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I. Aims of the dissertation

The dissertation consists of three major chapters: in the first part a detailed biography of Phlegon and a short introduction of the genre of paradoxography can be read. The second part is made up of the translation of Phlegon’s *Peri thaumasion* and Proclus’ writing\(^1\) which is closely connected to the former. The third part is a detailed commentary in which I tried to focus on every part of the text. Comprehensive studies precede each chapter of the commentary.

The structure of Phlegon’s *Peri thaumasion*:

1–3: ghost stories
4–10: hermaphrodites, sex-changers
11–19: discoveries of giant bones
20–25: monstrous births
26–27: men giving birth
28–31: multiple births
32–33: abnormally rapid development
34–35: living hippocentaurs discovered

The first three stories in many respects form a separate part within the work. I discussed different readings of the text in the commentary, as well as trying to solve philological problems and those concerning interpretation. In addition, my aim was to summarize and include all results produced since the last edition of 2004. The commentary contains introductory and overall studies that were not or scarcely discussed yet (e.g. male pregnancy, ancient aspects of teratology etc.).

William Hansen’s (Hansen, W. (ed.): *Phlegon of Tralles’ Book of Marvels*. Exeter, 1996.), Kai Brodersen’s (Brodersen, K. (ed.): *Phlegon von Tralleis – Das Buch der Wunder*. Darmstadt, 2002.) and Rein Ferwerda’s (Ferwerda, R. (ed.): *Phlegon van Tralles. Wonderbaarlijke verschijnselen*. Budel, 1994.) editions of Phlegon’s text were a basic help to me, and in addition I used the earlier and recent literature of each topic.

II. Research methods

When examining Phlegon’s work, the greatest difficulty is that the facts mingle with the author’s fantasy, and they become not only distorted but are also blended into the products of the writer’s imagination. In order to be able to use these sources as a basis for any scientific deduction, first it has to be decided if and how the certain writer reflects contemporary material and human reality.

One of the main characteristics of paradoxography is focusing on extraordinary features and often exaggeration is used in order to catch the audience’s attention. According to scientific opinion, his works are so alien from contemporary reality that no substantive information can be drawn from them, thus Phlegon’s works are inauthentic from a scientific point of view. When examining this problem, I followed Karl Popper’s principle of falsification. I analysed in detail all of the 35 stories to find out if Phlegon’s seemingly fantastic descriptions may have a basic collatable to scientifically proven data so that we can at least say that the individual wondrous stories can be given an explanation derived from the level of contemporary scientific knowledge as baseis of an existing event or discoveries.

It has to be emphasized that on the one hand these theories that might have formed a core of truth of the stories cannot be proved, on the other hand it has to be taken into consideration that the author exaggerated, but the extent of it cannot be known for sure. My aim was not to prove the veritableness of Phlegon’s stories on the basis of Karl Popper’s falsification theory but to take into consideration that it is not definite that they are not true. This way we can find an explanation for most of the stories, a real background that might have served as a basis for these strange descriptions.

III. Summary of novel scientific results

III.1. Study on the genre of paradoxography

Paradoxography is a genre which describes and collects strange, marvellous and abnormal natural or human phenomena (Greek: θαυμάσια or παράδοξα, Latin: mirabilia) which differ in certain aspects from the usual, thus they seem to be of interest to the author and the reader as well. The first occurrence of the word „paradoxography” can be found in Tzetzes’ work (Chil. II.35.151.). It was Anton Westermann who first canonized authors of paradoxography in 1839 in his work entitled ΠΑΡΑ∆ΟΞΟΓΡΑΦΟΙ: Scriptores rerum
mirabilium Graeci. Writers who are considered as „serious” (auctores seriores – e.g. Aelianus or Pliny) often described certain events that could have been listed as paradoxographic works, nevertheless they are not regarded as paradoxographers.\(^2\)

The antecedent of paradoxography can be found as early as in the works of Homer. Several places of the Iliad mention extraordinary rivers, strange peoples etc.\(^3\) Certain references in the Odyssey are in many respects similar to paradoxographic stories of later times.\(^4\) Herodotus was the first ancient author to depict consciously and in detail many wondrous events, fascinating folkloristic features and habits and outstanding artistic products.\(^5\) In Giannini’s point of view the roots of paradoxography can be traced back to the wide-eyed curiosity of the Ionic world.\(^6\)

In the Hellenistic period, the Greek world’s horizon spectacularly opened up due to the conquests of Alexander the Great. Zoological, botanical phenomena appeared that had never been seen before. Out of the ordinary social customs became known. This could have contributed to the fact that paradoxography as an independent genre developed right in the 3rd century BC. The first significant representative of this new genre was Callimachus of Cyrene (ca. 305–240 BC), and it was he who first collected stories that seemed to him remarkable from a certain respect.

Roman paradoxographical writings – unlike the Greek – usually quoted these strange stories in a different way, including them in other, more serious works, such as descriptions of history, geography, travels etc. M. Terentius Varro’s Logistorici: Gallus Fundanius de admirandis and Cicero’s Admiranda (the latter is unfortunately lost but is supposed to be about similar topics) belonged to this category.\(^7\) Even in later times paradoxographical writings were still composed. The 4th century writer, Julius Obsequens collected fantastical stories of Livy in his work entitled Liber prodigiorum.

Paradoxography as a genre was unnoticed and despised, even in the 2nd half of the 20th century. It was considered as a symptom of decay, a degeneration of the original healthy

---

\(^3\) Homer, *Ilias* II.752 ff.; XXII.147 ff. and XIII.4.
\(^4\) Homéros *Odyssee* X.303. ff.; IV.85. ff. etc.
\(^5\) eg. customs: Book II of Herodotus; waters: IV.52. and 85., animals: IV.28. etc..
spirit of curiosity. The main objection against it was that paradoxography is of lower quality than historiography and scientific literature, and the sole aim of it was entertainment. This view might be true but another sort of approach should be applied and its merits have to be acknowledged as well. These writings also reflect their time and they may shed some light on the audience.

It has to be emphasized that paradoxography is not a collection of historical and ethnographical writings of lower quality, but rather literature for entertainment which might have been used for education as well. It is a kind of popular literature which was probably easily accessible to many, which focuses on content rather than style, thus it is easier to understand for uneducated readers or audience. This kind of entertaining literature was mainly intended for 

holi polloi but at the same time the reading of such works might be appealing and relaxing for the educated as well. A short story of Aulus Gellius (Noctes Atticae 9.4.), who lived shortly after Phlegon, makes it clear how important such paradoxographic texts were in antiquity, since an informed person had to be familiar with these too.

Phlegon’s literary activity took place in the early period of the Roman Empire, when minor genres became more and more widespread and new genres attracted a broader range of audience. Novel types of literature developed: prose romance, picaresque novels, pornographic writings and stories of wondrous events. At this time the novel – as a narrative genre – lost of its traditionally high standard in Roman literature. People started paying attention to stories about individuals, and in addition the private sphere came to the fore, which made it possible that certain genres not appreciated until that time became widespread. Phlegon’s work fits perfectly into this frame, since human beings were at the centre of his writing – unlike other paradoxographic authors.

We scarcely know anything about the addressees. We can only assume that a wide, socially complex group might have been interested in this genre. Certain writers of paradoxography who were connected to the imperial court, presumably wrote their works as commanded by the ruler. Others – e.g. Callimachos – probably collected the wonders for themselves, maybe as a basis for later works. The third kind of target audience must definitely have been common people, although there are no references in the works to this. The original

---

multitude of paradoxographical works indicates that this genre was wanted. However, it remains unclear which of the three above audiences decided the characteristics of the paradoxographic texts.

I imagine that Phlegon’s work was read by a wide range of people. References to this include references the aim of which is authentication, the simple language, the theme itself, as well as the contemporary spirit of the age which developed innovation in literary genres. However, all of this remains theory since there are no direct ancient references to the reading of Phlegon’s text.

III.2. Study on Phlegon’s life and work

Not much is known of Phlegon (the meaning of his name is „burning” from the Greek word φλέγω). The Byzantine encyclopaedia, the Suda compiled in the 10th century AD gives only a short description of his life: „Of Tralles, freedman of Augustus Caesar, who was also called Hadrian: historian. He wrote Olympiads in 16 books. Up to the 229th Olympiad they contain everything that was done anywhere and about the same in short in 8 books. He also wrote: A description of Sicily, On long-lived persons and marvels, On the feasts of the Romans in 3 books, On the places of Rome and by what names they are called, Epitome of Olympic victors in 2 books, and other things…”

Being a freedman of Hadrian, Phlegon probably held the names of the emperor after the liberation, according to Roman customs, thus he was called Publius Aelius Phlegon. It is not clear when and why he was freed, since his life can be reconstructed only fragmentally.Only three of the above mentioned works survived, bearing the Greek titles Περὶ µακροβίων καὶ θαυµασίων, which in reality must have been intended as two works (however based on the title mentioned in the Suda, it can be assumed that originally they were part of the same oeuvre). These two writings follow each other in the Codex Palatinus Graecus 398 of Heidelberg in the opposite sequence. He authored a third work about Olympiads, mentioned in the Suda under the title Ὀλυµπιάς containing 16 books which is left to us only in fragments.

---


12 Suda, s.v. Phi.527.1. (transl. by Dóra Pataricza)

References to Hadrian allude to close connections, so it can be assumed that he was familiar in the emperor’s court. At the same time, it was rumoured that Hadrian was the author of Phlegon’s writings, whereas the Historia Augusta says in an other place that it was Phlegon who composed a book on Hadrian. At least, it seems to be probable that Hadrian’s itinerary was authored by Phlegon, moreover he made use of his notes when writing the 15th-16th book of his Olympiads. Phlegon might have survived Hadrian, since he finished this latter book with the story of the 229th Olympics (137–140 AD), thus his work was probably published only after the death of Hadrian.

All of his works survived in one single issue, the Codex Palatinus Graecus 398 (composed in the 9th-10th century in Constantinople), which is currently held in the Universitätsbibliothek in Heidelberg. The codex is part of the so-called philosophical collection, in which presumably certain philosophical and scientific works of the Alexandrian collection were copied. Thus Codex Palatinus Graecus became a unique collection of the works of mythographers, paradoxographers, epistolographers and minor geographers.

After the copy, which probably happened in the 10th century, Phlegon’s oeuvre next appeared in the 16th century, in 1568. At the time similar books, collecting natural rarities and curiosities were very fashionable all over Europe. However, Hungary was not among these countries, thus until recently no one was ever engaged in Phlegon and his work (except the Philinnion-story which was translated in 1959 by József Révay).

Phlegon, alongside the genre of paradoxography, was given poor reviews by literary criticism until the 20th century. It has to be pointed out that the paradoxographical aspect of Phlegon’s works differs from those of other authors since he was mainly interested in sensationalistic wonders. Phlegon and all the other writers of paradoxography made an effort to authenticate his writings, using more methods: he dated almost all of his stories and he

---

14 Historia Augusta I.16.1. and Historia Augusta XXIX.7.4.
tried to set his stories in his own age giving an illusory possibility for the reader to check the truth of his accounts. On the other hand, he tried to present the curiosities in fine details.\textsuperscript{20}

It is difficult to give a definite answer to the question of Phlegon’s aim and why Phlegon was more interested in human phenomena than natural wonders. We can assume that just as nowadays people are concerned with bizarre, strange, unusual and sensational events, the same was true for the ancients. Phlegon might focused on the human nature in his writings with an intention to innovate.\textsuperscript{21}

\section*{III.3. The core of truth}

According to certain modern approaches, the short so-called \textit{paradoxon} focusing on strange, unusual events can also be regarded as a way of discovery and inspection.\textsuperscript{22} Enthusiasm towards curiosities has been part of everyday life for a long time and many, seemingly unbelievable stories of ancient origin survived that are worth of examining from a scientific point of view. This kind of realistic attitude allows us to sift out data from the descriptions that are otherwise not available.\textsuperscript{23}

I tried to detect the core of truth in each of the stories (except the ghost stories 1-3.), i.e. a possible explanation that could have been a basis for these seemingly impossible stories. In this research I used medical books and handbooks on history of medicine and paleontology. Professionals in certain fields have cross-checked each of the statements of the dissertation. A summary containing all possible basic theories for each story can be seen in the following table:

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
story's title & Does the story possibly have a reality core? & if yes, how can it be explained? & if yes, is there any exaggeration & similar story \\
\hline
Phaëthon & no & & & \text{ghosts} \\
Polykrates the Allobarach & no & & & \\
Bouplagos and Publius & no (in the case of Bouplagos: maybe - meaning of the word 'bouplagos') & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>story's title</th>
<th>Does the story possibly have a reality core?</th>
<th>If yes, how can it be explained?</th>
<th>If yes, is there any exaggeration?</th>
<th>similar story</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Kainis</td>
<td>maybe</td>
<td>metamorphosis with transvestite</td>
<td>Diodorus Siculus 32.11.1-4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. An unnamed maiden</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>pseudo-hermaphroditism or</td>
<td>yes (sudden change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Philola</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>pseudo-hermaphroditism or</td>
<td>yes (sudden change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Sympheraea</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>pseudo-hermaphroditism or</td>
<td>Philodemus De Signis 4 de lacty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Latre</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>pseudo-hermaphroditism or</td>
<td>Pliny NH VII.4.36.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**finds of giant bones**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>story's title</th>
<th>Does the story possibly have a reality core?</th>
<th>If yes, how can it be explained?</th>
<th>If yes, is there any exaggeration?</th>
<th>similar story</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Gas</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1. skeleton of a person having</td>
<td>eg. Pliny, Philostratus,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. the cave of Artemis</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>suffered from acromegaly/gigantism; 2. remains of extinct species of the Pleistocene</td>
<td>Herodotos, Pausanias, Strabo,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. giant tooth 1.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes (size, no remains are known from the territory of Dalmatia)</td>
<td>Pliny NH VII.16.73.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. giant tooth 2.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>remains of extinct species of the Pleistocene</td>
<td>Augoustinus De civitate Dei XV.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. kines</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>remains of extinct species of the Pleistocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Rhodes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>remains of extinct species of the Pleistocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. the coffin of Makrosaen (is)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1. skeleton of a person having suffered from acromegaly/gigantism; 2. remains of extinct species of the Pleistocene</td>
<td>Herodotos I.68.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Carthage</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1. skeleton of a person having suffered from acromegaly/gigantism; 2. remains of extinct species of the Pleistocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Bosporos</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>remains of an extinct species of the Pleistocene or that of a miraculous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**monstrous births**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>story's title</th>
<th>Does the story possibly have a reality core?</th>
<th>If yes, how can it be explained?</th>
<th>If yes, is there any exaggeration?</th>
<th>similar story</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21. multiple features 2.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Siamese twins, tumour, encephaloxie</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. child resembling a monkey</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>microcephaly, premature baby, hairy baby (hyertichrosis)</td>
<td>Pliny NH VII.3.34.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. woman giving birth to four males</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>sinnomelia</td>
<td>Pliny NH VII.3.34., Appian B. Civ. 1.83.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. two-headed baby</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Siamese twins, maybe (frequency?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**men giving birth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>story's title</th>
<th>Does the story possibly have a reality core?</th>
<th>If yes, how can it be explained?</th>
<th>If yes, is there any exaggeration?</th>
<th>similar story</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26. homosexual man giving birth</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>pseudo-hermaphroditism femininus, Stain-Laventhal syndrome, masculine woman</td>
<td>is intersexual person is called a man</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. male slave giving birth</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>pseudo-hermaphroditism femininus, Stain-Laventhal syndrome, masculine woman</td>
<td>is intersexual person is called a man</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**multiple births**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>story's title</th>
<th>Does the story possibly have a reality core?</th>
<th>If yes, how can it be explained?</th>
<th>If yes, is there any exaggeration?</th>
<th>similar story</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28. Alexandanian woman</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>triplets, quadruplets etc.</td>
<td>probably (quadruplets, quintuplets could not have survived birth)</td>
<td>Antigonus Hist. M. 110.1.; Pliny NH VII.3.33-34.; Plutarchos De vita et moribus, 1.6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. another Alexandanian woman</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>quintuplets</td>
<td>probably (quintuplets could not have survived birth)</td>
<td>Digesta V.4.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Pygymilos</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>mythology</td>
<td>mythology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Tanacu</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>mythology</td>
<td>mythology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**abnormally rapid development**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>story's title</th>
<th>Does the story possibly have a reality core?</th>
<th>If yes, how can it be explained?</th>
<th>If yes, is there any exaggeration?</th>
<th>similar story</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. unnamed male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>pubertas praecox, fusanum-contamination</td>
<td>is producing children?</td>
<td>Pliny NH VII.2.22.23.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. women in Pandia</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>pubertas praecox, fusanum-contamination</td>
<td>is producing children?</td>
<td>Plutarchos De vita et moribus, 1.6.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**living hippocentaurs discovered**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>story's title</th>
<th>Does the story possibly have a reality core?</th>
<th>If yes, how can it be explained?</th>
<th>If yes, is there any exaggeration?</th>
<th>similar story</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34. hippocentaurs 1.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>fraud</td>
<td>(hippocentaurs captured alive)</td>
<td>Lucian Alexander 7.; Plutarchos De vita et moribus, 1.6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. hippocentaurs 2.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>fraud</td>
<td>is more hippocentaurs</td>
<td>Pliny NH VII.4.36.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. The possible usefulness of the results

None of the paradoxographical works was translated into Hungarian until now, thus Phlegon’s writing is the first one. Not only classical-philologists might be interested in Phlegon’s work and the commentary, but also medical historians. His works should be included in studies of history of congenital disorders. His stories about teratological curiosities can be used as statistical data for medical historians. Similarly, topics of paleontological interest should be taken into account for the history of paleontology. Since Phlegon exclusively wrote about human curiosities, his writings can be of interest for the modern reader as well.
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