng [9]).

Problem 3.3. In the literature (see e.g. Ebanks, Sahoo and Sander [3]) higher dimensional information measures of multiplicative type are also considered. The problem of the stability of the connected functional equations and the system of equations is partly still open.

References

- [1] J. Aczél, Z. Daróczy, On Measures of Information and Their Characterizations, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, 1975.
- [2] Z. Daróczy, Generalized information functions, Inform. and Control 16 (1970) 36–51.
- [3] B. Ebanks, P. Sahoo, W. Sander, Characterizations of Information Measures, Word Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1998.
- [4] G.L. Forti, Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables, Aequationes Math. 50 (1–2) (1995) 143–190.
- [5] R. Ger, A survey of recent results on stability of functional equations, in: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Functional Equations and Inequalities, Pedagogical University in Cracow (1994), pp. 5–36.
- [6] J. Havrda, F. Charvát, Quantification method of classification processes. Concept of structural α -entropy, Kybernetika 3 (1967) 30–35.
- [7] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equations, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 27 (1941) 222–224.
- [8] Gy. Maksa, Solution on the open triangle of the generalized fundamental equation of information with four unknown functions, Util. Math. 21 (1982) 267–282.
- [9] Gy. Maksa, C.T. Ng, The fundamental equation of information on open domain, Publ. Math. Debrecen 33 (1–2) (1986) 9–11.
- [10] A. Morando, A stability result concerning Shannon entropy, Aequationes Math. 62 (2001) 286–296.
- [11] Z. Moszner, Sur les définitions différentes de la stabilité de l'équation fonctionnelle, Aequationes Math. 68 (2004) 260–274.
- [12] C.E. Shannon, A mathematical theory of communication, Bell System Tech. J. 27 (1948) 379–423, and 623–656.
- [13] L. Székelyhidi, 38. Problem, Aequationes Math. 41 (1991) 302.
- [14] C. Tsallis, Possible generalization of Boltzmann–Gibbs statistics, J. Stat Phys. 52 (1–2) (1988) 479–487.
- [15] S.M. Ulam, A Collection of Mathematical Problems, Interscience Publ., New York, 1961;
S.M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1964.