# Hemorheological factors can be informative in comparing treatment possibilities of abdominal compartment syndrome

- <sup>4</sup> Norbert Nemeth<sup>a,\*</sup>, Katalin Peto<sup>a</sup>, Adam Deak<sup>a</sup>, Viktoria Sogor<sup>a</sup>, Gabor Varga<sup>a</sup>,
- <sup>5</sup> Bence Tanczos<sup>a</sup>, Klaudia Balog<sup>b</sup>, Adrienn Csiszko<sup>b</sup>, Zoltan Godo<sup>c</sup>
- 6 and Zsolt Szentkereszty<sup>b</sup>
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>a</sup>Department of Operative Techniques & Surgical Research, Faculty of Medicine,
- 8 University of Debrecen, Hungary
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>b</sup>Institute of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Hungary
- <sup>10</sup> <sup>c</sup>Department of Information Technology, Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Hungary

#### 11 Abstract.

- BACKGROUND: Abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) is a life-threatening condition, of which pathomechanism
   hasn't been completely clarified, yet. Furthermore, surgical therapy still needs optimization.
- OBJECTIVE: We aimed to investigate microcirculatory and micro-rheological alterations in ACS, using various temporary
   abdominal closure methods, including three settings of vacuum-assisted closure technique (negative pressure wound therapy,
   NPWT).
- METHODS: On anesthetized pigs, by intraabdominally placed and filled-up silicone bags, intraabdominal pressure at
   30 mmHg was maintained for 3 hours, and afterwards, decompressive laparotomy happened. In different experimental groups
   Bogota-bag or Vivano-sets were applied (-50, -100, -150 mmHg) for 2 hours. Pressure monitoring was done by implanted
- sensors, hemorheological parameters were determined, and laser Doppler flowmetry tests were performed on the surface of
   intraabdominal organs.
- **RESULTS:** Treatment with Bogota-bag and -150 mmHg vacuum increased erythrocyte aggregation, while deformability declined. Blood viscosity increased after treatment with -150 mmHg vacuum. The microcirculatory parameters of the NPWT
- groups were better in case of the small intestine.
- CONCLUSIONS: ACS resulted in impairment of macro- and micro-rheological parameters and abdominal organs' microcirculation. All of the used techniques improved the results, however, applying Bogota-bag or -150 mmHg vacuum set showed worse microcirculatory and micro-rheological data than the settings at -100 or -50 mmHg.
- 28 Keywords: Abdominal compartment syndrome, negative pressure wound therapy, microcirculation, hemorheology

## **1. Introduction**

- <sup>29</sup> Intra-abdominal hypertension (IAH) is known as a serious complication of critically ill patients.
- <sup>30</sup> Without appropriate treatment abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) may develop, that is a life-

threatening condition even nowadays [1, 10, 18]. The definition of ACS, based on the 2013 guidelines

of the World Society of the Abdominal Compartment Syndrome (WSACS) reads as follows: "ACS is defined as a sustained IAP >20 mmHg (with or without an APP <60 mmHg) that is associated with

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Norbert Nemeth, MD, PhD, Department of Operative Techniques and Surgical Research, Institute of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, H-4032 Debrecen, Nagyerdeikrt 98, Hungary. Tel./Fax: +36 52 416 915; E-mail: nemeth@med.unideb.hu.

new organ dysfunction/failure". The APP is defined as the mean arterial pressure (MAP) minus the
 IAP [11, 15, 16].

Normal value of intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) does not exceed 5-7 mmHg. Several primary 35 (e.g., blunt/penetrating trauma, ruptured abdominal aorta aneurysm, mechanical intestinal obstruction, 36 postoperative bleedings, etc.) and secondary conditions (e.g., severe intra-abdominal infection, ascites, 37 pancreatitis, ileus, sepsis, major burns, etc.) can cause intra-abdominal hypertension [5, 21, 22, 26, 28]. 38 There is also an entity known as recurrent (or tertier) ACS. Regardless of the inciting event, all lead to 39 a capillary leak syndrome and bowel wall edema, which leads to increased intra-abdominal pressure 40 and ultimately organ dysfunction occurs. The primer conditions needs urgent surgical or interventional 41 radiological treatment, while in secondary conditions conservative therapies might be successful, but 42 in case of their ineffectiveness surgical intervention is needed [6, 15]. The scale is colourful and the 43 sequence between the intra-abdominal hypertension (IAH) and abdominal compartment syndrome 44 (ACS) is a continuous line without sharp border. The mortality of ACS is very high (even 80%) 45 [11, 15, 16, 24]. Despite the very high mortality rate of the syndrome, little is known of the extent and 46 influencing factors of these microcirculatory changes. 47

The intra-abdominal hypertension might occur suddenly or progressively according to the etiology by the magnitude for grades are known (grade I: 12–15mmHg, grade II: 16–20 mmHg, grade III: 21–25 mmHg, grade IV: >25 mmHg). Abdominal compartment syndrome is defined as a sustained IAP over 20 mmHg (IAH grade III-IV), when the abdominal perfusion pressure decreases and the intra-abdominal hypertension is associated with any organ dysfunction [15, 24]. In development of organ dysfunction besides the decreased perfusion pressure and hemodynamical alterations, supposedly hemorheological and microcirculatory deterioration also might play a role [3, 14, 20].

However, hemorheological relations of the abdominal compartment syndrome have not been elu-55 cidated yet. The rheological parameters of the circulating blood are important factors in macro- and 56 microcirculation [7]. Micro-rheological parameters, such as red blood cell deformability and red blood 57 cell aggregation are highly important ones, since impaired deformability and enhanced aggregation lead 58 to microcirculatory deterioration [3, 7, 19]. Therefore conducting experiments on these parameters is 59 of upmost importance, we believe, especially in surgical pathophysiology research. Decrease in blood 60 due to any reasons leads to a circulatory insufficiency and disturbance in tissue perfusion. This will 61 cause further negative changes in the rheological parameters of the blood via local metabolic changes 62 and tissue damage associated acute phase reactions, resulting in the elevation of blood-viscosity which, 63 in turn, closing the vicious circle, would lead to further decrease in perfusion and disturbances of the 64 circulation [3]. 65

To prevent and to treat perfusion disturbances, a surgical approach is needed. The aim of the surgical 66 intervention is decompression, and, if possible, the elimination of the etiology. Above 20 mmHg of IAP 67 and/or organic failure decompressive laparotomy is needed (e.g., median, transverse transrectal, bilat-68 eral subcostal). Partial solution is the subcutan linea alba fasciotomy. Afterwards temporary abdominal 69 closure (TAC) and further treatment methods are necessary to be applied, such as fascia closing by 70 retention stitches, closing the cutis by clips (deeper layers opened), zipper systems, Wittmann-patch, 71 or using various surgical meshes (even combined), Bogota-bag, or Vacuum Assisted Closure (VAC) -72 Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT) [6, 8, 12, 15, 16, 27]. The optimal value of negative pres-73 sure during the NPWT/VAC, however, is not yet supported with enough objective data when referring 74 to the abdominal compartment syndrome, and there is only a very limited amount of available research 75 in literature [e.g., 4]. 76

The objective of this study was the comparative analysis of surgical treatment methods of the abdominal compartment syndrome (Bogota-bag, NPWT at -50, -100, or -150 mmHg settings) investigating microcirculation of selected intra-abdominal organs, and the influencing micro-rheological parameters in a porcine model. We hypothesized that abdominal compartment syndrome may cause micro-rheological alterations, and the various NPWT settings show the effectiveness in different manner on reducing microcirculatory disturbances.

#### 83 2. Materials and methods

#### <sup>84</sup> 2.1. Experimental animals, operative techniques and sampling protocol

The animal experiment parts were approved and registered by the University of Debrecen Committee of Animal Welfare (permission Nr.: 13/2014/UDCAW), in accordance with national and EU regulations (the Hungarian Animal Protection Act (Law XVIII/1998) and the Edict 63/2010).

Twenty-six female juvenile Hungahib  $(17.52 \pm 1.75 \text{ kg})$  were anaesthetized (15 mg/kg Ketamin +88 1 mg/kg Xylazine, maintenance: half-dose combination, in case of necessity). Tracheostomy inferior 89 was performed for assisted ventilation and the left external jugular vein and the left femoral artery 90 were prepared and cannulated for hemodynamic measurement, blood samplings and volume therapy. 91 Via epicystostomy a catheter was introduced into the urinary bladder for determining hourly urine 92 output. A 2-3-cm incision was maid above the symphysis a sterile elastic silicon bag was placed into 93 the abdominal cavity, and it was tilled up with body temperature physiological solution till the intra-94 abdominal pressure reached 30 mmHg. This condition was maintained for 3 hours. By a connecting 95 pressure monitor the intra-abdominal pressure was regularly checked. Following the 3-hour intra-96 abdominal hypertension face the silicon bag was drained and removed, and decompressive median 97 laparotomy was performed. 98

According to the experimental groups different abdominal closure and treatment methods were applied. Bogota-bag was sutured (n=6), or Vivano-abdominal sets (negative pressure wound therapy, NPWT) were applied using various vacuum settings: -50 mmHg (n=7), -100 mmHg (n=7), or -150 mmHg (n=6), respectively. After 2 hours, the Bogota-bag or the Vivano-abdominal sets were removed.

<sup>104</sup> Blood samplings (6–8 ml each,  $K_3$ -EDTA) via the cannulated vein were performed before and in the <sup>105</sup> 1st, 2nd and 3rd hour of the IAH period, and in the 1st and 2nd hour of the treatment phase as well. <sup>106</sup> Equal volume of physiological saline solution was replaced intravenously.

<sup>107</sup> Microcirculatory measurements were carried out just after performing the decompressive laparotomy <sup>108</sup> and after the 2-hour treatment period.

Before using the temporary abdominal closure technique or the Vivano-abdominal set, special pressure sensors were placed into the abdominal cavity at various points. The multichannel pressure monitor device was a custom-made development by Zoltan Godo (Department of Information Technology, Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen).

#### 113 2.2. Laboratory methods

Hematological parameters were tested by a Sysmex F-800 semi-automated microcell counter (TOA
 Medical Electronics Co., Ltd., Japan).

Blood and plasma viscosity determine by a capillary viscosimeter (Hevimet-40, Hemorex Ltd., Hungary). The whole blood viscosity values were corrected for 40% hematocrit, using the Mátraiformula:  $WBV_{40\%}/PV = (WBV_{Hct}/PV)^{(40\%/Hct)}$ , where  $WBV_{40\%}$ : corrected for 40% Hct;  $WBV_{Hct}$ : whole blood viscosity measured at the native Hct; PV: plasma viscosity; Hct: actual hematocrit value [%] of the sample [23].

For determining red blood cell aggregation we used light-transmittance and light-reflectance methods. A Myrenne MA-1 erythrocyte aggregometer (Myrenne GmbH, Germany) was used for determining aggregation index values M (at shear rate of 0 s<sup>-1</sup>) and M1 (at shear rate of 3 s<sup>-1</sup>) at 5 or 10 seconds after disaggregation. The indices (M 5 s, M1 5 s, M 10 s, M1 10 s) increase with enhanced red blood cell aggregation [9]. By the LoRRca syllectometry the following parameters were determined: amplitude (Amp [au]), aggregation index (AI [%]) and the aggregation half-time (t<sub>1/2</sub> [s]) [9].

Red blood cell deformability was determined by LoRRca MaxSis Osmoscan rotational ektacytometer 128 (Mechatronics BV, The Netherlands), in which the cells' elongation index (EI) was tested in the function 129 of shear stress (SS [Pa]) [9]. Measurements were carried out at 37°C. Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) – 130 phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution was used as high-viscosity suspending media (PVP: 360 kDa, 131 Sigma-Aldrich Co. USA; PVP-PBS solution viscosity = 30.83 mPas, osmolality = 298 mOsmol/kg, 132 pH=7.2). For the comparison of the EI-SS curves the Lineweaver-Burk analysis was applied, and 133 the ratio of maximal elongation index (EI<sub>max</sub>) and the shear stress value at half EI<sub>max</sub> (SS<sub>1/2</sub> [Pa]) 134 was used [2]. 135

In osmotic gradient ektacytometry (osmoscan) test the elongation index values were continuously determined at constant shear stress (30 Pa), while the osmolality was changing (0–500 mOsmol/kg) [9]. Among the device-given parameters we analyzed the minimal elongation index values measured at low-osmotic environment (minimal EI), the maximal elongation index values (maximal EI, not equal to EI<sub>max</sub>), the belonging osmolality values (minO and maxO), and the area under the individual elongation index-osmolality curves (AUC).

#### 142 2.3. Microcirculatory measurements

After decompressive laparotomy and at the end of the 2-hour treatment period, microcirculation was 143 monitored by laser Doppler technique (LD-01 Laser Doppler Flowmeter, Experimetria Ltd., Hungary), 144 using a standard pencil probe (Oxford Optronix Ltd., UK). The device determines blood flux unit (BFU 145 [au]) based on the number of moving red blood cells and their mean velocity in the tested tissue volume 146  $(1-1.5 \text{ mm}^3)$  [17]. the probe was gently placed on the surface of the greater omentum (1-2 cm from)147 the right angle), on the middle region of the right liver lobe, on the head of the pancreas, on the 148 antimesenterial surface of a jejunum loop and on the anterior middle surface of the right kidney, 149 consecutively. The signal was recorded by S.P.E.L. Advanced Kymograph software (Experimetria 150 Ltd., Hungary) at 1 kHz sampling rate for 30–60 seconds. During off-line data analysis the average 151 value of a noise-free 10-second long representative section of each recorded graph was calculated. 152

### 153 2.4. Statistical analysis

<sup>154</sup> Data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation (S.D.). One way and repeated measures ANOVA <sup>155</sup> tests were used for intra- and inter-group comparisons (Bonferroni/Dunn methods). For simple compar-<sup>166</sup> ison of inter-group differences at single time points, *t*-test/Mann-Whitney rank sum tests were applied <sup>157</sup> as well, depending on the normality of data distribution. A *p* < 0.05 value was considered statistically <sup>158</sup> significant.

#### 159 **3. Results**

#### *3.1. General observations*

<sup>161</sup> Mean arterial pressure decreased, central venous pressure increased during the intra-abdominal <sup>162</sup> hypertension (IAH) period (data not shown). The 30 mmHg could be maintained well during the <sup>163</sup> 3-hour period. Diuresis (hourly urine output) decreased. By definition, the abdominal compartment
 <sup>164</sup> syndrome occurred. Exitus happened in all groups (one/each) in the 2nd and 3rd hours of the increased
 <sup>165</sup> intra-abdominal pressure period.

#### 166 3.2. Hematological parameters

White blood cell count increased in all groups gradually over the intra-abdominal hypertension period, and showed further rise mostly in -50 and -100 mmHg groups by the end of the experiments (I. Bogota-group base:  $12.45 \pm 3.27$ , end of IAH:  $16.3 \pm 6.63$ , end of experiment  $18.07 \pm 7.36 \ 10^9$ /L; II. -50 mmHg group base:  $14.91 \pm 5.88$ , end of IAH:  $23.61 \pm 5.88$ , end of experiment:  $23.72 \pm 8.62$  $10^9$ /L; III. -100 mmHg group base:  $14.89 \pm 2.91$ , end of IAH:  $24.01 \pm 10.15$ , end of experiment:  $28.6 \pm 11.28 \ 10^9$ /L; IV. -150 mmHg group base:  $15.13 \pm 4.69$ , end of IAH  $24.94 \pm 10.82$ , end of experiment:  $19.43 \pm 7.28 \ 10^9$ /L).

Platelet count showed a moderate decrease over the IAH then slightly increased without significant difference. Qualitative red blood cell parameters did not show important changes. Hematocrit values decreased over of experiment without significant difference (I. Bogota-group base:  $40.04 \pm 9.85$ , end of IAH:  $39.97 \pm 4.63$ , end of experiment  $40.3 \pm 4.34$  %; II. -50 mmHg group base:  $35.55 \pm 3.67$ , end of IAH:  $41.04 \pm 4.83$ , end of experiment:  $38.53 \pm 4.82$  %; III. -100 mmHg group base:  $35.75 \pm 1.87$ , end of IAH:  $41.48 \pm 4.47$ , end of experiment:  $38.28 \pm 4.65$  %; IV. -150 mmHg group base:  $38.07 \pm 6.02$ , end of IAH  $38.61 \pm 6.03$ , end of experiment:  $34.85 \pm 3.95$  %).

#### 181 3.3. Blood and plasma viscosity

<sup>182</sup> Whole blood viscosity at 90 s<sup>-1</sup> shear rate slightly increased in Bogota and -50 mmHg groups <sup>183</sup> and moderately decreased in -100 and -150 mmHg groups. The corrected values for 40% hematocrit <sup>184</sup> showed a relative increase in -150 mmHg groups, while the values of -50 and -150 mmHg groups <sup>185</sup> were lower compared to the Bogota-group (Table 1).

186 3.4. Red blood cell aggregation

The red blood cell aggregation index values gradually increased over the 3-hour period of intraabdominal hypertension. By the end of the treatment period the lowest values were found in the -100 mmHg group (Table 1, Fig. 1A).

Aggregation index % (AI%) showed a minimal increase over the IAH then it decreased by the end of the experiment in all groups. Amplitude increased by the end of the experiment without significant difference between groups.  $T_{1/2}$  values increased after the IAH period resulting in the highest values in the -100 and -150 mmHg groups (Table 1).

<sup>194</sup> *3.5. Red blood cell deformability (normal and osmotic gradient ektacytometry)* 

Red blood cell deformability did not show important changes during the intra-abdominal hypertension period. Significantly lower values were found in Bogota-group and -150 mmHg group in the 1st and 2nd hours of the treatment period (Fig. 1B).

Osmotic gradient ektacytometry parameter did not show important changes, however the AUC continuously decreased over the experiment (I. Bogota-group base:  $136.55 \pm 3.73$ , end of IAH:  $129.91 \pm 3.9$ , end of experiment  $130.4 \pm 3.41$ ; II. -50 mmHg group base:  $135.67 \pm 4.21$ , end of IAH:  $126.6 \pm 11.34$ , end of experiment:  $129.84 \pm 5.73$ ; III. -100 mmHg group base:  $139.55 \pm 4.67$ , end of IAH:  $128.55 \pm 3.44$ , end of experiment:  $129.23 \pm 3.91$ ; IV. -150 mmHg group base:  $134.51 \pm 6.43$ ,

#### Table 1

Whole blood viscosity values corrected for 40% hematocrit (WBV<sub>40%</sub>), red blood cell aggregation parameters determined by Myrenne aggregometer (M 5 s, M 10 s, M1 5 s and M1 10 s indices) and the LoRRca (AI%, Amp, t<sub>1/2</sub>) during the 3-hour 30-mmHg intra-abdominal hypertension period, and after decompression, during the consecutive 2-hour application of various abdominal closure techniques ("Treatment": Bogota-bag, NPWT at –50, –100 and –150 mmHg)

Variable	Group	Base	Intra-abdominal hypertension phase (3 hours)			Treatment phase (2 hours)	
			1h	2h	3h	4h	5h
WBV <sub>40%</sub>	Bogota	$3.19\pm0.28$	_	_	$2.99\pm0.2$	_	$3.2 \pm 0.65$
[mPas]					$(0.91 \pm 0.07)$		$(1.04 \pm 0.11)$
	-50 Hgmm	$3.3\pm0.016$	_	_	$3.2 \pm 0.13$	- (	$3.09 \pm 0.21$
	-				$(0.97 \pm 0.1)$		$(0.95 \pm 0.06)$
	-100 Hgmm	$3.54 \pm 1.06$	-	-	$3.44\pm0.6$	-	$3.29 \pm 0.48$
					$(1 \pm 0.25)$		$(0.95 \pm 0.21)$
	-150 Hgmm	$2.99 \pm 0.65$	-	_	$2.98\pm0.41$	-	$3.19 \pm 1.12$
					$(1.05 \pm 0.33)$		$(1.14 \pm 0.35)$
M 5 s	Bogota	$1.98\pm0.94$	$2.44\pm0.95$	$2.89 \pm 1.08$	$2.71\pm0.99$	$2.54\pm0.89$	$2.55\pm0.77$
			$(1.33 \pm 0.63)$	$(1.66 \pm 0.95)$	$(1.42 \pm 0.74)$	$(1.56 \pm 1.16)$	$(1.78 \pm 1.03)$
	-50 Hgmm	$1.49\pm0.69$	$1.76\pm0.55$	$1.9\pm0.67$	$1.75\pm0.88$	$2.53 \pm 1.23^{*}$	$2.6\pm0.78$
			$(1.14 \pm 0.39)$	$(1.23 \pm 0.6)$	$(1.28 \pm 0.66)$	$(1.67 \pm 0.75)$	$(1.96 \pm 0.99)$
	-100 Hgmm	$1.58\pm0.84$	$2\pm0.6$	$1.54\pm0.76$	$2.07 \pm 1.44$	$1.86 \pm 0.64$	$1.71\pm0.72$
			$(1.01 \pm 0.35)$	$(0.9 \pm 0.62)$	$(0.78 \pm 0.29)$	$(1.1 \pm 0.48)$	$(0.84 \pm 0.37)$
	–150 Hgmm	$2.04\pm0.88$	$2.57\pm0.92$	$2.75\pm0.97$	$3.12\pm0.63$	$2.23 \pm 0.66$	$2.47\pm0.82^+$
			$(1.27 \pm 0.35)$	$(1.91 \pm 0.83)$	$(2.01 \pm 1.41)$	$(1.42 \pm 0.99)$	$(1.62 \pm 0.65)$
M 10 s	Bogota	$7.39 \pm 1.98$	$8.31 \pm 3.1$	$8.58 \pm 4.01$	$7.63 \pm 1.61$	$8.13\pm2.73$	$7.35 \pm 1.36$
			$(1.22 \pm 0.41)$	$(1.08 \pm 0.29)$	$(0.99 \pm 0.15)$	$(1.1 \pm 0.27)$	$(1.04 \pm 0.26)$
	–50 Hgmm	$5.92\pm2.17$	$5.95 \pm 1.81$	$6.2 \pm 2.31$	$5.31 \pm 2.32$	$4.57\pm2.72$	$6.85 \pm 3.44$
			$(0.88 \pm 0.12)$	$(0.87 \pm 0.35)$	$(0.79 \pm 0.35)$	$(1.03 \pm 0.52)$	$(1.09 \pm 0.29)$
	-100 Hgmm	$4.66 \pm 2.47$	$5.8\pm3.01$	$4.58 \pm 1.75$	$5.1 \pm 1.93$	$4.92 \pm 1.94$	$6.35\pm2.33$
			$(1.07 \pm 0.49)$	$(0.79 \pm 0.49)$	$(0.81 \pm 0.32)$	$(0.86 \pm 0.25)$	$(0.95 \pm 0.28)$
	–150 Hgmm	$8.61 \pm 2.07$	$8.24 \pm 3.46$	$8.32 \pm 4.03$	$8.35 \pm 3.46$	$6.9\pm2.75$	$8.28\pm2.45$
			$(1.1 \pm 0.3)$	$(1.1 \pm 0.59)$	$(0.99 \pm 0.69)$	$(0.98 \pm 0.53)$	$(1.2 \pm 0.35)$
M1 5 s	Bogota	$3.46 \pm 0.83$	$5.24 \pm 1.77$	$5.04 \pm 1.31$	$5.35 \pm 1.13$	$5.53 \pm 1.33$	$4.28 \pm 1.01$
			$(1.6 \pm 0.62)$	$(1.49 \pm 0.4)$	$(1.33 \pm 0.42)$	$(1.04 \pm 0.42)$	$(1.2 \pm 0.27)$
	–50 Hgmm	$4.2 \pm 1.59$	$4.46 \pm 1.68$	$5.26 \pm 1.8$	$4.72 \pm 1.99$	$4.58 \pm 2.28$	$4.4 \pm 2.42$
			$(0.93 \pm 0.3)$	$(1.08 \pm 0.4)$	$(0.96 \pm 0.37)$	$(0.95 \pm 0.65)$	$(1.01 \pm 0.5)$
	–100 Hgmm	$3.24 \pm 1.5$	$4.35 \pm 1.67$	$4.8 \pm 1.74$	$4.32 \pm 2.09$	$4.49 \pm 1.97$	$3.8 \pm 1.96$
			$(1.54 \pm 0.93)$	$(2.26 \pm 1.35)$	$(1.28 \pm 0.53)$	$(1.89 \pm 1.55)$	$(1.42 \pm 1.02)$
	–150 Hgmm	$3.7 \pm 1.05$	$6.1 \pm 1.07$	$4.99 \pm 1.62$	$5.12 \pm 1.82$	$3.4 \pm 0.97$	$3.36 \pm 0.74$
	_		$(1.53 \pm 0.56)$	$(1.05 \pm 0.28)$	$(1.24 \pm 0.27)$	$(0.92 \pm 0.17)$	$(0.82 \pm 0.18)$
M1 10s	Bogota	$9.86 \pm 2.1$	$11.62 \pm 3.79$	$15.28 \pm 5.95$	$12.99 \pm 4.62$	$13.23 \pm 3.99$	$11.06 \pm 3.1$
	<b>50 11</b>	10.14.1.07	$(1.1 \pm 0.52)$	$(1.46 \pm 0.59)$	$(1.02 \pm 0.54)$	$(1.1 \pm 0.27)$	$(1.12 \pm 0.31)$
	–50 Hgmm	$10.46 \pm 4.87$	$10.56 \pm 4.37$	$11.9 \pm 5.18$	$9.58 \pm 6.08$	$11.11 \pm 5.59$	$10.18 \pm 6.76$
	100 11	0.00 + 4.7	$(1.24 \pm 0.66)$	$(1.52 \pm 1.2)$	$(0.97 \pm 0.86)$	$(1.19 \pm 0.92)$	$(0.96 \pm 0.65)$
	-100 Hgmm	$9.28 \pm 4.7$	$10.78 \pm 5.11$	$10.56 \pm 5.07$	$11.99 \pm 6.58$	$11.3/\pm 6.49$	$10.01 \pm 5.53$
	150 11	01 + 217	$(0.78 \pm 0.32)$	$(0.93 \pm 0.33)$	$(1.38 \pm 0.99)$	$(0.93 \pm 0.03)$	$(0.88 \pm 1.02)$
	-150 Hgmm	9.1±3.17	$9.73 \pm 4.42$	$12.27 \pm 4.79$	$12.85 \pm 4.78$	$10.42 \pm 5.01$	$8.4 \pm 3.43$
	_		$(1.03 \pm 0.34)$	$(1.19 \pm 0.19)$	$(1.2 \pm 0.26)$	$(1 \pm 0.43)$	$(1.04 \pm 0.5)$
AI [%]	Bogota	$71.31 \pm 4.21$	$73.53 \pm 3.37$	$73.31 \pm 4.48$	$71.52 \pm 4.38$	$68.39 \pm 3.22^{\circ}$	$67.59 \pm 2.73$
	<b>50 11</b>		$(1.03 \pm 0.03)$	$(1.028 \pm 0.04)$	$(1.003 \pm 0.04)$	$(0.97 \pm 0.06)$	$(0.96 \pm 0.4)$
	–50 Hgmm	$70.19 \pm 5.58$	$68.97 \pm 15.68$	$72.58 \pm 18.97$	$71.73 \pm 5.31$	$66.16 \pm 3.65$	$67.15 \pm 4^{-3}$
	100 77		$(0.97 \pm 0.23)$	$(1.05 \pm 0.25)$	$(1.01 \pm 0.08)$	$(0.94 \pm 0.08)$	$(0.95 \pm 0.07)$
	-100 Hgmm	$68.81 \pm 6.01$	$72.18 \pm 3.19$	$67.21 \pm 8.02$	$70.63 \pm 5.62$	$62.97 \pm 7.95^{*}$	$65.45 \pm 5.97$
	150 1	70.25 1 1 22	$(1.05 \pm 0.09)$	$(0.9/\pm0.06)$	$(1.01 \pm 0.06)$	$(0.89 \pm 0.08)$	$(0.93 \pm 0.07)$
	–150 Hgmm	$70.35 \pm 4.28$	$70.89 \pm 3.17$	$70.14 \pm 3.9$	$71.53 \pm 3.16$	$62.3 \pm 6.62^*$	$63.3 \pm 2.76^*$
	<b>D</b> (	10 41 + 2 51	$(1.01 \pm 0.06)$	$(0.99 \pm 0.06)$	$(1.02 \pm 0.07)$	$(0.89 \pm 0.06)$	$(0.92 \pm 0.03)$
Amp	водота	$19.41 \pm 2.51$	$19.18 \pm 2.04$	$10.98 \pm 4.0/$	$16.96 \pm 3.41$	$17.84 \pm 2.96$	$15.40 \pm 3.75$
	50 11	10.00 + 5.04	$(0.99 \pm 0.13)$	$(0.87 \pm 0.17)$	$(0.8/\pm0.16)$	$(0.94 \pm 0.2)$	$(0.83 \pm 0.31)$
	-50 Hgmm	$12.28 \pm 3.24$	$12.49 \pm 0.13$	$12.91 \pm 0.92$	$11.32 \pm 0.71$	$19.09 \pm 2.41$	$1/.23 \pm 1.23$
			$(1.51 \pm 1.14)$	$(1.10 \pm 0.01)$	$(1.3 \pm 0.87)$	$(1.77 \pm 0.92)$	$(0.57 \pm 0.9)$

(Continued)

(Continued)										
Variable	Group	Base	Intra-abdominal hypertension phase (3 hours)			Treatment phase (2 hours)				
			1h	2h	3h	4h	5h			
	-100 Hgmm	$16.16\pm3.74$	$15.85\pm2.39$	$16.04 \pm 3.84$	$14.78 \pm 1.91$	$19.6 \pm 3.47$	$17.2\pm3.65$			
	-		$(1.08 \pm 0.49)$	$(1.09 \pm 0.51)$	$(1.01 \pm 0.3)$	$(1.4 \pm 0.66)$	$(1.12 \pm 0.12)$			
	–150 Hgmm	$17.18 \pm 2.44$	$16.48 \pm 4.57$	$18.75 \pm 4.32$	$15.38\pm6.18$	16.52±6.23	18.72 ± 2.72			
	-		$(0.95 \pm 0.24)$	$(1.1 \pm 0.27)$	$(0.94 \pm 0.48)$	$(1.01 \pm 0.48)$	$(1.11 \pm 0.32)$			
t <sub>1/2</sub> [s]	Bogota	$1.42\pm0.33$	$1.23\pm0.27$	$1.26\pm0.38$	$1.36\pm0.36$	$1.62 \pm 0.28^{+\S}$	$1.69 \pm 0.28^{\$}$			
			$(0.87 \pm 0.11)$	$(0.88 \pm 0.11)$	$(0.96 \pm 0.14)$	$(1.11 \pm 0.26)$	$(1.14 \pm 0.16)$			
	–50 Hgmm	$1.57\pm0.41$	$1.4\pm0.45$	$1.57 \pm 1.06$	$1.25\pm0.68$	$1.77\pm0.28$	$1.83 \pm 0.41$			
			$(0.9 \pm 0.28)$	$(0.91 \pm 0.42)$	$(0.97 \pm 0.22)$	$(1.19 \pm 0.23)$	$(1.21 \pm 0.18)$			
	-100 Hgmm	$1.71\pm0.5$	$1.33\pm0.31$	$1.89 \pm 0.86$	$1.56\pm0.5$	$2.32 \pm 1.07$	$2.02\pm0.68$			
			$(0.83 \pm 0.25)$	$(1.09 \pm 0.23)$	$(0.99 \pm 0.2)$	$(1.45 \pm 0.36)$	$(1.29 \pm 0.31)$			
	–150 Hgmm	$1.5\pm0.28$	$1.38\pm0.2$	$1.47\pm0.23$	$1.36\pm0.25$	$2.31 \pm 0.83^{*}$	$2.09\pm0.3^*$			
			$(0.94 \pm 0.18)$	$(1.01 \pm 0.27)$	$(0.93 \pm 0.22)$	$(1.43 \pm 0.33)$	$(1.32 \pm 0.15)$			

Table 1

means  $\pm$  S.D., and relative values vs. base in parenthesis. \*p < 0.05 vs. Base (within the same group), +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg, p < 0.05 vs. -150 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn methods).



Fig. 1. Red blood cell aggregation index (M 5 s) (A) and deformability describing  $EI_{max}/SS_{1/2}$  [Pa<sup>-1</sup>] values (B) during the 3-hour 30-mmHg intra-abdominal hypertension period, and after decompression, during the consecutive 2-hour application of various abdominal closure techniques ("Treatment": Bogota-bag, NPWT at -50, -100 and -150 mmHg). means  $\pm$  S.D., \*p < 0.05 vs. Base (within the same group), #p < 0.05 vs. -50 mmHg, +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -50 mmHg, +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -50 mmHg, +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -50 mmHg, +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -50 mmHg, +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -50 mmHg, +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -50 mmHg, +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -50 mmHg, +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -50 mmHg, +p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg (ANOVA test, Bonferroni/Dunn Compared to the same group), \*p < 0.05 vs. -100 mmHg methods).

end of IAH 130.05  $\pm$  5.93, end of experiment: 130  $\pm$  5.81). Maximal EI values also showed slight 203 but non-significant decrease (I. Bogota-group base:  $0.532 \pm 0.011$ , end of IAH:  $0.525 \pm 0.018$ , end of experiment  $0.525 \pm 0.013$ ; II. -50 mmHg group base:  $0.531 \pm 0.009$ , end of IAH:  $0.519 \pm 0.022$ , end of experiment:  $0.524 \pm 0.013$ ; III. -100 mmHg group base:  $0.533 \pm 0.013$ , end of IAH:  $0.522 \pm 0.006$ , end of experiment:  $0.521 \pm 0.005$ ; IV. -150 mmHg group base:  $0.531 \pm 0.014$ , end of IAH  $0.521 \pm 0.017$ , end of experiment:  $0.519 \pm 0.017$ ).

#### 3.6. Microcirculation of intraabdominal organs 209

204

205

206

207

208

The results of the laser Doppler microcirculatory test showed obvious differences between the organs 210 not only in the values but the in characteristics of the Laser Doppler signal (amplitude, curve, shape). 211

In case of liver (Fig. 2A), the highest microcirculatory blood flux units were recorded in the 212 -100 mmHg group, while Bogota-group and -150 mmHg group expressed lower BFU values. On 213 kidney records (Fig. 2B) the decrease compared to base values were well-visible in the Bogota and the 214 -150 mmHg groups. In the -50 and -100 mmHg groups we did not observe important decrease in BFU. 215 In data of the pancreas (Fig. 2C) there was no important difference compared to the base, probably 216 due to the anatomical position of the organ. Concerning the small intestine data (Fig. 2D) the worst 217 values were seen in the Bogota-group, and the highest BFU data were expressed in the -100 mmHg 218 group. Data obtained from the greater omentum decreased in all groups (Fig. 2E), since its position 219 changed during the procedure and it underwent direct compression as well. 220

## 4. Discussion

Abdominal compartment syndrome is defined as a disease with very high mortality rate, the treatment of which is still a big challenge even nowadays [13, 24]. If the conservative therapy has failed, surgery is required [6, 25]. Although there are non-operative possibilities for the treatment of IAH and ACS, the definitive management involves decompressive laparotomy in order to decrease the pressure and temporary closure of the abdominal wall until the disease exists. Decompressive laparotomy may



Fig. 2. Mean microcirculatory blood flux units (BFU) recorded on the surface of the liver (A), right kidney (B), pancreas (C), jejunum (D) and the greater omentum (E) at the end of the 3-hour 30-mmHg intra-abdominal hypertension period, and after the decompression and the consecutive 2-hour application of various abdominal closure techniques ("Treatment": Bogota-bag, NPWT at -50, -100 and -150 mmHg). means  $\pm$  S.D.

8

occur as a life-saving intervention and is followed usually by temporary closure of the abdominal wall
[6, 8, 11]. The open abdomen technique aims to protect the abdominal contents, drain intraperitoneal
fluids and save the fascia and skin. The generally recommended method is the VAC – negative pressure
wound therapy (NPWT) [12, 15, 27]. The consensus guideline of the World Society of the Abdominal Compartment Syndrome in 2013 recommended NPWT in case of critically ill patients [15, 16].
Although NPWT proved to be favorable in many aspects, but there are very few, objectively justified
data about the optimal value of the vacuum in the literature.

In case of increased abdominal pressure, local and systemic disturbances in the circulation may occur, accompanied by deterioration of the hemorheological parameters. We have assumed that measuring the microcirculation of the abdominal organs and analysis of the hemorheological parameters (red blood cell deformability, red blood cell aggregation, whole blood and plasma viscosity) influencing microcirculation, may help to find the optimal negative pressure value.

Our investigation showed that experimental abdominal compartment syndrome resulted in deterioration of micro-rheological parameters, especially in case of RBCs aggregation. NPWT with -50 mmHg and -100 mmHg settings led to better results in blood viscosity, RBCs aggregation and RBCs deformability than the use of Bogota bag or NPWT with -150 mmHg.

It can be assumed that the extent of the local circulatory disturbances might have had an impact on RBCs aggregation and RBCs deformability mostly via local metabolic effects [3]. Observing the serosal surface of the small bowel with direct contact to the foil of the vacuum set, imprints of the foil pattern and petechiae were visible even macroscopically at the end of the 2-hour "treatment" period. It was most pronounced in –150 mmHg group. That also should have contributed to micro-rheological changes.

Increased intra-abdominal pressure is accompanied by decreased microcirculation of the intraabdominal organs, as high pressure in a confined space decreases circulation. It leads to organ hypoperfusion that results in ischemia and ultimately leads to severe organ dysfunction. Furthermore, ischemia-reperfusion injury may cause further harms during decompression of the abdominal cavity. The microcirculatory parameters of Bogota bag and -150 mmHg NPWT group proved to be bad compared to the values of the -50 and -100 mmHg group. It could be observed in varying extent by the different organs.

However, the method has some limitations you have to consider during the evaluation. Laser Doppler
flowmetry results can be influenced by several factors, such as drying/cooling or movement of the tissue
(breathing, movement or trembling). Temperature, instability of the device, tightness of the optic fiber
and too close contact with the tissue might all affect the actual value [17]. All these factors have been
tried to minimize during the measurements.

#### **5.** Conclusion

The abdominal compartment syndrome results in deteriorating micro-rheological parameters. Furthermore, the Bogota-bag and the –150 mmHg negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) have given worse microcirculatory and micro-rheological results than the –50 or the –100 mmHg adjustments. These data may contribute to the optimization of NPWT in the surgical management of abdominal compartment syndrome.

#### 267 Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the technical staff of the Department of Operative Techniques and Surgical Research, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen. 10

The authors comply with the Ethical Guidelines for Publication in Clinical Hemorheology and 270 Microcirculation as published on the IOS Press website and in Volume 63, 2016, pp. 1-2. of this 271 iournal. 272

#### References 273

282

286

287

288

- [1] G.M. Arabadzhiev, V.G. Tzaneva and K.G. Peeva, Intra-abdominal hypertension in the ICU-A prospective epidemio-274 logical study, Clujul Med 88(2) (2015), 188-195. 275
- [2] O.K. Baskurt, M.R. Hardeman, M. Uyuklu, P. Ulker, M. Cengiz, N. Nemeth, et al., Parameterization of red blood cell 276 elongation index-shear stress curves obtained by ektacytometry, Scand J Clin Lab Invest 69(7) (2009), 777-788. 277
- [3] O.K. Baskurt, Mechanisms of blood rheology alterations. In: Baskurt OK, Hardeman HR, Rampling MW, Meiselman 278 HJ, editors. Handbook of Hemorheology and Hemodynamics. Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2007, pp. 170–190. 270
- [4] E. Benninger, M.W. Laschke, M. Cardell, M. Keel, B. Seifert, O. Trentz, et al., Intra-abdominal pressure development 280 after different temporary abdominal closure techniques in a porcine model, J Trauma 66(4) (2009), 1118–1124. 281
- [5] W.L. Biffl, E.E. Moore, J.M. Burch, P.J. Offner, R.J. Franciose and J.L. Johnson, Secondary abdominal compartment syndrome is a highly lethal event, Am J Surg 182(6) (2001), 645-648. 283
- [6] O. Chiara, S. Cimbanassi, S. Boati and G. Bassi, Surgical management of abdominal compartment syndrome, Minerva 284 Anestesiol 77(4) (2011), 457-462. 285
  - [7] G.R. Cokelet and H.J. Meiselman, Macro- and micro-rheological properties of blood. In: Baskurt OK, Hardeman HR, Rampling MW, Meiselman HJ, editors. Handbook of Hemorheology and Hemodynamics. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 2007, pp. 242-266.
- [8] J.J. De Waele and A.K. Leppäniemi, Temporary abdominal closure techniques, Am Surg 77(Suppl) (2011), S46–S50. 289
- [9] M.R. Hardeman, P.T. Goedhart and S. Shin, Methods in hemorheology. In: Baskurt OK, Hardeman HR, Rampling MW, 290 Meiselman HJ, editors. Handbook of Hemorheology and Hemodynamics. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 2007, pp. 242-266. 291
- [10] P. Hayden, Intra abdominal hypertension and the abdominal compartment syndrome, Current Anaesthesia & Critical 292 Care 18 (2007), 311-316. 293
- [11] A. Hecker, B. Hecker, M. Hecker, J.G. Riedel, M.A. Weigand and W. Padberg, Acute abdominal compartment syndrome: 294 Current diagnostic and therapeutic options, Langenbecks Arch Surg 401(1) (2016), 15–24. 295
- [12] H.T. Hougaard, M. Ellebaek, U.T. Holst and N. Qvist, The open abdomen: Temporary closure with a modified negative 296 pressure therapy technique, Int Wound J 11(Suppl 1) (2014), 13-16. 297
- [13] D. Iyer, P. Rastogi, A. Åneman and S. D'Amours, Early screening to identify patients at risk of developing 298 intra-abdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome, Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 58(10) (2014), 299 1267-1275. 300
- [14] F. Jung, C. Mrowietz, B. Hiebl, R.P. Franke, G. Pindur and R. Sternitzky, Influence of rheological parameters on the 301 velocity of erythrocytes passing nailfold capillaries in humans, Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 48(1) (2011), 129–139. 302
- [15] A.W. Kirkpatrick, D.J. Roberts, J. De Waele, R. Jaeschke, M.L. Malbrain, B. De Keulenaer, et al., Intra-abdominal 303 hypertension and the abdominal compartment syndrome: Updated consensus definitions and clinical practice guidelines 304 from the World Society of the Abdominal Compartment Syndrome, Intensive Care Med 39(7) (2013), 1190–1206. 305
- [16] A.W. Kirkpatrick, D.J. Roberts, R. Jaeschke, J.J. De Waele, B.L. De Keulenaer, J. Duchesne, et al., Methodological 306 background and strategy for the 2012-2013 updated consensus definitions and clinical practice guidelines from the 307 abdominal compartment society, Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther 47 (2015), Spec No:s63-77. 308
- [17] M.J. Leahy, Microcirculation Imaging, Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012. 309
- [18] R.K. Lee, Intra-abdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome: A comprehensive overview, Crit Care 310 Nurse 32(1) (2012), 19-31. 311
- [19] H.H. Lipowsky, Microvascular rheology and hemodynamics, *Microcirculation* 12(1) (2005), 5–15. 312
- [20] L. Maddison, K.M. Riigor, J. Karjagin and J. Starkopf, Sublingual microcirculatory changes during transient intra-313 abdominal hypertension - a prospective observational study in laparoscopic surgery patients, Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 314 57(4) (2014), 367–374. 315
- [21] M.L. Malbrain, B.L. De Keulenaer, J. Oda, I. De Laet, J.J. De Waele, D.J. Roberts, et al., Intra-abdominal hypertension 316 and abdominal compartment syndrome in burns, obesity, pregnancy, and general medicine, Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther 317 47(3) (2015), 228-240. 318
- [22] M.L. Malbrain, I.E. De Laet, J.J. De Waele and A.W. Kirkpatrick, Intra-abdominal hypertension: Definitions, monitoring, 319 interpretation and management, Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 27(2) (2013), 249-270. 320
- [23] A. Matrai, R.B. Whittington and E. Ernst, A simple method of estimating whole blood viscosity at standardized 321 hematocrit, Clin Hemorheol 7 (1987), 261-265. 322

- 11
- [24] D.J.J. Muckart, R.R. Ivatury, A. Leppaniemi and R.S. Smith, Definitions. In: Ivatury RR, Cheatham ML, Malbrain M,
   Sugrue M, editors. Abdominal Compartment Syndrome. Georgetown: Landis Bioscience; 2006, pp. 8–18.
- [25] P. Rastogi, D. Iyer, A. Aneman and S. D'Amours, Intra-abdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome:
   Pathophysiological and non-operative management, *Minerva Anestesiol* 80(8) (2014), 922–932.
- [26] D.J. Roberts, C.G. Ball and A.W. Kirkpatrick, Increased pressure within the abdominal compartment: Intra-abdominal
   hypertension and the abdominal compartment syndrome, *Curr Opin Crit Care* 22(2) (2016), 174–185.
- [27] A. Surace, A. Ferrarese, S. Marola, J. Cumbo, G. Valentina, A. Borello, et al., Abdominal compartment syndrome and open abdomen management with negative pressure devices, *Ann Ital Chir* 86(1) (2015), 46–50.
- [28] Z. Szentkereszty and A. Csiszko, Abdominal compartment syndrome in severe acute pancreatitis literature review, Magy Seb **65**(1) (2012), 9–13.