

POSSIBILITIES OF ALGAE IN BIOMASS ENERGY AND FOOD PRODUCTION THROUGH GAS EMISSION MITIGATION

Gábor GRASSELLI – János SZENDREI
University of Debrecen, Faculty of Engineering

Abstract

Global scarcity and territorial inequalities of resources, diminishing stock-type resources are causes for the economy to change to a sustainable operation. Transformation of CO₂ into useful organic compounds promises economically viable way for these goals. Phototrophic CO₂ biofixation of microalgal species is a promising alternative to other CO₂ sequestration approaches, at the same time producing commercially valuable products. This paper reviews the possibilities of algal technologies in terms of their products and the effect of filtered and flue-gas CO₂ on algal biomass production.

1. Objective

Global scarcity and territorial inequalities of resources, diminishing stock-type resources are causes for the economy to replace present value chains and technologies for renewable ones. As regards emissions, there are several international protocols of global environmental summits stipulating CO₂ mitigation. Novel carbon capture strategies have to be established in order to reach these goals. Transformation of CO₂ into useful organic compounds via photosynthesis promises economically viable and sustainable way for these goals.

As Ho et al. [24] summarise the history of algal technologies, microalgae and cyanobacteria that are present on the Earth since the very beginning [43] with well-established traditions of human utilization [47]. Nevertheless, technologies for algae cultivation exist only since a few decades ago [11]. This is due to the rapid increase in global population from the early 1950s on, that made microalgae and cyanobacteria, which have high protein and nutrients content, good candidates for food enhancement. In addition, microalgae and cyanobacteria have considerable potential for other purposes, including food additives, biofuel, cosmetics and pharmaceuticals. Combinations with other technologies such as biomass energy and agricultural production are also promising [2], [20].

This paper aims to review the possibilities of algal technologies in terms of their products and their CO₂-mitigation ability.

2. Possibilities of algae in biomass production for energy, food and other purposes

As highlighted by Bai [5], energy market has a very considerable share within the fossil energy based global economy, which equals 7 trillion USD in 2008, representing 13% within the global GDP. Oil production and consumption in the world reached 5 trillion litres in 2008. This huge amount is, however, environmentally not sustainable, both in terms of resources and emissions. Nevertheless, its infrastructure (from production through transportation, processing, distribution through consumption) represents an incredibly huge value that is almost impossible to replace in the short term. Thus, invading this nearly infinite market by alternative fuels is a giant market possibility and an environmental necessity, although a difficult economic task to solve.

As regards the economic and social-political relations of fuels, the European Union and Hungary share the same problems [7]: the EU's dependency reaches 55% in energy import and 80% in

oil import, and Hungary has even greater dependency, which is ca. 66% in total energy and near 90% in oil products.

Algae-based biofuels as next generation propellants can be compared to an ideal alternative fuel, which is [7] renewable; economic (compared to products from mineral oil, to other alternative fuels and to other uses of their feedstock); potentially able to fully replace oil; at least partly able to utilize the oil-economy's infrastructure (cars, pipelines, stations). If possible, next generation fuels also eliminates the worries raised by first generation biofuels, in general: causing famine partly directly ("food fed in cars"), partly indirectly (through decreasing food- and feed prices); consuming arable land and causing tropical deforestation; using more energy for their production, as gained by their utilization; having adverse environmental and nature protection effect is, due to industrialized production and special species; resulting in few workplaces because of automated technologies. Algae-based biofuels conform many of these characteristics, except that of economy at present level [5]. However, resources and economic sustainability and overall potential advantages over other sources of other biofuels [4] make algae fuels the ultimate alternative to petro-diesel [44] in the long term. This is the most important usage type of algal energy, though other uses are also prospective [30].

These all imply the prospectivity and the need for further research results, technology, management, economy and policy enhancements, to mention some important areas of development. The existence of algae containing 30–75% of lipid by dry basis (these can be also called oilgae) [17] present good prospects for algae-biodiesel. However, the cultivation and downstream processing technologies have to be carefully reviewed and further developed for better efficiency and economy [27].

Considering gas emission mitigation purposes of microalgal technologies, they do not necessarily contradict to algae-biodiesel production. Investigations effects of flue gas aeration on lipid production in *Chlorella* sp. MTF-7 [14] have shown, that lipid content have not increased with flue gas aeration compared with CO₂-enriched gas (25%) aeration, but the lipid production was higher, due to increased biomass production.

Algae-based biomass production has advantages compared to traditional crop production [6], since their reproduction is very fast, which can allow a harvest even every week, involving relatively modest capital need because of a continuous operation of the processing plants. paired with excellent light utilization, algae can produce more biomass per area than dry-land plants (even 150-300 t/ha), at the same time needing no arable land. Instead of using food production resources, algal by-products of biofuel processing can serve as feedstock for food and feed. Moreover, a proper technology instalment can easily be adjusted to the needs of different species and production purposes, e.g., to switch from energy production to feed production, or to produce any type of energy. The fact that also flue gas can be effectively and safely used for food biomass production is also promising in terms of economy of technologies and safe food supply. A study has revealed that "extending the flue gas treatment prior to the cultivation unit by a simple granulated activated carbon column led to an efficient absorption of gaseous mercury and to the algal biomass composition compliant with all the foodstuff legislation requirements" [19].

In addition to the products listed in Table 1, microalgae have also represent a possible solution to a number of environmental problems, as well as GHG mitigation as waste treatments. Algal technologies are well suited to waste water management [12], combining nutrient removal with biomass and biofuel production. The composition of liquid pig manure is also favorable to algae production [8]. Based on outdoor experiments, Bai et al. [3]

recommend the adaptation of a 12- to 14-day rotation period. An algae farm operated this way requires a relative modest amount of capital while achieving sludge management and energy producing purposes. This way, a feasible microalgal-CO₂ mitigation model for commercial use can be facilitated (Ono and

Cuello, 2006), not only fixing CO₂ effectively, but also converting biomass to different valuable by-products. These includes such is biodiesel, and also lutein and other pigments for health food applications, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, even photoluminescent markers for research applications.

Table 1. Purposes and types of algae technologies

Microalgal species	FOOD AND FEED	ENERGY (biofuels)	OTHER (cosmetics, pharmaceuticals)
<i>Botryococcus braunii</i> /Chlorophyta		[12], [13]	
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> / Chlorophyta	[11], [47], [33]	[12]	[23], [50]
<i>Dunaliella salina</i> / Chlorophyta	[36], [47], [28], [16]		[36], [47]
<i>Haematococcus pluvialis</i> /Chlorophyta	[47], [16]		[47], [16]
<i>Isochrysis galbana</i> /Chlorophyta	[37]; [42]		
<i>Lyngbya majuscula</i> / Cyanobacteria	[46]		[46]
<i>Muriellopsis sp.</i> /Chlorophyta	[10]; [16]		
<i>Nannochloropsis spp.</i> /Heterokontophyta	[54]	[12], [13]	
<i>Odontella aurita</i> / Bacillariophyta	[42]		[42]
<i>Phaedactylum tricornutum</i> / Bacillariophyta	[51]; [1]	[51]; [1]	
<i>Porphyridium cruentum</i> / Rhodophyta	[22]		[22]
<i>Spirulina (Arthrospira spp.)</i> /Cyanobacteria	[47], [49], [9], [33], [15]		[47], [49], [43], [33], [15]

3. Possibilities of algae in CO₂ emission mitigation

Greenhouse gases are the radiatively active gaseous constituents of the atmosphere, which contribute to the phenomena of global warming. According to recent studies, carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the most important of them, while methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxides (NO_x) represent considerably smaller quantities in the atmosphere [29]. Trapping CO₂ through carbon sequestration strategies is therefore a current global environmental issue.

According to Ho et al. (2011) [24], CO₂ emissions stem mainly from power plants burning fossil fuels (e.g., coal, oil, and LNG). Major flue gas components are carbon dioxide, SO_x and NO_x [31]. Besides power plants, other large future possibility is to use

algae in the mitigation of transportation emissions [21]. Using flue gas to cultivate microalgae is a promising way of mitigating CO₂ emissions [18], [34] but could suffer the problem with the growth inhibitory effects arising from the presence of high concentrations of NO_x and SO_x. Therefore, isolation of high CO₂-tolerant microalgae is the first step toward the development of a feasible microalgae-based system for CO₂ capture from flue gas [52]. For example, Chiu et al. [14] have used *Chlorella sp.* MTF-7 that was isolated in laboratory by chemical mutagenesis. *Scenedesmus* species are also reported to suit these purpose [25].

Table 2 shows some literature results on how CO₂ affected algal biomass growth in experiments using CO₂-enriched air and real flue gases.

Table 1. Purposes and types of algae technologies

Microalgal species	CO ₂ (%) ^{a)}	Temperature (°C)	NO _x /SO _x (mg L ⁻¹) ^{a)}	Specific growth rate (d ⁻¹)	Biomass productivity (mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	Reference
<i>Chlorella</i> sp. MTF-7	2	25	0	1,25	250	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	2	25	0	1,23	247	[14]
<i>Chlorogleopsis</i> sp.	5	50	N.D	0,65	40	[39]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp. MTF-7	10	25	0	0,75	150	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	10	25	0	0,74	148	[14]
<i>Nannochloris</i> sp.	15	25	0/50	N.D	350	[38]
<i>Nannochloropsis</i> sp.	15	25	0/50	N.D	300	[38]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	15	25	0/60	N.D	1000	[32]
Hot spring algae	15	50	N.D	3,00	267	[26]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	20	40	N.D	5,76	700	[45]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp. MTF-7	25	25	0	0,95	190	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp. MTF-7	25	25	5/12	1,85	370	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	25	25	0	0,93	185	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	25	25	5/12	1,15	230	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp. MTF-7	25	25	5/12	1,85	370	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp. MTF-7	25	30	5/12	1,95	390	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp. MTF-7	25	35	5/12	1,60	320	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp. MTF-7	25	40	5/12	1,20	240	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	25	25	5/12	1,15	230	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	25	30	5/12	1,05	210	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	25	35	5/12	0,70	140	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	25	40	5/12	0,55	110	[14]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	50	35	60/20	N.D	950	[35]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	50	25	N.D	N.D	386	[48]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	50	25	N.D	N.D	500	[53]
<i>Chlorococcum littorale</i>	50	22	N.D	0,95	44	[40]

a) Chiu et al. (2011)[14]: flue gas from the coke oven of a steel plant contained approximately (20-)25% CO₂, 4% O₂, 80 ppm NO and 90 ppm SO₂. The flue gas was provided at 0.05 vvm.

5. Conclusions

As the above results have shown, phototrophic CO₂ biofixation using fast-growing microalgal species is a very promising alternative to conventional CO₂ sequestration approaches, at the same time producing commercially valuable products [24]. The potential of microalgae as a source of renewable energy, food and non-food ingredients has received considerable interest, but further optimization of mass culture conditions are needed. [12] Future research has many ways to develop these technologies in terms of strains, cultivation, processing as well as system economy, so as to establish and spread economic system variations, to further develop recently not economic systems and to pave the way for future developments of algal technologies.

References

- [1] Acien Fernandez FGA, Hall DO, Guerrero EC, Rao KK, Grima EM (2003) Outdoor production of *Phaeodactylum* *tricornutum* biomass in a helical reactor. *Journal of Biotechnology* 103: 137-152
- [2] Bai A, Jobbágy P, and Durkó E. "Algae Production for Energy and Foddering." *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery* 1, no. 3 (September 1, 2011): 163–171. doi:10.1007/s13399-011-0015-1.
- [3] Bai A, Stündl L., Bársony P., Jobbágy P., Herpergel Z., Fehér M., Vaszkó G.: Algae production on pig sludge. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. Official journal of the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) ISSN: 1774-0746 (print version) ISSN: 1773-0155 (electronic version). 2012 Impact Factor: 3,330. DOI: 10.1007/s13593-011-0077-2, Volume 32, Number 3 (2012), pp. 611-618.
- [4] Bai A. "Liquid Bio-fuels in Hungary: Effects and Contradictions." *APSTRACT: Applied Studies in Agribusiness and Commerce* 2 (2008). <http://ideas.repec.org/a/ags/apstra/48341.html>.
- [5] Bai A. Az alga-alapú üzemanyag-előállítás perspektívái. *Környezetvédelem*. Magyar Mediprint Szakkiadó Kft. ISSN 1216-8610., XVII. évf., 5 szám, 2009. november, pp. 24-26.

- [6] Bai A. Új piacok és módszerek a biogáz-előállításban. Biometán és alga. Östermelő. Gazdálkodók Lapja. Primom SZSZB megyei Vállalkozásélénkítő Alapítvány, Vállalkozói Központ., ISSN 1418-088X. Nyíregyháza, 2010, 3. szám (június-július), pp. 121-122.
- [7] Bai A. Újabb generációs bio-üzemanyagok perspektívái. Magyar Tudomány. Az MTA folyóirata. HU ISSN 0025 0325. Kiadó: Akaprint Kft, Budapest. 171. évf, 7. szám, 2011 július, pp. 861-871.
- [8] Barlow EWR, Boersma L, Phinney HK, Miner JR (1975) Algal growth in diluted pig waste. *Agr Environ* 2:339–355. doi:10.1016/0304-1131(75)90040-5
- [9] Belay A, Ota Y, Miyakawa K, Shimamatsu H. Current knowledge on potential health benefits of Spirulina. *J Appl Phycol* 1993;5:235–41.
- [10] Blanco AM, Moreno J, Del Campo JA, Rivas J, Guerrero JLG: Outdoor cultivation of lutein-rich cells of *Muriellopsis* sp in open ponds. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 73 (2007): 1259-1266
- [11] Borowitzka MA. Commercial production of microalgae: ponds, tanks, tubes and fermenters. *J Biotechnol* 1999;70:313–21.
- [12] Chen CY, Yeh KL, Su HM, Lo YC, Chen WM, Chang JS. Strategies to enhance cell growth and achieve high-level oil production of a *Chlorella vulgaris* isolate. *Biotechnol Prog* 2010;26:679–86.
- [13] Chisti Y. Biodiesel from microalgae. *Biotechnol Adv* 2007;25:294–306.
- [14] Chiu, Sheng-Yi, Chien-Ya Kao, Tzu-Ting Huang, Chia-Jung Lin, Seow-Chin Ong, Chun-Da Chen, Jo-Shu Chang, and Chih-Sheng Lin. “Microalgal Biomass Production and On-site Bioremediation of Carbon Dioxide, Nitrogen Oxide and Sulfur Dioxide from Flue Gas Using *Chlorella* Sp. Cultures.” *Bioresource Technology* 102, no. 19 (October 2011): 9135–9142. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.06.091.
- [15] Costa JAV, Colla LM, Duarte P: *Spirulina platensis* growth in open raceway ponds using fresh water supplemented with carbon, nitrogen and metal ions. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C-A Journal of Biosciences* 58: (2003) 76-80
- [16] Del Campo JA, Garcia-Gonzales M, Guerrero MG (2007) Outdoor cultivation of microalgae for carotenoid production: current state and perspectives. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 74: 1163-1174
- [17] Demirbas, Ayhan. “Biodiesel from Oilgae, Biofixation of Carbon Dioxide by Microalgae: A Solution to Pollution Problems.” *Applied Energy* 88, no. 10 (October 2011): 3541–3547. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2010.12.050.
- [18] Doucha, Jiří, František Straka, and Karel Livánský. “Utilization of Flue Gas for Cultivation of Microalgae *Chlorella* Sp.) in an Outdoor Open Thin-layer Photobioreactor.” *Journal of Applied Phycology* 17, no. 5 (October 1, 2005): 403–412. doi:10.1007/s10811-005-8701-7.
- [19] Douskova, I., J. Doucha, K. Livánský, J. Machat, P. Novak, D. Umysova, V. Zachleder, and M. Vitova. “Simultaneous Flue Gas Bioremediation and Reduction of Microalgal Biomass Production Costs.” *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 82, no. 1 (February 1, 2009): 179–185. doi:10.1007/s00253-008-1811-9.
- [20] Doušková, Irena, František Kaštánek, Yvette Maléterová, Petr Kaštánek, Jiří Doucha, and Vilém Zachleder. “Utilization of Distillery Stillage for Energy Generation and Concurrent Production of Valuable Microalgal Biomass in the Sequence: Biogas-cogeneration-microalgae-products.” *Energy Conversion and Management* 51, no. 3 (March 2010): 606–611. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2009.11.008.
- [21] Ertiç Taştan, Burcu, Ergin Duygu, Mustafa İlbaş, and Gönül Dönmez. “Utilization of LPG and Gasoline Engine Exhaust Emissions by Microalgae.” *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 246–247 (February 15, 2013): 173–180. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.11.035.
- [22] Fuentes MMR, Sanchez JLG, Sevilla JMF, Fernandez FGA, Perez JAS, Grima EM (1999) Outdoor continuous culture of *Porphyridium cruentum* in a tubular photobioreactor: quantitative analysis of the daily cyclic variation of culture parameters. *Journal of Biotechnology* 70: 271-288
- [23] Gouveia L, Veloso V, Reis A, Fernandes H, Novais J, Empis J. Evolution of pigment composition in *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Bioresour Technol* 1996;57:157.
- [24] Ho, Shih-Hsin, Chun-Yen Chen, Duu-Jong Lee, and Jo-Shu Chang. “Perspectives on Microalgal CO₂-emission Mitigation Systems — A Review.” *Biotechnology Advances* 29, no. 2 (March 2011): 189–198. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.11.001.
- [25] Ho, Shih-Hsin, Wei-Bin Lu, and Jo-Shu Chang. “Photobioreactor Strategies for Improving the CO₂ Fixation Efficiency of Indigenous *Scenedesmus Obliquus* CNW-N: Statistical Optimization of CO₂ Feeding, Illumination, and Operation Mode.” *Bioresour Technol* 105 (February 2012): 106–113. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.091.
- [26] Hsueh HT, Chu H, Yu ST. A batch study on the bio-fixation of carbon dioxide in the absorbed solution from a chemical wet scrubber by hot spring and marine algae. *Chemosphere* 2007;66:878–86.
- [27] Huang, GuanHua, Feng Chen, Dong Wei, XueWu Zhang, and Gu Chen. “Biodiesel Production by Microalgal Biotechnology.” *Applied Energy* 87, no. 1 (January 2010): 38–46. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.06.016.
- [28] Jin ES, Melis A (2003) Microalgal biotechnology: Carotenoid production by the green algae *Dunaliella salina*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 8: 331-337
- [29] Kiehl, J. T., and Kevin E. Trenberth. “Earth’s Annual Global Mean Energy Budget.” *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 78, no. 2 (February 1997): 197–208. doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1997)078<0197:EAGMEB>2.0.CO;2.
- [30] Lakaniemi, Aino-Maija, Olli H. Tuovinen, and Jaakko A. Puhakka. “Anaerobic Conversion of Microalgal Biomass to Sustainable Energy Carriers – A Review.” *Bioresour Technol*. Accessed April 13, 2013. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.08.096.
- [31] Lee JN, Lee JS, Shin CS, Park SC, Kim SW. Methods to enhance tolerances of *Chlorella* KR-1 to toxic compounds in flue gas. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 2000;84–6:329–42.
- [32] Lee JS, Kim DK, Lee JP, Park SC, Koh JH, Cho HS, et al. Effects of SO₂ and NO on growth of *Chlorella* sp KR-1. *Bioresour Technol* 2002;82:1–4.
- [33] Lee YK. Microalgal mass culture systems and methods: their limitation and potential. *J Appl Phycol* 2001;13:307–15.
- [34] Li, Fang-Fang, Zhong-Hua Yang, Rong Zeng, Gai Yang, Xu Chang, Jia-Bao Yan, and Ya-Li Hou. “Microalgae Capture of CO₂ from Actual Flue Gas Discharged from a Combustion Chamber.” *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 50, no. 10 (May 18, 2011): 6496–6502. doi:10.1021/ie200040q.
- [35] Maeda K, Owada M, Kimura N, Omata K, Karube I. CO₂ fixation from the flue-gas on coal-fired thermal power-plant by microalgae. *Energy Convers Manage* 1995;36:717–20. et al. (1995)
- [36] Metting FB. Biodiversity and application of microalgae. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 1996;17: 477–89.
- [37] Molina Grima EM, Perez JAS, Camacho FG, Sevilla JMF, Fernandez FGA (1994) Effect of Growth-Rate on the Eicosapentaenoic Acid and Docosahexaenoic Acid Content of *Isochrysis-Galbana* in Chemostat Culture. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 41: 23-27

- [38] Negoro M, Shioji N, Miyamoto K, Miura Y. Growth of microalgae in high CO₂ gas and effects of SO_x and NO_x. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 1991;28-9:877-86.
- [39] Ono E, Cuello JL. Carbon dioxide mitigation using thermophilic cyanobacteria. *Biosys Eng* 2007;96:129-34.
- [40] Ota M, Kato Y, Watanabe H, Watanabe M, Sato Y, Smith RL, et al. Fatty acid production from a highly CO₂ tolerant alga, *Chlorococcum littorale*, in the presence of inorganic carbon and nitrate. *Bioresour Technol* 2009;100:5237-42.
- [41] Pittman, Jon K., Andrew P. Dean, and Olumayowa Osundeko. "The Potential of Sustainable Algal Biofuel Production Using Wastewater Resources." *Bioresource Technology* 102, no. 1 (January 2011): 17-25. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.035.
- [42] Pulz O, Gross W. Valuable products from biotechnology of microalgae. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2004;65:635-48.
- [43] Raja R, Hemaiswarya S, Kumar NA, Sridhar S, Rengasamy R. A perspective on the biotechnological potential of microalgae. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2008;34:77-88.
- [44] Rawat, I., R. Ranjith Kumar, T. Mutanda, and F. Bux. "Biodiesel from Microalgae: A Critical Evaluation from Laboratory to Large Scale Production." *Applied Energy* 103 (March 2013): 444-467. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.004.
- [45] Sakai N, Sakamoto Y, Kishimoto N, Chihara M, Karube I. *Chlorella* strains from hot springs tolerant to high-temperature and high CO₂. *Energy Convers Manage* 1995;36:693-6.
- [46] Singh S, Kate BN, Banerjee UC (2005) Bioactive compounds from cyanobacteria and microalgae: An overview. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology* 25: 73-95
- [47] Spolaore P, Joannis-Cassan C, Duran E, Isambert A (2006) Commercial applications of microalgae. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 101: 87-96
- [48] Sung KD, Lee JS, Shin CS, Park SC. Isolation of a new highly CO₂ tolerant fresh water microalga *Chlorella* sp. KR-1. *Renewable Energy* 1999;16:1019-22.
- [49] Viskari PJ, Colyer CL. Rapid extraction of phycobiliproteins from cultured cyanobacteria samples. *Anal Biochem* 2003;319:263-71.
- [50] Wang H-M, Pan J-L, Chen C-Y, Chiu C-C, Yang M-H, Chang H-W, et al. Identification of anti-lung cancer extract from *Chlorella vulgaris* C-C by antioxidant property using supercritical carbon dioxide extraction. *Process Biochem* 2010;45:1865-72.
- [51] Yongmanitchai W, Ward OP (1991) Growth of and Omega-3-Fatty-Acid Production by *Phaeodactylum-Tricornutum* under Different Culture Conditions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 57: 419-425
- [52] Yoo, Chan, So-Young Jun, Jae-Yon Lee, Chi-Yong Ahn, and Hee-Mock Oh. "Selection of Microalgae for Lipid Production Under High Levels Carbon Dioxide." *Bioresource Technology* 101, no. 1, Supplement (January 2010): S71-S74. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.03.030.
- [53] Yue LH, Chen WG. Isolation and determination of cultural characteristics of a new highly CO₂ tolerant fresh water microalgae. *Energy Convers Manage* 2005;46:1868-76.
- [54] Zittelli GC, Lavista F, Bastianini A, Rodolfi L, Vincenzini M, Tredici MR. Production of eicosapentaenoic acid by *Nannochloropsis* sp cultures in outdoor tubular photobioreactors. *J Biotechnol* 1999;70:299-312.