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Abstract

Introduction. To study the effect of rosuvastatin on endothelial and macrovascular function, cardiovascular risk factors and the complement pathway in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc).

Methods. Altogether 28 patients with SSc underwent laboratory and complex vascular assessments before and after 6 months of 20mg rosuvastatin treatment. Flow-mediated dilation (FMD) of the brachial artery, as well as carotid artery intima-media thickness (ccIMT), carotid-femoral and aorto-femoral pulse wave-velocity (PWV) were analyzed by ECG-synchronized ultrasound. Ankle-brachial index (ABI) was determined by Doppler, forearm skin microcirculation was assessed by Laser Doppler perfusion monitoring.

Results. Brachial artery FMD significantly improved upon rosuvastatin therapy (2.2±3.3% before vs. 5.7±3.9% after treatment, p=0.0002). With regards to patient subsets, FMD significantly improved in the 21 lcSSc patients (from 2.1% to 5.6%; p=0.001). In the 7 dcSSc patients, we observed a tendency of improvement in FMD (from 3% to 6%; p=0.25). Changes in PWV, ccIMT and ABI were not significant. Mean triglyceride (1.7±0.97 vs. 1.3±0.46 mmol/l, p=0.0004), total cholesterol (5.3±1.6 mmol/l vs. 4.2±1.3 mmol/l, p=0.0003), LDL-C (3.0±1.3 vs. 2.2±1.0 mmol/l, p=0.005) and CRP levels (5.1±5.2 vs. 3.4±2.7, p=0.01) levels significantly decreased after rosuvastatin treatment. Mean C3, C4 and IC levels also decreased significantly as compared to pretreatment values.

Conclusions. Six-month rosuvastatin therapy improves endothelial function and lowers CRP, C3, C4 and IC levels indicating possible favourable effects of this statin on the cardiovascular and immune system in SSc.
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Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a systemic autoimmune disease of uncertain etiology characterized by progressive fibrosis of the skin, the small blood vessels and various internal organs. Population-based and other cohort studies have emphasized that survival is decreased in patients with SSc [1-3]. Several reports including our previous studies, have found macrovascular abnormalities in SSc patients. These include endothelial dysfunction indicated by abnormally low flow-mediated dilation (FMD) of the brachial artery [4, 5], increased carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) and increased arterial stiffness [6-8]. Statins may improve endothelial dysfunction, arterial stiffness and reduce levels of inflammatory markers in various conditions including chronic kidney disease, rheumatoid arthritis and dyslipidemia. In SSc, the vascular effects of atorvastatin, simvastatin and pravastatin have been investigated so far [9]. Atorvastatin exerted beneficial effects on microvascular function, digital ulcers, soluble markers of endothelial function, as well as FMD [10, 11]. Simvastatin and pravastatin reduced the production of soluble endothelial activation markers [12, 13].

It is not fully clear which of the multiple mechanisms of statins may be involved in SSc-related vascular changes. Although a pronounced reduction of LDL-cholesterol is present in patients undergoing statin therapy, additional mechanisms have been suggested to improve endothelium-dependent vasodilation in case of HMG-coA reductase inhibitor therapy. A key point is promoting activity of the endothelial NO synthase. This can either be caused by a weakened interaction between the endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) and caveolin-1, or the association of e-NOS and hsp90, as well as by an upregulation of eNOS mRNA by inhibition of the Rho kinase pathway or a reduction in ICAM-1 and P-selectin levels, which also result in increased endothelial NO production [14].

In this study, we wished to determine the effects of rosuvastatin, a potent reducer of total (TC) and LDL cholesterol (LDL-C) [15, 16], on serum inflammatory markers and complement levels, on endothelial and macrovascular function, as well as on arterial stiffness in patients with SSc. In addition, we determined the possible effects of rosuvastatin on microvascular function, by assessing cutaneous blood flow. The primary endpoint of the study was rosuvastatin effects on the vasculature (FMD, ccIMT, PWV, ABI), while the secondary outcome included laboratory marker changes (lipids, CRP, immune complexes and complement). The assessment of acute phase reactants and complement has been included in scleroderma activity index [17].
Materials and methods

Patients

SSc patients undergoing follow-ups at our institution were randomly screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria described below. Altogether 28 patients including 25 females and 3 males were eligible for the study. The diagnosis was established according to the 1980 ACR criteria for SSc [18]. The mean age of patients was 60.4 ± 11.0 years (range: 34-83 years), the mean disease duration was 13.6 ± 7.7 years (range 2-30 years). Among these patients, 21 (75%) had the limited (lcSSc) and 7 (25%) had the diffuse cutaneous form of SSc (dcSSc). Clinical manifestations of SSc included Raynaud’s phenomenon (96%), distal skin manifestations including sclerosis and ulcers (68%), proximal skin involvement (25%), cardiac manifestations including conduction defects, atrial fibrillation, arrhythmias (25%), gastrointestinal manifestations, such as esophageal dysmotility, gastroesophageal reflux (54%), renal involvement (4%) and sicca syndrome (10%). Altogether 6 patients (22%) had an overlap syndrome with another autoimmune disease: two patients had polymyositis, one had systemic lupus erythematosus and 3 had rheumatoid arthritis in addition to SSc. The patients’ medications are listed in Table 1. All recruited patients were non-smokers and their mean body mass index (BMI) was 23.1 ± 4.1 kg/m².

Patients were included in the study if lcSSc or dcSSc was present, and the patients exhibited microvascular symptoms including new digital ulcers, active Raynaud’s symptoms despite ongoing therapy. Patients had not been on any lipid lowering drug therapy for the past 6 months prior to this study.

Exclusion criteria included hyperglycemia, acute systemic infection, uncontrolled hypertension, carotid sinus hyperesthesia, permanent atrial fibrillation, an ejection fraction (EF) less than 50% as determined by echocardiography, severe pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), active ulcers at any of the measurement sites or lack of patients’ informed consent.

Approximately 60 patients were screened for the study. Thus, 28 patients meeting the inclusion criteria were included. Any patients meeting any exclusion criteria, those on vasoactive drugs, such as prostanoids or patients undergoing frequent treatment modifications were excluded.

Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki under the auspices of the University of Debrecen.
Study protocol

Both laboratory analyses and the clinical examinations described below were performed on two occasions, directly before and after the rosuvastatin treatment period. Each patient received 20 mg rosuvastatin daily for 6 months. All patients tolerated the drugs well and all of them could complete the study. On the day of vascular assessments, blood samples were drawn between 7 and 8 a.m. after an 8-hour fasting period. Samples were stored at room temperature and analyses were performed within 2 hours. Von Willebrand factor antigen (vWF) samples were stored on ice until analysis. Before vascular assessments, the use of vasoactive and antioxidant drugs, as well as alcohol or caffeine consumption were suspended for 24 hours. Examinations were performed under standardized conditions after a 10-minute resting period in a recumbent position. Vascular assessments were carried out in a quiet, darkened study room with a temperature of 22±1 °C according to the recommendations of Laurent et al [19]. All assessments were performed by a single observer (O.T.)

Vascular assessments

Brachial artery FMD assessment was performed by the same skilled operator according to the methodology described by Corretti et al [20] under standardized conditions [21] using a 10 MHz linear array transducer of a HP Sonos 5500 (Hewlett Packard) ultrasound equipment. Briefly, longitudinal section of the brachial artery of supine patients 4-7 cms proximal from the antecubital fossa was obtained at end-diastole (upon R wave of ECG) and the arterial diameter (BADbasal), namely the distance between the proximal and distal media-adventitia borders, was measured. Results of five repeated measurements were averaged. After 4.5 minutes of distal (forearm) blood pressure cuff occlusion with 50 Hgmm suprasystolic pressure, reactive hyperaemia-induced maximal diameters (BADmax) were measured within 3 minutes after release. Images were digitized for further documentation. FMD was calculated as [(BADmax-BADbasal)/BADbasal] x 100 and expressed in % compared to the basal diameter. The intraobserver variability of FMD was excellent: the calculated coefficient of variation (CV) and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) were 5% and 0.935, respectively, indicating very good reproducibility.
Aorto-femoral pulse wave velocity (c-fPWV) was measured as previously described elsewhere [22] on a HP Sonos 5500 ultrasound equipment with simultaneous ECG recording. Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (c-fPWV) was measured during the same examination with minor changes compared to the a-f PWV assessment. C-f PWV was determined between the left common carotid artery, 1 cm proximal to the bifurcation and the right common femoral artery at the level of the inguinal ligament using the linear array transducer. The distance between the two sites was defined as the difference between the sternal jugulum - carotid measurement point distance and the sternal jugulum-femoral measurement point distance. C-f PWV was again the quotient of the distance and the transit time, the time difference between the foot-to-foot intervals gained at the two measurement sites [23-25].

Common carotid artery intima-media thickness (ccIMT) was measured according to current guidelines [26] by the same examiner with the HP Sonos 5500 ultrasound equipment described above. Briefly, longitudinal B-mode views of the common carotid arteries were taken by medio-lateral probe position, then high magnification images were frozen at end-diastole. ccIMT, defined as the distance between the first and second echogenic lines corresponding the intima-lumen and media-adventitia interfaces, respectively, was measured offline 1 cm from the carotid bulb or the nearest plaque-free segment on the far wall according to the leading edge principle. Ten measurements were performed and averaged on either side and the value of ccIMT was expressed in millimeters. The intraobserver variability of ccIMT was excellent: the calculated CV and ICC were 4.2% and 0.98, respectively, indicating very good reproducibility.

Assessment of ankle-brachial index (ABI) was carried out according to TASC II guidelines [27]. A 10-12 cm sphygmomanometer cuff was placed just above the ankle and a handheld CW Doppler instrument (Vasodop 8 MHz, MediCAD Ltd, Miskolc, Hungary) was used to measure the systolic pressure of the posterior tibial and dorsal pedal artery of each leg. The higher of these pressures was divided by the higher brachial systolic blood pressure value to form the ABI.

Microvascular skin perfusion was assessed by Laser Doppler (LD) perfusion monitoring [28]. During this examination, a 780 nm wavelength laser beam penetrates the skin to a depth of 1-1.5 mm and a fraction of the light is scattered back by moving blood cells resulting in a frequency shift according to the Doppler principle. Therefore a signal proportional to tissue perfusion is generated. Provocation tests such as heat, postocclusive reactive hyperemia (PORH) or biochemical agents applied by iontophoresis allow for testing
skin reactivity. We applied a standard laser probe (PF 408) fixed in a straight probe holder (PH 08) of a Periflux PF 4001 LD flowmeter (Perimed AB, Järfällä, Sweden). The LD apparatus was connected to a laptop, which displayed recordings and saved the information for further offline analysis by the Perisoft for Windows (Ver. 2.5.5) software (Perimed AB). Measurements were carried out with the patients in a supine position. The probes were placed on the volar side of mid-forearm skin, avoiding superficial subcutaneous blood vessels and basal blood flow was recorded for 8 minutes. Afterwards, during continuous recording of skin blood flow, upper arm was obstructed for a three minute period by a 10-12 cm sphygmomanometer cuff inflated to 50 Hgmm suprasystolic pressure, then cuffs were suddenly deflated and forearm skin blood flow was further recorded for 5 minutes. During this period, blood flow returned to baseline. Blood flow (basal, peak, biological zero) was expressed in arbitrary perfusion units (PU) and relative changes compared to basal values were assessed, time to half before hyperaemia (TH1, sec), time to maximum flow (TM, sec) and time to half recovery (TH2, sec) were analysed, and the occlusion areas (AO), hyperaemic areas under curve (AH, PU*sec), as well as hyperemia repayment (AH/AO) were determined. In addition, we assessed the slope of the curve as it reached maximum perfusion after cuff release (acceleration slope) and upon return to basal skin flow (deceleration slope).

Laboratory analyses

Serum biochemical markers and high sensitivity CRP (hsCRP) were analysed on a Modular P-800 analyser (Roche Ltd, Mannheim, Germany). Serum total cholesterol, triglyceride and uric acid levels were determined by enzymatic colorimetric assay, HDL and LDL- cholesterol were analysed by homogenous enzymatic assay. Serum glucose and urea levels were measured using enzyme kinetic UV assay, serum creatinine was determined by the compensated Jaffe kinetic method. Estimated GFR was calculated from the serum creatinine by the MDRD 175 (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease study group) formula. HsCRP was assessed by wide range immunoturbidimetric assay, hsCRP levels >5 mg/l were considered elevated.

Plasma levels of circulating vWF, a marker of endothelial cell activation was determined by STA Liatest vWF immunoturbidimetric assay using microlatex particles coated with polyclonal rabbit anti-human vWF antibodies (Diagnostica Stago, Asnieres, France). After mixing the reagent with plasma, the degree of agglutination was proportional to the amount of vWF present in the plasma sample. The reference range for the test is 50-160%.
Hematological parameters including hemoglobin (Hgb), white blood cell and platelet counts were determined using a Sysmex XE-2100D automated haematology analyzer (Sysmex Corp., Kobe, Japan). Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was determined by the Westergren method.

Circulating immune complexes (IC) were detected by polyethylene glycol precipitation method. Serum complement C3 and C4 levels were measured by nephelometry on a Siemens-Dade-Behring BN-II nephelometer. Laboratory reference ranges were 0.9-1.8 g/l for C3, 0.1-0.4 g/l for C4 and an extinction of 0-170 for IC.

Statistical analysis

Due to the nature of the study (before/after comparison), paired one-tailed/two-tailed t-tests were used for statistical evaluation. P values < 0.05 were considered significant. Data represent a normal distribution, as shown by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Correlations were assessed using SPSS software version 11.0. The Pearson correlation coefficients were determined and r values at the p<0.05 level were considered significant.

Results

Effects of rosuvastatin on micro-and macrovascular function

FMD significantly improved after 6 months of rosuvastatin therapy (2.3±3.3% before vs 5.7±3.9% after treatment, p=0.0002) (Table 2). Altogether 23 patients responded with an increase in occlusion-provoked vasodilation of the brachial artery following rosuvastatin treatment FMD and serum cholesterol, LDL or HDL level changes.

With regards to patient subsets, FMD significantly improved in the 21 lcSSc patients, from 2.1% to 5.6% (p=0.001). In the 7 dcSSc patients, we observed a tendency of improvement in FMD, from 3% to 6% (p=0.25). The non-significant change in dcSSc may be the result of low patient number (data not shown).

In 11 of the 28 patients (39.3%), baseline carotid-femoral PWV (c-fPWV) values were above the average reference values of age-, lipid- and blood-pressure-status-matched European patients [29] (Table 2). Neither aorto-femoral, nor carotid-femoral PWV showed significant improvement upon rosuvastatin treatment (a-f PWV: 8.8±2.2 m/s before, vs.
8.3±2.1 m/s after therapy, p=0.15; c-f PWV: 8.7±2.6 m/s before vs. 8.1±1.9 m/s after treatment, p=0.1) (Table 2). However, by the end of rosuvastatin treatment, only 5/28 patients (17.9%) had c-fPWV above the mentioned reference values.

The mean ABI, indicator of peripheral arterial disease, was 1.1±0.2 on both sides and remained unchanged after rosuvastatin therapy (Table 2).

Ultrasound analysis of the common carotid arteries revealed a mean ccIMT of 0.68±0.14 mm on the right and 0.72±0.17 mm on the left side at baseline. After rosuvastatin therapy, these values were 0.68±0.14 mm (p=0.38) and 0.70±0.17 mm (p=0.3), respectively (Table 2). Thus, statin treatment did not result in any improvement in carotid atherosclerosis.

Laser Doppler analysis of the forearm skin flow during PORH testing revealed decreases in the acceleration and deceleration slope of the curves following rosuvastatin therapy compared to pretreatment values (acceleration slope: 14.6±14.8 vs. 10.0±10.3 U/sec; p=0.081; deceleration slope: -1.13±0.92 U/s vs -0.64±1.09 U/s; p=0.021) (Table 2). Neither basal, peak or biological zero skin perfusion, nor AH or any of the time characteristics (TM, TH1, TH2) showed significant changes compared to pretreatment values (data not shown).

Laboratory parameters

The presence of antinuclear autoantibodies (ANA) among patients was the following: 26/28 patients (93%) were ANA positive, 12/28 patients (43%) had antibodies against extractable nuclear antigen (ENA), 1/28 (4%) against nuclear ribonucleoproteins (RNP), none against Smith antigen (Sm), 3/28 patients (11%) against SS-A (Ro) antigen, none against SS-B (La), 12/28 patients (43%) tested positive for antibodies against topoisomerase I (Scl-70), and 2/28 (7%) were positive for antibodies against histidyl-tRNA synthetase (Jo-1).

Baseline serum lipid levels indicated that 10% of patients had hypertriglyceridaemia (TG >2.3 mmol/l), 50% had hypercholesterolemia (total cholesterol>5.2 mmol/l) and 32% had elevated LDL-C levels (>3.4 mmol/l). At baseline, 11 out of 28 patients (39%) had low HDL-C levels (<1.2/<1.0 mmol/l for females/males, respectively). Reference values were determined as recommended for the medium cardiovascular risk group based on the European SCORE chart [30].

Among blood chemistry values, lipid parameters showed significant improvement after 6 months of rosuvastatin therapy. Mean TG levels decreased from 1.70± 0.97 mmol/l to 1.30±0.46 mmol/l following therapy (p=0.0004). Total cholesterol decreased from 5.3±1.6 mmol/l to 4.2±1.3 mmol/l (P=0.0003), LDL-C levels decreased from 3.0±1.3 mmol/l to
2.2±1.0 mmol/ (P=0.0046), while mean HDL-C levels remained unchanged (1.5±0.8 mmol/l before vs. 1.5±0.6 mmol/l after therapy, p=0.33) (Table 3). Non-HDL cholesterol levels also displayed a significant decrease after statin therapy (3.8±1.5 vs. 2.5±1.3 mmol/l, p=0.0003.)

Among acute phase reactants, hsCRP levels showed a significant decrease, from 5.1±5.2 mg/l to 3.4±2.7 mg/l (p=0.01). Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), renal function tests and full blood counts exerted no biologically relevant changes upon statin therapy as compared to baseline values (Table 3).

Baseline circulating vWF antigen levels were abnormally high in 63% of patients and although mean vWF antigen levels showed a slight decrease after rosuvastatin treatment (209±90% vs. 193±76%), this change remained statistically insignificant (p=0.09) (Table 3).

Serum immune complex levels (IC) were initially elevated and levels returned to normal after rosuvastatin therapy (extinction: 183.6 vs. 135.5, respectively, p=0.007), while C3 (1.81 vs. 1.62 g/l) and C4 levels (0.33 vs. 0.27 g/l) displayed a significant decrease after rosuvastatin treatment (p=0.001) within the reference range.

There were no significant differences in any laboratory parameters with regards to rosuvastatin treatment in lcSSc vs dcSSc (data not shown).

**Discussions**

We have previously described impaired FMD in SSc patients compared to age- and sex-matched controls [5]. Our current pretreatment FMD results in scleroderma patients are in concordance with our previous measurements. The detected significant improvement in endothelial function following 6 months of rosuvastatin treatment, however, is a novel finding which had not been demonstrated in SSc patients before. Comparing this effect of rosuvastatin to vascular effects of atorvastatin treatment reported by other investigators [31-34], it is likely that the two statins are similar with respect to their effect on endothelial function (FMD). Whether favourable effects of rosuvastatin on endothelial function is also accompanied by improvement in clinical vascular symptoms such as Raynaud’s phenomenon, digital ulceration or Rodnan skin score in SSc has yet to be investigated.

The duration of therapy is a decisive feature of successive statin treatment in SSc. While in one study [33] an 8-week atorvastatin (20 mg) treatment in SSc exhibited no effect on endothelial function as assessed by Laser Doppler imaging, another [34] described beneficial effects of 24 month atorvastatin (10 mg) therapy on Raynaud’s phenomenon of SSc.
patients. Thus, the beneficial effects of statins on the vasculature, as well as on the underlying inflammatory disease may be expected only after 6 months or more.

As recently reviewed [35], there is an ongoing debate about the extent and presence of atherosclerosis in patients with SSc. By assessing ccIMT, PWV, FMD and ABI we wished to confer further data to this debate.

In our present study, the mean pretreatment values of right and left ccIMT were within the 25th and 75th percentile range of the given age group as described in large-scale European cohort studies [36, 37]. Our current findings support the results of studies reporting normal ccIMT in scleroderma patients and it seems these studies outnumber those detecting abnormally high ccIMT values [35]. Baseline ABI values were also normal in this study, in concordance with other studies describing either normal or mildly reduced ABI in SSc patients [35]. Regarding changes after 6 months of rosuvastatin therapy, our assumptions of favourable outcome were based on the results of previously conducted clinical trials with rosuvastatin in nonrheumatic patients and on a few pilot studies with rosuvastatin in patients with RA or hyperlipidaemia. Two-year treatment with high-dose rosuvastatin has been shown to result in regression of coronary atherosclerosis, as documented by intravascular ultrasound and quantitative coronary angiography [38, 39]. In the METEOR study, 40 mg rosuvastatin resulted in significant reduction in the rate progression of maximum ccIMT values over 2 years in middle-aged patients with subclinical atherosclerosis [40]. Yet, our present findings suggest that 6 months of rosuvastatin (20 mg) therapy yields no change in mean ccIMT in scleroderma patients. The reasons why we could not detect similar changes as the investigators in the METEOR study could be the lower dose and shorter duration of rosuvastatin therapy.

The frequency of PWV values above mean European reference values [29] decreased from 11/28 to 5/28 following rosuvastatin therapy. Somewhat surprisingly, a-f and c-fPWV were elevated only in 39% of patients in this study and mean values exhibited no statistically significant changes following rosuvastatin therapy. Multiple explanations including concomitant use of calcium channel blockers and/or ACE-inhibitors (Table 1), the duration of statin therapy may arise. In order to interpret our results appropriately, repetition of these examinations with longer treatment periods and comparison with PWV progression of nontreated patients will be required.

Adding Laser Doppler measurements to our study protocol was decided as some studies had previously indicated impaired cutaneous vasodilatory response to ischemia in SSc patients [41]. Our Laser Doppler flowmetry measurements revealed significantly slower
deceleration slope during PORH testing after rosuvastatin of the forearm skin compared to pretreatment values. Yet, the relevance of these slopes in determining microcirculation has not yet been fully determined.

Among laboratory parameters, in our current study marked reductions in total TG, total and non-HDL cholesterol as well as LDL-C cholesterol were observed after 6 months of rosuvastatin treatment. HDL-C levels remained unchanged after therapy, however, the frequency of HDL-C levels below normal decreased. The changes in total cholesterol and LDL-levels correspond to the expectations based on results of clinical trials conducted previously to assess efficacy of rosuvastatin in comparison with other statins [15, 16, 42]. A possible explanation for not observing significant changes in HDL-C levels may be the fact that the majority of SSc patients exerted HDL-C levels within normal range at baseline.

Among inflammatory markers, hsCRP levels significantly decreased following rosuvastatin treatment. Rosuvastatin reduces CRP levels in low cardiovascular risk individuals in the general population [43]. There is substantial evidence that hsCRP is an independent cardiovascular risk factor in the general population, but its predictive value in early stages of atherosclerosis is doubtful [44, 45]. To our best knowledge, this is the first study to show that CRP levels improve after 6 months of 20 mg rosuvastatin therapy in patients with SSc.

Levels of circulating vWF antigen have been found elevated in patients with Raynaud’s phenomenon and SSc [46] as a sign of endothelial injury. In our patient cohort, rosuvastatin treatment resulted in slight, non-significant decrease in elevated circulating vWF levels.

Although the exact pathomechanism of SSc is yet unknown, lately, activation of the complement system has been suggested and immune complex deposition, particularly in the perivascular and subendothelial region has been described [47]. In our current study we observed elevated serum immune complex levels returning to normal following rosuvastatin treatment. In addition, C3 and C4 levels showed a significant decrease after rosuvastatin treatment.

Conclusions

In conclusion, rosuvastatin improves brachial artery FMD, corrects dyslipidemia and decreases CRP, complement 3 and 4 and immune complex levels, while it may not reduce arterial stiffness or carotid atherosclerosis following a 6-month treatment period in SSc.
patients with intermediate cardiovascular risk. More long-term studies carried out in larger patient cohorts are needed to determine the place of rosuvastatin and other statins in the therapy of SSc and to find the right agent capable of improving cardiovascular outcome in these patients.

Key messages

- Accelerated atherosclerosis and vasculopathy have been associated with systemic sclerosis
- Statins may have beneficial effects on vascular function in SSc
- In our study, rosuvastatin improved endothelial function (FMD) and decreased CRP levels suggesting a possible link between inflammation and vasculopathy in SSc
- complement 3, 4 and immune complex levels also decreased upon rosuvastatin treatment
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Table 1. Pharmacological therapy of SSc patients at inclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARB/ACE inhibitors</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta blockers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentoxifylline</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitroglycerine</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet Aggregation Inhibitors</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2-receptor blockers or proton-pump inhibitors</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressive agents</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphosphonates</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (diuretics, tramadol, benzodiazepines, bronchodilators)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Vascular assessments before and after rosuvastatin treatment in SSc patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pre-treatment mean (S.D.)</th>
<th>Post-treatment mean (S.D.)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FMD (%)</td>
<td>2.3 (3.3)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.9)</td>
<td><strong>0.0002</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ccIMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.675 (0.144)</td>
<td>0.681 (0.142)</td>
<td>ns (0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ccIMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.717 (0.172)</td>
<td>0.701 (0.165)</td>
<td>ns (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid-femoral PWV (m/s)</td>
<td>8.7 (2.6)</td>
<td>8.1 (1.9)</td>
<td>ns (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aorto-femoral PWV (m/s)</td>
<td>8.8 (2.2)</td>
<td>8.3 (2.1)</td>
<td>ns (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Ankle-Brachial Index</td>
<td>1.1 (0.16)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.27)</td>
<td>ns (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Ankle-Brachial Index</td>
<td>1.1 (0.14)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.19)</td>
<td>ns (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser Doppler acceleration slope (U/s)</td>
<td>14.6 (14.8)</td>
<td>10.0 (10.3)</td>
<td>ns (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser Doppler deceleration slope (U/s)</td>
<td>-1.13 (0.92)</td>
<td>-0.64 (1.09)</td>
<td><strong>0.021</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant differences are indicated in bold. ns: non significant
Table 3. Laboratory parameters before and after rosuvastatin treatment in SSc patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pre-treatment mean (S.D.)</th>
<th>Post-treatment mean (S.D.)</th>
<th>P value$^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm/h)</td>
<td>21 (15.6)</td>
<td>24.7 (19)</td>
<td>ns (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>5.1 (5.2)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.7)</td>
<td><strong>0.01</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.3)</td>
<td>ns (0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6.0 (2.4)</td>
<td>5.9 (2.5)</td>
<td>ns (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (µmol/l)</td>
<td>67.5 (19.7)</td>
<td>63 (16.9)</td>
<td>ns (0.062)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR (ml/min)</td>
<td>82.1 (14.0)</td>
<td>84.8 (10.8)</td>
<td>ns (0.078)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.97)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.46)</td>
<td><strong>0.0004</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.57)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.28)</td>
<td><strong>0.0003</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.0)</td>
<td><strong>0.005</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3)</td>
<td><strong>0.0003</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.84)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>ns (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid (µmol/l)</td>
<td>263 (56)</td>
<td>273 (77)</td>
<td>ns (0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Willebrand factor (%)</td>
<td>209 (90)</td>
<td>193 (75.6)</td>
<td>ns (0.092)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/l)</td>
<td>125 (12.7)</td>
<td>126 (12.5)</td>
<td>ns (0.242)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cell count (10^9/l)</td>
<td>6.7 (2.6)</td>
<td>7.1 (2.7)</td>
<td>ns (0.191)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count (10^9/l)</td>
<td>250 (62)</td>
<td>265 (64.5)</td>
<td>ns (0.064)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement 3 (g/l)</td>
<td>1.81 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.32)</td>
<td><strong>0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement 4 (g/l)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.1)</td>
<td><strong>0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune complex (extinction)</td>
<td>183.6 (110)</td>
<td>135.5 (55)</td>
<td><strong>0.005</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ Significant differences are indicated in bold, ns: non-significant.
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