Expression of retinoid-related genes in serum-free cultures of normal, immortalized and malignant human oral keratinocytes
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Abstract. Retinoids are used in the clinical treatment of oral squamous carcinoma, including both early and late stages. Inter-individual variation in responsiveness, including a common insensitivity of advanced stages, suggest that changes in retinoid-related functions might characterize tumor development. To investigate a genetic basis for this hypothesis, an in vitro multi-step model of carcinogenesis involving normal (NOK), SV40 T antigen-immortalized (SVpgC2a) and malignant (SqCC/Y1) oral keratinocytes was analysed under identical culture conditions using micro-array technique (Affymetrix HG_U95A chip) for expression of 52 genes related to retinoid metabolism and actions. The variable detection of between 22-26 transcripts in the cell lines, involving binding/transport factors, receptors, transcriptional activators/repressors and responsive genes, indicated specificity in regards to the expression of known retinoid-related genes in oral keratinocytes. The transformed cell lines variably exhibited differences as compared to NOK, i.e., lower transcript levels for cellular retinol binding protein, the cellular retinoic acid binding protein II (CRABP II) and retinoic acid receptor \( \alpha \), whereas in contrast, the levels of CRABP I were higher. Transcripts for proteins interacting with nuclear retinoid receptors were similarly expressed among the cell types, whereas transcripts for retinoid-metabolizing enzymes were generally not detected. Finally, transcripts of retinoid-responsive genes, including RARRES3, RI58, NN8-4AG and midkine, were variably expressed. The overall results imply selective expression of retinoid-related functions in normal and transformed keratinocytes, and that cell transformation can impair the capacity for binding and storage of retinol as well as retinoic acid-mediated signalling. These multiple alterations are consistent with possible retinoid insensitivity during oral carcinogenesis.

Introduction

Vitamin A and some of its analogues (retinoids) are established modulators of epithelial cell differentiation in vivo and in vitro (1,2). One of the major physiological functions of vitamin A may be to prevent keratinization of non-keratinizing epithelia (1,3). Squamous metaplasia, which develops during vitamin A deficiency, can be reversed by supplementation with retinoids (1). Furthermore, retinoids suppress squamous cell differentiation that occurs spontaneously or is induced by calcium ions in keratinocytes cultured from different human tissues (1,3). Retinoids also suppress carcinogenesis in experimental animals including a variety of tissues such as the oral epithelium (4). Clinical studies have extended these observations to human patient care and demonstrated the efficacy of retinoids in suppressing oral premalignant lesions and the occurrence of second primary carcinomas in patients with head and neck cancer (5-7). These second primary tumors, which occur at an incidence rate of 2-3% per year, are the major cause of death after surgical resection of early-stage head and neck cancer (8). Retinoid treatment is however complicated by lack of activity in certain individuals and from marginal activity in advanced cancer. These observations clearly suggest that changes in the retinoid sensitivity may underlie tumor development.

Increasing experimental evidence suggests that vitamin A mediates its effects on the oral epithelial cells via its retinoic acid (RA) derivatives (9-11). All-\( \text{trans} \) and 9-\( \text{cis} \) RA act as ligands for the retinoid nuclear receptors (RARs, RXRs) (12). These receptors are ligand-dependent transcription factors, which bind to specific hormone response element (RARE, RXRE) leading to transactivation of specific target genes (13). Retinoic acids utilised by the cells are either taken up from the blood circulation, or formed from vitamin A via the subsequent action of various retinol and retinal dehydro-
genes under the influence of intracellular retinol and retinoic acid binding proteins (14). Apart from uptake and synthesis, the amount of intracellular binding proteins and the activity of degrading enzymes also influence the intracellular levels and availability of retinoids for receptor interaction (14,15).

The application of serum-free conditions has permitted the establishment of replicative cultures of normal oral keratinocytes, and the growth of both immortalized and malignant oral keratinocyte lines (16). Normal (NOK), SV40 T antigen-immortalized (SVpgC2a) and malignant (SqCC/Y1) human buccal keratinocytes model oral cancer development on the basis that they reflect the eventual acquisition of immortality, loss of p53 tumor suppressor function and gain of a tumorigenic phenotype (16,17). Furthermore, these normal and transformed keratinocyte cell lines demonstrate keratin expression patterns similar to normal tissue, oral dysplasia and well-differentiated oral squamous cell carcinoma, respectively (18). The parallel examination of such lines thus provides an in vitro model composed of the various stages that lead to oral squamous carcinoma and which can be used to study expression of genes involved in retinoid action. On this basis, the current study analysed the expression pattern of 52 retinoid-related genes in NOK, SVpgC2a and SqCC/Y1 using the Affymetrix micro-array chip technique. Notably, the use of one standardised culture condition provided an identical environment and exogenous influence on gene expression in the various cell types. The results thus obtained, suggest the possibility of multiple alterations in retinoid-related gene expression during oral carcinogenesis, including mechanisms that might reduce availability of retinoic acids and interfere with retinoid-regulated signalling pathways.

Materials and methods

Processing of cell cultures. For processing of primary cell cultures, human buccal tissue was selected from non-smoking, non-cancer patients undergoing maxillofacial surgery with approval from the Karolinska Institutet ethics committee. Primary keratinocyte lines were derived following incubation of tissue with 0.17% trypsin (Sigma) in phosphate buffered saline at 4°C for 18-24 h, and subsequent seeding of keratinocyte aggregates and single cells at 5x10^5 cells/cm² onto fibronectin/collagen-coated (Sigma) dishes in serum-free EMHA (epithelial medium with high levels of amino acids) (16). The immortal cell line SVpgC2a, derived by transfection and stable integration of the SV40T antigen into buccal keratinocytes, and a serum-free strain of the buccal carcinoma cell line SqCC/Y1 were also cultured in EMHA (16,17). The normal keratinocyte lines were subjected to the transcript analysis in passage 2, the SVpgC2a line in passages 63 and 64, and the SqCC/Y1 line in passages 125 and 128.

Preparation of labeled cRNA. Methods for cRNA preparation, the hybridisation reactions and data analysis were provided by the manufacturer (Affymetrix). Briefly, total RNA was prepared with RNeasy (Qiagen) from 3x10^6 cells of each type. Double-stranded cDNA was synthesized from 25 µg total RNA using a cDNA synthesis kit (SuperScript Choice system; Gibco BRL Life Technologies). Labeled cRNA was in vitro transcribed with 1.5 µg cDNA as template incorporating biotinylated CTP and UTP (Enzo RNA Transcript Labeling Kit; Enzo Diagnostics). The cRNA was purified with RNeasy affinity columns (Qiagen) and subsequently fragmented in 40 mM Tris-acetate, pH 8.1, 100 mM potassium acetate, 30 mM magnesium acetate at 94°C for 35 min.

Array hybridization. cRNA (10 µg) and samples for internal controls were hybridized to oligonucleotide arrays HG_U95A, (Affymetrix) at 45°C for 16 h according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Arrays were washed under stringent conditions, stained with streptavidine-phycocerythrine (Molecular Probes), washed again and subsequently scanned at 570 nm using a Hewlett Packard Gene Array scanner. Obtained data were analyzed with GeneChip® 3.1 software (Affymetrix). Visualisation of microarray data was performed by Cluster and TreeView software (downloaded from http://www.rana.lbl.gov). All chips were scaled to a target intensity of 250 for inter-array comparisons. The scale-factors for chip NOK (1), NOK (2), SVpgC2a (1), SVpgC2a (2), SqCC/Y1 (1) and SqCC/Y1 (2) were 3.19, 5.06, 1.76, 3.39, 2.95 and 3.83 respectively. The presence of individual genes was described by the absolute call (based on Pos/Neg Ratio, Positive Fraction
and Log Average Ratio), while the changes in the expression levels were quantified by the average difference (for further details see GeneChip® 3.1 Expression Analysis Algorithm Tutorial). Gene expression was considered induced/repressed based on a two-fold change among samples. This limit is in agreement with other mammalian studies (19).

Results

Micro-array chips with oligonucleotides corresponding to 12558 human genes were hybridised with labelled cRNA prepared from NOK, immortalised (SVpgC2a) and malignant (SqCC/Y1) human oral keratinocytes to determine the expression level of retinoid-related genes. The results were from two separate experiments/hybridisations using different NOK lines and passages of SVpgC2a and SqCC/Y1. The total number of transcripts found in NOK, SVpgC2a and SqCC/Y1 in experiments 1 and 2 were 3830/3958, 4865/4467 and 3887/4533, respectively. Altogether 52 genes involving different retinoid-related functions, i.e., binding/transport, metabolism, receptors, transcriptional activators/repressors, and finally, responsive genes, were examined. Probes for enzymes related to the retinol storage, e.g., lecithin-retinol-acyltransferase (LRAT) or acyl-CoA-retinol acyltransferase, were absent on the chip. In contrast, probes for cellular retinoic acid binding proteins I and II, alcohol dehydrogenase 1 or RARal were represented by two different probe sets. In total 22, 26 and 23 transcripts of the 52 genes were detected by the HG_U95A array in NOK, SVpgC2a and SqCC/Y1, respectively. The results are shown in Figs. 1-3 and discussed in detail below.

The transcripts of the retinol and RA binding proteins (CRBP-I, CRABP-II) responsible for storage and processing of retinol and RA (20) were all detected in the NOK lines, while no evidence was found for CRABP-I expression.
Transcripts for CRBP-I and CRABP-II were absent, while that of CRABP-I was present, in at least one of the four hybridisations in SVpgC2a and SqCC/Y1. All cell types were negative for retinol binding protein (RRB4), the transthyretin gene (TTR) and the interstitial retinoid binding protein (RRB3) involved in extracellular transport of retinoids (20). Thus, these three genes served as internal negative controls in the experiments.

Members of four enzyme families may participate in the conversion of retinol to retinoic acid (21). No transcripts were found for enzymes belonging to the short-chain dehydrogenase family responsible for the conversion of retinol to retinal, the first step in the synthesis of RA, such as retinol dehydrogenase (RODH), the microsomal NAD+-dependent retinol dehydrogenase (RODH-4), short-chain dehydrogenase (SDR1), and 9-cis/11-cis-retinol dehydrogenase (RDH5). Members of the alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH1A B C, ADH4) family, suggested also to participate in the retinol metabolism, were absent with the exception of ADH1B, which was detected in one of the NOK lines. There was no probe for the ADH2 enzyme on the chip.

Four enzymes have been suggested to participate in the conversion of retinal to RA, i.e., two alcohol dehydrogenases [retinal dehydrogenase (RALDH1=ALDH1) and RALDH2] and two cytochrome P450 types (P450 1A1 and 1A2). Message for RALDH1 was not detected. RALDH2 was detected in one of the two hybridisations in NOK and SVpgC2a, but not in SqCC/Y1. CYP1A1 was not detected in any of the cell lines, and no probe was present for the CYP1A2 message on the chip.

The retinoic acid 4-hydroxylase (CYP26A1) responsible for the degradation of RA (22) was detected in only one of the analysis of SVpgC2a.

Analysis of members of the nuclear retinoid receptor family (12) indicated presence of RARα in NOK and SqCC/Y1, while no expression was detected in SVpgC2a. RARβ was not detected in any of the cell lines. RARγ was detected in three of the four repeats in NOK, in one of the four repeats in the SVpgC2a, while its message was not detected in SqCC/Y1. NOK and SVpgC2a showed transcripts of RXRβ, whereas SqCC/Y1 showed presence of RXR α, β and γ in at least one of the probe sets.

Members of the nuclear retinoid receptor family mediate their effects on the basal transcriptional machinery via interacting with other nuclear proteins (23). In the presence of the specific ligand they activate transcription via binding to various members of co-activators. Some of the major co-activators can be classified to the p160 family, including SRC-1/N-CoA1, GRIP-1/TIF2/N-CoA2 and ACTR/ pCIP/RAC3/AIB-1/N-CoA3; or to the co-integrators such as CBP (CREBBP), p300 and pCAF. Of the p160 family, N-CoA1 was present in one of the analyses of SqCC/Y1. N-CoA2 was not detected in the cell types. N-CoA3 was marginally expressed or not detected in NOK, but it was present in SVpgC2a and in SqCC/Y1. Of the co-integrators, CREBBP was not detected in NOK, but it was present in SVpgC2a and in one of the repeats in SqCC/Y1. p300 was detected in one of the repeats in NOK and SqCC/Y1 cell lines. pCAF was not detected in any of the cell lines. Of additional co-activator proteins, expression of TIF-1α (transcriptional intermediary factor) was detected in one of the repeats in SVpgC2a, while PSMC5 was expressed by all cells. Co-activator family members often exhibit histone acetyltransferase activity and other histone acetyltransferases may also be transcriptionally active (24). Histone acetyltransferases HAT1, Gcn5L2, MOZ and HBOA were expressed by each cell line with no significant change in the expression level, except for Gcn5L2, which was elevated in SqCC/Y1. In contrast, message for MORF or Tip60 histone acetyltransferases was not detected in any of the cell lines.

In the absence of specific ligand, RARs repress basal transcription by binding to promoters of target genes and by interaction with two co-repressors N-Cor (nuclear receptor co-repressor) and SMRT (silencing mediator of retinoid and thyroid hormone receptor) (25,26). Analogous to the co-activator complexes, these co-repressors recruit histone deacetylases (HDACs) (27). While SMRT was shown to recruit class I HDACs, such as HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3 and HDAC8, N-Cor reacts with class II HDACs, such as HDAC4, HDAC5 and HDAC7 (28). N-Cor1 and SMRT were expressed in each cell line, while no message for N-Cor2 was detected. HDAC 1, 2, 3 and 5 were expressed in each cell line, HDAC2 showing a higher expression in SVpgC2a relative to NOK. HDAC4 was detected in one of the repeats in SVpgC2a, HDAC6 was detected in one repeat in ScCC/Y1, while HDAC7 was not expressed. There was no probe for HDAC8 on the chip.

Finally, expression of some retinoid-responsive genes was analysed. RARRES3 (or TIG3), the retinoic acid receptor responder 3 (29), was marginally expressed in one of the SVpgC2a preparations. mRNA of the NN8-4AG (30) was detected in one of the two SVpgC2a repetitions. The retinoic acid and interferon inducible protein, RIS8 (31) was detected in one of the analyses of NOK and in both analyses of SVpgC2a. Finally, the retinoic acid inducible factor midkine (32) was not detected in NOK but it was detected at high levels in one analysis of each of the transformed lines.

**Discussion**

In this study, the expression pattern of 52 retinoid-related genes was studied under identical serum-free conditions using an in vitro model of oral carcinogenesis that includes normal, immortalized and malignant cells. The existence of transcripts from genes coding for retinoid-related functions were analyzed based on the concept that the responsiveness of oral keratinocytes may decrease during malignant transformation (33). As discussed separately for various retinoid-related genes, including binding/transport, metabolism, receptors, transcriptional activators/repressors and responsive genes, the overall results supported an association between impaired retinoid sensitivity and oral carcinogenesis.

To maintain solubility, retinoids must be bound to proteins in an aqueous environment. Hepatic proteins have been reported to participate in the extra-cellular transport of retinoids (20). As expected for extra-hepatic tissues, the oral keratinocyte lines were negative for retinol binding protein and transthyretin, and furthermore, the cells were negative for the interstitial retinoid binding protein that is typically expressed in the eye (20).
The uptake and the storage of retinoids within the cells are mediated by various cellular retinoid binding proteins including CRBP-I, CRABP-I and II. CRBP-I facilitates retinol uptake, delivers retinol to LRAT for esterification and storage, and interacts with several enzymes that metabolise retinol or retinol, providing the retinol and retinol substrate for their action (20). Of the cellular retinoid-binding proteins, CRBP-I manifests the widest distribution, expressed in most retinoid-sensitive tissues. Accordingly, CRBP-I was clearly detected in NOK, however, it was not detected in SqCC/Y1. CRBP-I-deficient mice have decreased capacity to store incoming retinol and to maintain retinyl ester stores, and show enhanced sensitivity to vitamin A deficiency (34) suggesting that loss of the CRBP-I function might have the same impact on carcinoma cells. In agreement, loss of CRBP-I is an early event during transformation of breast epithelium, a known retinoid-sensitive tissue (35).

Though the two cellular RA binding proteins possess similar RA-binding affinities, they display distinct pattern of expression across cells and developmental stages, and serve specific and distinct roles in RA biology (36,37). Elevated CRABP-I expression in F9 teratocarcinoma cells enhanced formation of polar metabolites from RA and blocked RA-induced differentiation (38). Accordingly, increased CRABP-I expression, as noted in SqCC/Y1 relative to the other cell types, may lead to decreased availability of RA. In contrast, CRABP-II sensitisises cells to RA and facilitates transfer of RA to RAR via direct interaction with the nuclear receptor (15,39). CRABP-II was present in NOK but undetected in SqCC/Y1, whereas CRABP-I was undetected in NOK but present in SqCC/Y1. High and low levels of CRABP-II were previously reported for normal keratinocytes and SqCC/Y1, respectively, using semi-quantitative RT-PCR (9). Overall, the expression pattern for CRABP proteins implied decreased RA sensitivity in SqCC/Y1 as compared to NOK.

The pathway for conversion of retinol to RA involves oxidation of retinol to retinal followed by oxidation of retinal to retinoic acid, either in free or in CRBP-bound form. Enzymes involved in retinol and retinoid metabolism belong to four distinct families; i.e. alcohol dehydrogenase, short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase, aldehyde reductase and cytochrome P450 (21). The microarray results implied low or absent, i.e., undetected, expression of these enzymes in the cell lines, with the exception of RALDH2 (41), that was detected in one of the two probe sets in NOK and SVpgC2a. The CYP26A1 (retinoic acid 4-hydroxylase), an enzyme responsible for the catabolism of retinoic acid (22), was detected in only one of the assessments of SVpgC2a. Elevated enzyme levels of this enzyme were previously reported in head and neck cancers potentially leading to increased retinoid metabolism and decreased retinoid availability (42).

The spatio-temporal distribution of various nuclear retinoic acid receptors during embryonic development and tissue-specific expression patterns suggest differential roles of these receptors in retinoid-regulated signalling pathways (43). RARα and RARγ but not RARβ, was detected in NOK in agreement with previous studies in keratinocytes cultured from different regions of normal oral mucosa (44). Message for RARβ was not detected in SVpgC2a or SqCC/Y1, whereas message for RARα was detected in SqCC/Y1 but not in SVpgC2a. In agreement, presence of RARα and lack of RARβ expression was previously reported for SqCC/Y1 (9). Only one of the four repeats for RARγ was positive in SVpgC2a and none of the repeats were positive in SqCC/Y1. The transcript levels for RARγ in SqCC/Y1 are likely below the detection limit of the micro-array, since SqCC/Y1 was previously shown to express low levels of RARγ and to respond to RARγ specific compounds (9). SqCC/Y1 transfected with RARγ show increased sensitivity to growth inhibition by RA while antisense-transfection causes the opposite effect (10). Furthermore, the expression level of RARγ correlates to RA sensitivity of head and neck squamous carcinoma cell lines (45). In this regard, the low RARγ expression levels of SVpgC2a and SqCC/Y1 as detected by micro-array indicate that both lines may be relatively insensitive to retinoid actions.

RXRs serve as dimerisation partners for the RAR receptors. Unlike the RAR receptors that are stimulated by both all-trans and 9-cis RA, the RXRs are selectively stimulated by 9-cis RA (12). The micro-array results suggested increased expression of RXRs in the malignant state since RARα and RXRβ was selectively expressed in SqCC/Y1, whereas RARβ was detected in one repetition of all cell types. In agreement, increased level of RXRs was previously reported for oral carcinomas (46). The role of RXRs for retinoid signalling in oral keratinocytes remains unclear. However, stimulation of RXR might inhibit the growth inhibitory signals mediated by the RAR receptors, since NOK are growth inhibited by nano-molar levels of all-trans RA and µmolar levels of 9-cis RA (unpublished observation).

Various nuclear proteins interact with the retinoid receptors thereby mediating repression or activation of specific target genes. In absence or presence of receptor ligand, complexes of corepressors or coactivators mediate histone deacetylation or acetylation, respectively, and such reversible acetylation is thought to regulate protein to DNA interactions and transcription (27,47). The co-activator complex members, ACTR/pCIP/RAC3/AIB-1/N-CoA3 (48), its binding partner CBP/p300 (49), PSMC5 (50), and that of the histone acetyltransferases HAT1, Gcn5L2 belonging to the GNAT superfamily, and MOZ and HBOA belonging the MYST family (24) were detected at similar expression levels in all cell types with the exception of Gcn5L2, the message of which was elevated in SqCC/Y1. The co-repressor complex members, SMRT, Ncor-1, and the histone deacetylase 1,2,3 and 5 were detected at similar expression level in all cell types except for higher levels of HDAC2 in SVpgC2a. The expression levels of HDAC4 and 6 may be close to the detection limit of the micro-array assay since their transcripts were detected in only one probe set. Though some reports suggest that proteins such as CBP/p300 or MOZ may act to suppress cancer development (51,52), the current results in the oral keratinocyte lines did not imply that transformation induces significant alteration in the expression of the nuclear co-activators and co-repressors.

Finally, expression of certain retinoid-regulated genes was implicated for oral epithelium from positive detection in at least one of the analysis of the respective cell types (Fig. 3).
The cellular function of NN8-4AG (30) is unknown, whereas RARRES or TIG3 (29) is considered a tumor-suppressor that is down-regulated in B-cell lymphoid leukemia progression (53). The retinoic acid and interferon inducible protein (R158) has a known involvement in myeloid differentiation (31). Midkine is a heparin-binding growth/differentiation regulating factor that promotes neuronal growth (54,55). Its expression in human epidermal keratinocytes was also associated to promoted growth (56). On the basis of elevated serum levels in cancer patients (57), the possibility of selective expression in the immortal and malignant states indicates an association also to the development of oral squamous carcinomas. As for the other retinoid-related functions investigated in this study, future assessments of tissue-specificity or variability among cell lines should consider methods of even higher sensitivity than microarray, e.g., quantitative RT-PCR (58), in order to more accurately determine presence or absence of gene expression in quantitative terms.

In conclusion, application of the micro-array chip technology allowed a simultaneous expression analysis of a large number of retinoid-related genes in a three-step progression model of oral carcinogenesis. The expression patterns under identical growth conditions indicated alterations in regards to the metabolism and signalling of retinoids, ultimately implicating decreased retinoid sensitivity during cell transformation. The noted retinoid-related changes included those that could affect binding, storage, synthesis and signalling, and finally, genes that are regulated by retinoids. The overall analyses thus suggest a variety of mechanisms for normal and disturbed retinoid actions in oral epithelium, some of which are likely to be testable in future assessments of growth and cell death regulation in cultured normal and transformed oral keratinocytes.
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