Measurement of visual smoothness of blending curves∗
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Abstract

This paper considers the visual smoothness of interpolating curves. It will examine skinning algorithms in detail. Especially the 2D ball skinning algorithms will be covered. Slabaugh introduced an energy function [1] and Kunkli defined a process to find the touching points [2] and made an elegant skinning method with Hoffmann based on classical geometry [3]. I will try to give a simple metric for visual smoothness based on the number of direction change of the yielded interpolation curve. Minimizing this metric will give the best visual result.
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1. Introduction

Skinning algorithms are gaining more and more popularity in industry, engineering, design and art. They provide an intuitive and effective way to describe complex shapes.

These complex shapes can include the face and the body of three dimensional avatars. If an avatar’s face shall be customized, for example to follow the viewer’s physiognomy, then a skinning surface is needed. This surface can be considered
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better or nicer, if it is smoother. I will investigate this visual smoothness by the aspect of direction changes among generated curves.

In the following, 2D ball skinning algorithms will be covered. Let’s take a series of circles. Slabaugh introduced an energy function for making a skinning curve (see Figure 1).

If the inner part is enlarged, it can be noticed that the inner curve is very wavy. If we take the same enlarged inner part of the Kunkli-Hoffmann’s algorithm, it can be noticed that the inner curve is following only one direction (see Figure 2). There are no inflection points.

It seems that the number of the inflection points of a curve is a good measure for the visual smoothness.

Figure 1: Slabaugh’s skinning curves for the series of circles and the zoomed wavy inner part

Figure 2: The result of the Kunkli-Hoffmann interpolation curve
2. Measurement for Visual Smoothness

Let’s introduce a measure that will sum the inflection points of the Bézier curve parts that make up the whole curve.

“The algebraic form of a parametric cubic belongs to one of three projective types, as shown in Figure 4. Any arbitrary cubic curve can be classified as a serpentine, cusp, or loop. A very old result (Salmon 1852) on cubic curves states that all three types of cubic curves will have an algebraic representation that can be written \( k^3 - lmn = 0 \), where \( k, l, m, \) and \( n \) are linear functionals corresponding to lines \( k, l, m, \) and \( n \) as in Figure 3.

\[
C(s, t) = \begin{bmatrix}
(s-t)^3 & 3(s-t)^2 & s & 3(s-t)^2 & s^2 & s^3
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
b_0 \\
b_1 \\
b_2 \\
b_3
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where the \( b_i \) are cubic Bézier control points.

The first step is to compute the coefficients of the function \( I(s, t) \) whose roots correspond to inflection points of \( C(s, t) \). An inflection point is where the curve changes its bending direction, defined mathematically as parameter values where the first and second derivatives of \( C(s, t) \) are linearly dependent. The derivation of the function \( I \) is not needed for the current purposes. For integral cubic curves,

\[
I(s, t) = t(3d_1 s^2 - 3d_2 st + d_3 t^2),
\]

Figure 3: All parametric cubic plane curves can be classified as the parameterization of some segment of one of these three curve types.

a) Serpentine curve. This curve has three collinear inflection points (on line \( k \)) with tangent lines \( l, m \) and \( n \) at those inflections.
b) Loop curve. This curve has one inflection and one double point with \( k \) the line through them. The lines \( l \) and \( m \) are the tangents to the curve at the double point and \( n \) is the tangent at the inflection.
c) Cusp curve. This curve has one inflection point and one cusp, with \( k \) the line through them. The line \( l = m \) is the tangent at the cusp and \( n \) is the tangent at the inflection. [4]
where
\[ d_1 = a_1 - 2a_2 + 3a_3, \]
\[ d_2 = -a_2 + 3a_3, \]
\[ d_3 = 3a_3 \]
and
\[ a_1 = b_0 \cdot (b_3 \times b_2), \]
\[ a_2 = b_1 \cdot (b_0 \times b_3), \]
\[ a_3 = b_2 \cdot (b_1 \times b_1). \]

The function \( I \) is a cubic with three roots, not all necessarily real. It is the number of distinct real roots of \( I(s, t) \) that determines the type of the cubic curve. For integral cubic curves, \([s \ t] = [1 \ 0]\) is always a root of \( I(s, t) \). This means that the remaining roots of \( I(s, t) \) can be found using the quadratic formula, rather than by the more general solution of a cubic – a significant simplification over the general rational curve algorithm.

The cubic curve classification reduces to knowing the sign of the discriminant of \( I(s, t) \), defined as
\[ \text{discr}(I) = d_2^2(3d_2^2 - 4d_1d_3). \]
If \( \text{discr}(I) \) is positive, the curve is a serpentine; if negative, it is a loop; and if zero, a cusp. Although it is true that all cubic curves are one of these three types, not all configurations of four Bézier control points result in cubic curves. It is possible to represent quadratic curves, lines, or even single points in cubic Bézier form. The procedure will detect these cases, and the rendering algorithm can handle them. It is not needed to consider (or render) lines or points, because the convex hull of the Bézier control points in these cases has zero area and, therefore, no pixel coverage.

The general classification of cubic Bézier curves is given by Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serpentine</td>
<td>( \text{discr}(I) &gt; 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cusp</td>
<td>( \text{discr}(I) = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop</td>
<td>( \text{discr}(I) &lt; 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>( d_1 = d_2 = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line</td>
<td>( d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point</td>
<td>( b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = b_3 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Cubic Curve Classification

If the Bézier control points have exact floating-point coordinates, the classification given in Table 1 can be done exactly. That is, there is no ambiguity between cases, because \( \text{discr}(I) \) and all intermediate variables can be derived from exact floating representations.” [5]

A serpentine has three inflection points while a cusp have one inflection point. Bézier curves of other type will have one inflection point if \( b_0 \) and \( b_3 \) are on the
two different sides of the line defined by $b_1$ and $b_2$. It can be easily determined by the scalar product of the homogeneous coordinates of the control points and the equation of the line.

To define an exact measurement for visual smoothness, the number of these inflection points shall be summarized. This measurement shall be extended by the inflection points at the joining points of the Bézier curves that make up the interpolating curves used for skinning the series of circles.

Two joining Bézier curves defined by $(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ and $(b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6)$ will have an inflection point in the joining $b_3$ control point if $b_1$ and $b_5$ are on the different sides of the line defined by $b_2$, $b_3$ and $b_4$ control points.

The final measurement will be the summarized inflection points inside the Bézier curves and the inflection points at the joining end points of the curves.

Figure 4 shows that Slabaugh’s algorithm has five, while the Kunkli-Hoffmann’s curve has only four inflection points on the top skinning curves.

![Figure 4: Second example for Slabaugh and Kunkli-Hoffmann curves for the same series of circles](image)

3. Results

Examining the interpolating inner curves only of the last six inner circles of the series of Figure 1 and Figure 2 shows that Slabaugh’s curve has five inflection point among the six circles while Kunkli-Hoffmann’s curve has none. Even on the more complex Figure 4 this ratio is five to four. Thus, in both cases the second curve is more smooth.

Testing for further arrangements, Kunkli-Hoffmann’s interpolation curves usually have fewer inflection points and this way they yield a more smooth interpolating curve. By using these more smooth curves and surfaces, better customized solutions can be provided for simulations or avatars’ heads and bodies.
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